

### Review Form 3

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>                            |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_JABB_126670  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Management Of Leaf Blight Disease of Medicinal Plants with Bio-Agents and Chemical Fungicides |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article   |

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>   | <b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.                        | This manuscript can be very limited and locally useful for scientific communities. Valuable work has been done and simple results have been achieved, which has a relative value according to new research and the application of advanced and up-to-date technologies  |   |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  | Yes   |   |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.   | Yes   |   |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  | Yes   |   |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | Regarding the correctness and technical value of this article, it should be said that the materials and methods section of this article is very brief and presented only with reference, which is also very old but definitely correct. The obtained numerical results cannot be judged as correct or incorrect due to insufficient materials and methods. All in all, very limited valuable work has been done |   |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.   | Yes   |   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  | Yes   |   |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?   |   |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments  |   |   |

**PART 2:**

|  | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |  |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | <b>Firoozeh Chamandoosti</b>                              |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Education and Extension Organization (AREEO), Iran</b> |