

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_127101
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The Current Status of Cultural Behavior of Vietnamese High School Teachers in Online Teaching</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<p>This manuscript offers significant insights into the evolving landscape of online teaching in Vietnam, particularly focusing on the cultural behaviors of high school teachers. It addresses a crucial and timely issue—teachers' behavioral culture in the digital environment—which is critical to the success of online education, especially as education systems worldwide increasingly rely on digital platforms. The study's comprehensive survey and analysis involving both teachers and students from multiple regions provide valuable empirical data, shedding light on the challenges and differences in perceptions regarding teacher-student interactions in online classes.</p> <p>I appreciate the article's thorough investigation of the factors affecting teachers' behavioral culture, such as gender, teaching experience, and geographic location, which offers a nuanced understanding of the issue. Additionally, the proposed solutions to improve teachers' communication skills and behavioral culture contribute meaningfully to enhancing the overall quality of online education in Vietnam. This paper's findings have significant implications not only for Vietnam but also for other countries navigating the complexities of online education.</p>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<p>The title, "The Current Status of Cultural Behavior of Vietnamese High School Teachers in Online Teaching," is generally suitable as it clearly reflects the focus of the article on the cultural behavior of high school teachers in the online teaching context in Vietnam. However, it could be slightly refined for clarity and impact.</p> <p>Here are a few suggestions for improvement:</p> <p>"Cultural Behavior of Vietnamese High School Teachers in Online Teaching: Current Status and Implications" – This revision includes "implications," which highlights that the paper will not only present the current status but also suggest improvements or solutions, adding depth to the topic.</p>	

**Review Form 3**

<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>The abstract of the article is generally comprehensive and provides a clear overview of the study, including its aim, methods, findings, and recommendations. However, to improve its clarity and impact, a few revisions and additions can be made:</p> <p>Suggestions for Improvement:          Clarify the Research Gap: The abstract could briefly mention the existing research gap to emphasize why this study is needed. A statement like "While online teaching has become widespread, little research has focused specifically on the cultural behaviors of teachers in this context in Vietnam" could strengthen the motivation for the study.</p> <p>Specify the Methodology: Although the abstract mentions a survey, it could specify the method more clearly. For example, "A quantitative survey using structured questionnaires was conducted..." This adds clarity about the research design.</p> <p>Elaborate on Key Findings: The abstract mentions that teachers lack communication skills and exhibit inappropriate behaviors but does not provide enough detail. It would be beneficial to highlight specific findings, such as the discrepancies between teachers' and students' assessments, and how factors like gender or teaching experience influence behaviors.</p> <p>Briefly Mention the Theoretical Framework or Concepts: While the abstract is focused on the study's results, adding a sentence that touches on the theoretical basis for investigating teachers' behavioral culture (e.g., theories of communication, digital pedagogy) would provide context to the findings.</p> <p>Concise Conclusion: The recommendation to improve teachers' behavioral culture is good but could be more concise. Instead of "proposes solutions to improve the behavioral culture of teachers in online teaching," consider something like, "the study recommends strategies to enhance communication skills and cultural behaviors in online teaching."</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are generally appropriate, but there are a few points that can be considered for improvement to ensure a more logical flow and clarity for the reader:          The Discussion section appropriately addresses the findings and relates them to existing literature, which is a key element in academic writing.          However, it may be helpful to organize the discussion into smaller, focused subsections for each key theme (e.g., "Manifestation of Teachers' Behavioral Culture," "Teacher-Student Perceptions," "Factors Influencing Teachers' Behavioral Culture"). This would make the section more structured and accessible to the reader.</p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The manuscript demonstrates scientific robustness through its rigorous methodology and strong alignment with existing research. The use of a well-structured survey instrument to gather data from teachers and students ensures that the findings are grounded in empirical evidence. Additionally, the manuscript effectively compares its findings with established literature, highlighting both consistencies and discrepancies, which strengthens the credibility of the analysis. The proposed solutions are also based on a thorough understanding of the challenges identified in the study, showcasing a sound application of theory to practice. Overall, the research is technically sound and makes a meaningful contribution to the field.</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<p>The references in the manuscript are generally sufficient and span a range of relevant studies published over the past few years. Many of the sources are recent, particularly those addressing the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on online teaching and the transition to remote learning. This ensures that the manuscript is up-to-date with current research trends in the field of online education.</p>	

**Review Form 3**

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The language and English quality of the article is generally suitable for scholarly communication; however, there are some areas where minor revisions are recommended for clarity, fluency, and overall coherence. Below are some specific suggestions:</p> <p>Sentence Structure and Clarity:</p> <p>Some sentences are a bit long or complex. Breaking them down into shorter, more digestible sentences can improve readability.          For example, consider revising: "Teachers' roles in online environments, especially when transitioning from traditional face-to-face classes to virtual learning, present unique challenges and opportunities for fostering student engagement." to: "Teachers' roles in online environments, especially during the transition from traditional face-to-face classes to virtual learning, present both unique challenges and opportunities. These challenges require strategies to foster student engagement."</p> <p>Consistency in Terminology:</p> <p>Ensure consistent use of terms throughout the manuscript. For example, if you use "online learning environment" in one section, try to maintain this term rather than switching to "distance education" or "e-learning" unless there's a distinct reason to do so.</p> <p>Word Choice and Academic Tone:</p> <p>Avoid overly casual or colloquial expressions. For instance, phrases like "so that" or "just because" should be replaced with more formal academic language, such as "to ensure" or "due to the fact that."          Recheck phrases like "It is important to note" or "It should be noted" to ensure they fit within the formal tone and context of the paper.</p> <p>Minor Grammar and Punctuation Errors:</p> <p>Check for subject-verb agreement, especially in complex sentences.          Ensure proper punctuation (e.g., commas, periods, and semicolons) to avoid run-on sentences and improve the flow of ideas.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Overall, this article is worth publishing with some minor improvements</p>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>Juhji Juhji</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>Universitas Islam Negeri Sultan Maulana Hasanuddin Banten, Indonesia</b></p>