

# Foliar Application of Micronutrients in Orchards: A Comprehensive Review

## Abstract

Applying micronutrients via foliar sprays has become increasingly important in modern orchard management as it addresses nutrient deficiencies that are often difficult to correct with conventional soil applications. This review summarizes existing research on the efficacy, methods, and benefits of foliar micronutrient application in different orchard systems. Particular emphasis is placed on key micronutrients such as iron, zinc, manganese, copper, boron, molybdenum, and chlorine to emphasize their importance to tree physiology, fruit quality, and overall orchard yield. It also examines the obstacles and best practices related to foliar fertilization, taking into account the timing of application, concentration and environmental aspects. By bringing together evidence from recent studies, this paper aims to provide fruit growers and researchers with a comprehensive understanding of foliar micronutrient strategies to optimize orchard well-being and overall productivity.

**Keywords:** Foliar application, micronutrients, orchards, nutrient deficiency, tree physiology, orchard management

## Introduction

Micronutrients, although required in minute quantities are indispensable for the optimal growth and productivity of orchard crops. Deficiencies in these trace elements can lead to physiological imbalances, compromising tree health and diminishing fruit quality. Traditional soil fertilization methods, while commonly used, often fall short in meeting the micronutrient needs of plants due to various soil-related factors, such as pH levels, organic matter content and microbial interactions, which influence nutrient availability (White and Broadley, 2003; Alloway, 2008a). To address these limitations, foliar application has emerged as a targeted and efficient

Commented [DD1]: "minor amounts"

approach for supplementing orchard crops with essential micronutrients. By enabling direct absorption of nutrients through the leaves, foliar feeding offers a more immediate and effective means of correcting deficiencies and particularly under conditions that restrict soil nutrient uptake (Marschner, 2012; Römheld and El-Fouly, 1999). Foliar application is the practice of spraying nutrient solutions directly onto plant foliage, has gained considerable traction in orchard management. This method enables rapid nutrient absorption through the stomata and epidermis of leaves, bypassing the complexities of soil dynamics that often restrict micronutrient availability (Patil and Chetan, 2016; Fernández and Brown, 2013). The effectiveness of foliar feeding lies in its precision, as nutrient solutions can be tailored to address specific deficiencies and applied at critical stages of plant development (Fernández and Eichert, 2009; El-Jendoubi *et al.*, 2011). Additionally, foliar fertilization is considered environmentally friendly, as it minimizes nutrient loss and leaching, which are common concerns in soil-based applications (Suman *et al.*, 2017). This is especially beneficial in orchards, where micronutrient imbalances can significantly impact fruit yield, quality and resistance to insects, pests and diseases (Ram and Bose, 2000; Fageria *et al.*, 2009).

Micronutrients such as zinc, boron, manganese, copper and iron are critical for various physiological processes in orchard crops including enzyme activation, photosynthesis and reproductive development (Suman *et al.*, 2017; Shukla and Tiwari, 2016). Despite their importance, these elements are frequently deficient in soils due to pH fluctuations and interactions with other soil components (Masunaga and Fong, 2018). Foliar application provides a practical solution for correcting these deficiencies, ensuring that plants receive adequate amounts of these critical nutrients during key growth phases (Weinbaum, 1988; Zúñiga *et al.*, 2018). Moreover, research has demonstrated that foliar feeding not only improves the immediate nutrient status of the plant but also enhances overall crop resilience to environmental stresses, such as drought and pest infestations (Tariq *et al.*, 2007; Gautam *et al.*, 2021).

In addition to addressing micronutrient deficiencies, foliar applications offer flexibility in nutrient management by enabling repeated, small-scale interventions throughout the growing season. This approach ensures nutrient delivery is synchronized with the crop's specific needs, promoting better growth, flowering, and fruit set (Annes *et al.*, 2011; Khan *et al.*, 2020a). Studies have shown that foliar

**Commented [DD2]:** I suggest using another term so as not to confuse it with supplementation, maybe "to enhance the essential micronutrients in the edible parts of orchard crops"

feeding with micronutrients like zinc and boron significantly enhances fruit quality at harvest, with improvements observed in parameters such as firmness, color and sugar content (Davaranah *et al.*, 2016; Eichert and Burkhardt, 2001). However, the effects of foliar application vary depending on factors such as timing, concentration and formulation of nutrient solutions, as well as the physiological condition of the trees (Wojcik, 2007; Herrera *et al.*, 2020). Thus, ongoing research is essential to refine foliar feeding strategies and optimize their impact on orchard productivity and sustainability.

### **Essential Micronutrients in Orchards**

#### **Iron (Fe)**

Iron is crucial for chlorophyll synthesis and acts as a catalyst in various enzymatic processes within the plant (Marschner, 2012). Iron deficiency, often referred to as chlorosis, appears as yellowing of young leaves with green veins, severely affecting photosynthesis and growth (Kabata-Pendias and Pendias, 2001). Foliar application of iron chelates has proven effective in alleviating chlorosis in citrus orchards, enhancing leaf greenness and improving fruit quality (Tagliavini and Rombola, 2001).

#### **Zinc (Zn)**

Zinc plays a vital role in protein synthesis, growth regulation and hormone production (Alloway, 2008b). Zinc deficiency in orchard crops like apples and pears often results in stunted growth, leaf curling, and reduced fruit set (Mengel and Kirkby, 2001). Foliar applications of zinc sulfate quickly correct these deficiencies, promoting healthy tree growth and increasing yield (Swietlik and Faust, 1984).

#### **Manganese (Mn)**

Manganese is essential for photosynthesis, respiration, and nitrogen metabolism (Marschner, 2012). Deficiency symptoms, such as interveinal chlorosis and necrotic leaf spotting can negatively impact fruit development (Loneragan, 1988). Foliar spraying of manganese chelates restores normal physiological functions and enhances fruit quality in affected orchards (Hansch and Mendel, 2009).

#### **Copper (Cu)**

Copper is involved in lignin synthesis, enzyme activation and defense against pathogens (Broadley *et al.*, 2012). Copper deficiency may cause dieback of shoots and reduce disease resistance in trees (Bowen, 1979). Foliar application of copper fertilizers helps maintain adequate levels, supporting tree structure and disease resistance (Karpinets *et al.*, 2008).

#### Boron (B)

Boron is critical for cell wall formation, membrane integrity and reproductive processes (Marschner, 2012). In orchards, boron deficiency may lead to flower abortion, poor fruit set and brittle wood (Brown *et al.*, 2002). Foliar applications of boron have shown improvements in fruit set and quality, especially in high-demand crops like apples and pears (Shorrocks, 1997).

#### Molybdenum (Mo)

Molybdenum is essential for nitrogen fixation and various enzyme functions (Kaiser *et al.*, 2005). Symptoms of molybdenum deficiency include leaf curling, chlorosis and reduced nitrogen use efficiency (Gupta, 1997). Foliar application of molybdenum fertilizers enhances nitrogen metabolism and overall health of the tree. (Mendel and Hansch, 2002).

#### Chlorine (Cl)

Chlorine is essential for osmotic regulation and ionic balance within plant cells (White and Broadley, 2001). Although less frequently deficient, chlorine is crucial for water regulation and photosynthesis (Marschner, 2012). Foliar application of chloride salts can benefit trees in cases of water stress or ionic imbalance (Marschner, 1995).

### **Pathway and Mechanism of Nutrient Uptake in Foliar Applications**

Green leaves, primarily recognized for their role in photosynthesis, also serve as crucial sites for the absorption of both inorganic and organic materials. Studies indicate that leaf surfaces can effectively absorb a variety of nutrients, promoting plant growth and overall health (Baker and Tibbitts, 2006; Muthukumar *et al.*, 2020). The practice of foliar spraying micronutrients is widely employed to address nutrient

deficiencies, thereby enhancing fruit quality and overall plant vigor (Kumar *et al.*, 2018; Singh *et al.*, 2021).

For foliar-applied nutrients to be utilized effectively by the plant, they must first penetrate the leaf's outer cuticle and walls of the underlying epidermal cells before entering the cytoplasm. The cuticle, a waxy layer serving as a protective barrier, poses the greatest resistance to nutrient penetration. Once nutrients breach this barrier, their absorption mimics that of roots, where the surrounding environment significantly influences uptake (Sinha *et al.*, 2019; Zeng *et al.*, 2021). Research shows that both stomatal and cuticular pathways are involved in absorption process, with cuticle being the primary entry point for most nutrients. While stomatal uptake does occur, it is generally less significant than cuticular uptake (Khan *et al.*, 2020b; Tranquillo *et al.*, 2022).

The primary aim of foliar application is not to replace soil fertilization but to complement it, especially for secondary nutrients (calcium, magnesium, and sulfur) and micronutrients (zinc, manganese, iron, copper, boron, and molybdenum). This approach is particularly advantageous through growth stages characterized by reduced photosynthesis rates and limited root growth, allowing targeted nutrient translocation into seeds, fruits, tubers, or vegetative tissues (Gogosz *et al.*, 2020; Novak *et al.*, 2021). Furthermore, early foliar applications can stimulate vigorous growth and maximize yield potential during critical growth phases, making foliar feeding an effective management strategy to combat environmentally induced stresses and nutrient deficiencies (Yang *et al.*, 2021). The benefits of foliar feeding extend beyond immediate nutrient absorption, leading to improved crop responses through enhanced growth rates and higher yields, ultimately contributing to more sustainable agricultural practices (Wang *et al.*, 2019; Hossain *et al.*, 2021).

### **Methods of Foliar Application**

Foliar fertilizers play a crucial role in the precise management of micronutrient deficiencies in orchard crops. These fertilizers can be broadly categorized based on their solubility and chemical composition, which significantly affect their stability, bioavailability, and efficacy (Fageria *et al.*, 2016; Shen *et al.*, 2020). Chelated micronutrients are predominantly favored in foliar applications due to their superior stability and enhanced bioavailability. Chelating agents such as EDTA (ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid) and EDDHA (ethylenediamine-N,N'-bis (2-

hydroxyphenylacetic acid)) are commonly employed to form stable complexes with micronutrients, thereby preventing precipitation and facilitating efficient uptake by foliage (Gupta *et al.*, 2014; Wang *et al.*, 2017). These chelated forms ensure that micronutrients remain soluble under varying environmental conditions, enhancing their accessibility to plant tissues (Sharma and Singh, 2018).

In addition to chelated forms, non-chelated micronutrient fertilizers are also utilized, although they are less common due to their tendency to react with other foliar-applied substances, which can reduce bioavailability and potentially cause foliar burn (Badejo *et al.*, 2019; Reddy *et al.*, 2021). Organic foliar fertilizers, derived from natural sources such as seaweed extracts and compost teas, offer an eco-friendly alternative by providing micronutrients in a readily assimilable form while also enhancing soil health and microbial activity (O'Brien *et al.*, 2021; Rahman *et al.*, 2020). These organic formulations contain a complex mixture of micronutrients and beneficial compounds that can synergistically enhance plant growth and resilience (Benson *et al.*, 2022).

Furthermore, micronutrient fertilizers can be formulated as liquid concentrates or ready-to-use sprays, allowing for flexibility in application based on orchard size and management practices. Liquid concentrates enable precise mixing and customization of nutrient solutions to address specific deficiencies, while ready-to-use sprays offer convenience and time efficiency, especially in large-scale operations (Gonzalez *et al.*, 2020; Tiwari *et al.*, 2019). The choice of fertilizer type depends on factors such as the specific micronutrient requirements of the orchard crop, the existing soil nutrient profile, and the desired speed of nutrient correction (Zhang *et al.*, 2020; Hossain *et al.*, 2021).

### **Application Techniques**

Effective foliar application requires proper spraying techniques to ensure uniform coverage and optimal absorption. Common methods include:

#### **Hand Spraying**

This traditional method involves manually applying nutrient solutions using handheld sprayers. While labor-intensive and best suited for small orchards or targeted applications, hand spraying allows for precise control over the quantity and

distribution of the fertilizer. This method is particularly useful for addressing localized deficiencies or applying spot treatments in specific areas of the orchard (Khan *et al.*, 2020c; Reddy *et al.*, 2021). However, scalability and consistency can be challenging, making it less feasible for large-scale operations (Badejo *et al.*, 2019).

### Mechanical Spraying

For larger orchards, mechanical sprayers mounted on tractors or other machinery provide a more efficient and consistent application of foliar fertilizers. These sprayers can cover extensive areas rapidly, ensuring uniform nutrient distribution across the orchard canopy. Advances in spray technology, such as precision nozzles and variable rate controllers, have enhanced the effectiveness of mechanical spraying by allowing for adjustable spray patterns and application rates based on real-time data (Sharma and Singh, 2018; Gonzalez *et al.*, 2020). This method reduces labor costs and increases operational efficiency, making it a preferred choice for commercial orchard management (Hossain *et al.*, 2021).

### Aerial Spraying

In expansive orchards where ground-based spraying is impractical, aerial spraying using aircraft or drones are employed. This method enables the rapid coverage of large areas with minimal labor. However, it requires careful calibration to prevent nutrient drift and ensure target coverage. Precision aerial spraying technologies, such as GPS-guided systems and advanced nozzle designs, have mitigated some challenges associated with this method by enhancing accuracy and reducing off-target deposition (O'Brien *et al.*, 2021; Zhao and White, 2008). Additionally, drones equipped with multispectral sensors can facilitate site-specific applications by identifying areas with nutrient deficiencies, thereby optimizing resource use efficiency and minimizing environmental impact (Tan and Huang, 2018).

### Advanced Spraying Technologies

Emerging technologies, such as electrostatic spraying and thermal fogging, offer innovative solutions to improve foliar fertilizer application. Electrostatic spraying imparts a positive charge to fertilizer droplets, enhancing their adhesion to the negatively charged plant surfaces and reducing drift. Thermal fogging produces

ultra-fine droplets that can penetrate dense canopies and reach the undersides of leaves, ensuring comprehensive nutrient coverage. These advanced techniques contribute to higher application efficiency and reduced nutrient loss, thereby maximizing the benefits of foliar feeding (Gupta *et al.*, 2014; Liu *et al.*, 2021).

#### Timing and Frequency

The timing of foliar applications is critical for maximizing nutrient uptake. Applications are typically scheduled during periods of active growth when stomatal openings facilitate nutrient absorption (Marschner, 2012). The frequency depends on the specific micronutrient, deficiency severity and orchard crop with some requiring multiple applications throughout the growing season (Gupta and Bansal, 2014).

#### Factors Influencing Efficacy

##### a. Environmental Conditions

Temperature, humidity and wind speed significantly affect foliar nutrient uptake. Optimal environmental conditions typically include moderate temperatures, high humidity and low wind to enhance leaf wetness duration and nutrient absorption (White and Broadley, 2003). Adverse weather conditions can reduce efficacy by increasing nutrient runoff or volatilization (Gupta and Bansal, 2014).

##### b. Leaf Surface Characteristics

Leaf anatomy and surface properties influence nutrient uptake. Younger leaves with more stomata are generally more receptive to foliar nutrients compared to older leaves (Marschner, 2012). Additionally, the presence of waxy cuticles can impede nutrient absorption, necessitating the use of surfactants or adjuvants to enhance penetration (White and Broadley, 2003).

##### c. Nutrient Formulation

The chemical form of micronutrient impacts its stability and bioavailability. Chelated forms are preferred for their superior stability and reduced tendency to react with other foliar-applied substances, ensuring higher uptake efficiency (Gupta and Bansal, 2014). Non-chelated forms may precipitate on leaf surfaces, decreasing their availability for absorption (White and Broadley, 2003).

## Benefits of Foliar Micronutrient Application

### a. Enhanced Nutrient Uptake

Foliar application effectively bypasses soil-related constraints, providing direct access to essential micronutrients and ensuring their timely availability to orchard trees. This method enhances nutrient use efficiency and reduces the likelihood of deficiencies (Zhang *et al.*, 2021; Ghosh *et al.*, 2022).

### b. Improved Tree Health and Yield

An adequate supply of micronutrients through foliar feeding supports various physiological processes, leading to healthier trees with enhanced growth rates and increased yields. Improved photosynthesis and respiration rates contribute significantly to overall vigor and productivity (Ranjan *et al.*, 2020; Arora *et al.*, 2021).

### c. Superior Fruit Quality

Trees enriched with micronutrients produce fruits with improved size, color, flavor, and shelf life. For example, sufficient zinc and boron levels are associated with better fruit set and reduced fruit drop, thereby enhancing both the quantity and quality of the harvest (Mishra *et al.*, 2021; Sarker *et al.*, 2023).

### d. Disease Resistance

Proper micronutrient nutrition enhances tree defenses against pathogens and pests. Copper for instance, plays a crucial role in lignin synthesis, contributing to the structural integrity of trees and their resistance to fungal infections (Choudhary *et al.*, 2020; Akhtar *et al.*, 2022).

## Challenges and Considerations

### a. Cost and Labor

Foliar application, while effective for targeted nutrient delivery, can be considerably more expensive and labor-intensive than traditional soil fertilization, particularly in large-scale orchards that necessitate extensive and frequent spraying operations. The high cost of premium foliar fertilizers, which often include

specialized chelating agents to enhance nutrient uptake, can strain the financial resources of growers (White and Broadley, 2003; Gupta and Bansal, 2014). Additionally, the requirement for specialized equipment, such as precision sprayers and calibration tools, further escalates operational expenses. Training personnel to handle advanced spraying machinery and manage application protocols adds another layer of labor cost, making adoption challenging for smaller farms (Singh and Kaur, 2015). Moreover, the logistical demands of scheduling multiple applications throughout the growing season can lead to increased labor burdens, especially in regions facing labor shortages (Ram and Bose, 2000). Consequently, the initial investment and ongoing operational costs associated with foliar fertilization may deter some growers from implementing this practice, despite its potential benefits (Dillon and Moy, 2007).

#### b. Risk of Over-Application

One of the foremost challenges with foliar fertilization is the risk of over-application, which can precipitate nutrient toxicity and result in adverse physiological effects such as leaf burn and tissue damage. Unlike soil applications, where excess nutrients may leach or become bound within the soil matrix, foliar-applied nutrients are readily available for immediate uptake, heightening the risk of phytotoxicity if applied in excess (Marschner, 2012; Gupta and Bansal, 2014). This is particularly critical for micronutrients like copper and manganese, which are required in trace amounts but can become toxic when over-applied, disrupting essential physiological processes (Davarpanah *et al.*, 2016). Additionally, excessive application can lead to nutrient imbalances, where the uptake of one micronutrient interferes with the absorption of others, exacerbating deficiencies rather than alleviating them. To mitigate these risks, precise calibration of nutrient formulations and adherence to recommended application rates are imperative, necessitating meticulous monitoring and management practices (Suman *et al.*, 2017). Failure to do so not only compromises plant health but also diminishes the overall efficacy of foliar fertilization strategies (Singh and Kaur, 2015).

#### c. Environmental Impact

While foliar fertilization offers advantages such as reduced soil nutrient runoff and minimized groundwater contamination, it presents its own set of environmental challenges. Improper application techniques, such as aerial spraying under

**Commented [DD3]:** Review whether there aren't studies that show better alternatives in terms of cost-benefit, for example in the case of zinc instead of the commonly used zinc sulphate fertilizer, consider studies that use zinc oxide and have shown similar results. This is to say that there are more cost-effective alternatives and that applying 4 times throughout the production cycle would be sufficient, not causing such a substantial increase in labor as mentioned. Not least because there are other fertilizers that are applied foliarly and can be applied at the same time, without increasing the workload.

**Commented [DD4R3]:** Explain or highlight the cases where the cost could be higher (you mentioned before in the application techniques). Because when people read this part, it seems that foliar spaying is always more expensive than soil.

unfavorable weather conditions, can lead to off-target deposition, adversely affecting non-target plants, insects and surrounding ecosystems (Gupta and Bansal, 2014). Drift from aerial applications can result in nutrient deposits in unintended areas, potentially causing soil and water contamination, disrupting local biodiversity (Masunaga and Fong, 2018). Furthermore, the volatilization of certain foliar-applied micronutrients can contribute to atmospheric pollution, posing risks to both human health and the environment (Zhao and White, 2008). To address these issues, adherence to best practices, such as precise equipment calibration, optimal timing based on weather forecasts, and the establishment of buffer zones, is essential to minimize environmental impact. Additionally, the development and use of eco-friendly adjuvants and surfactants can enhance nutrient adherence to leaf surfaces, reducing the likelihood of drift and off-target effects (Tan and Huang, 2018). Ongoing research into sustainable application methods and formulations is crucial to balance the benefits of foliar fertilization with environmental stewardship (Hawkesford, 2009).

### **Best Practices for Foliar Application**

#### Proper Diagnosis

Accurate diagnosis of micronutrient deficiencies through soil and leaf tissue testing is essential for targeted and effective foliar interventions. Regular monitoring allows for timely adjustments to nutrient management strategies, optimizing plant health and yield (Rafiq *et al.*, 2021; Sharma *et al.*, 2022).

#### Use of Adjuvants

Incorporating surfactants or other adjuvants can enhance nutrient penetration and absorption, particularly in plants with waxy leaf surfaces. Adjuvants reduce surface tension, facilitating better nutrient distribution and uptake, ultimately improving the effectiveness of foliar applications (Martinez *et al.*, 2021).

#### Integrated Nutrient Management

Combining foliar application with soil fertilization and other nutrient management practices ensures a balanced and comprehensive approach to orchard nutrition. Integrated strategies enhance overall nutrient availability and utilization efficiency, leading to healthier plants and better yields (Ahmad *et al.*, 2023).

### Timing Optimization

Scheduling foliar applications during optimal growth phases, such as early spring or late summer, maximizes nutrient uptake and minimizes losses due to environmental factors. Avoiding application during extreme weather conditions is crucial for maintaining efficacy and achieving the desired results (Mollah *et al.*, 2023).

**Table 1: Case Studies on Foliar Application of Micronutrients in Various Orchards**

Orchard Type	Micronutrients Applied	Benefits Observed	Reference
Citrus orchards	Iron and zinc	Corrected chlorosis, improved fruit size and quality, enhanced tree vigor, increased marketable yield	Gupta and Bansal, 2014
Apple orchards	Boron and manganese	Significant improvements in fruit set, reduction in fruit drop, robust tree performance during flowering	White and Broadley, 2003
Pear orchards	Copper and molybdenum	Enhanced disease resistance, improved nitrogen metabolism, healthier trees with better growth rates	Gupta and Bansal, 2014
Cherry orchards	Zinc and iron	Improved fruit quality, increased yield, and enhanced color development in fruits	Annes <i>et al.</i> , 2011
Peach orchards	Boron	Enhanced flowering and fruit set, leading to increased marketable yield	Davarpanah <i>et al.</i> , 2016
Mango orchards	Magnesium and zinc	Improved fruit size and weight, enhanced resistance to pests and diseases	Tariq <i>et al.</i> , 2007
Grape orchards	Calcium and boron	Better fruit development, improved flavor profile, and increased resistance to disease	Shoeib and Sayed, 2003

**Commented [DD5]:** As this is a review, I'd advise you to post more recent studies (2021, 2022, 2023, 2024), there are several, whether on grapes or pears, for example

Avocado orchards	Manganese and copper	Increased yield, improved fruit quality, and enhanced tree health	Masunaga and Fong, 2018
Almond orchards	Iron and zinc	Correction of deficiency symptoms, improved nut quality and size	Ram and Bose, 2000
Walnut orchards	Iron and manganese	Enhanced growth rates, improved yield, and better fruit quality	Suman <i>et al.</i> , 2017
Pomegranate orchards	Zinc and molybdenum	Increased fruit weight, improved quality, and enhanced disease resistance	Wojcik, 2004
Blueberry orchards	Iron and calcium	Improved fruit quality, higher anthocyanin content, and increased marketable yield	Weinbaum, 1988

## Future Directions

### a. Precision Agriculture

The future of foliar nutrient application in orchards is poised for transformation through advancements in precision agriculture technologies. Integrating tools such as remote sensing, drones, and satellite imagery offers unprecedented opportunities to monitor and analyze plant health and nutrient status in real-time. These technologies enable the identification of specific areas within an orchard exhibiting nutrient deficiencies, allowing for targeted and efficient nutrient delivery through foliar spraying (Raju *et al.*, 2020). For instance, multispectral imagery can assess leaf chlorophyll content and other physiological indicators, allowing for precise calibration of foliar applications (Tucker *et al.*, 2015). This approach enhances nutrient use efficiency and reduces costs associated with excessive or unnecessary applications, yielding both economic and environmental benefits. Additionally, data analytics and machine learning can predict nutrient needs based on historical trends, optimizing application schedules and amounts (Kumari *et al.*, 2020). By integrating these advanced technologies into orchard management, growers can achieve higher yields while minimizing resource wastage (Zhang *et al.*, 2019).

**Commented [DD6]:** It would be interesting to refer the NDVI index (vigor of the plant)

## b. Sustainable Practices

Future research is increasingly focused on integrating foliar micronutrient applications with sustainable orchard management practices. This includes developing eco-friendly chelating agents that are less harmful to the environment compared to traditional synthetic chelates (White and Broadley, 2003). Biodegradable adjuvants are also being investigated to enhance the efficacy of foliar sprays without contributing to soil or water contamination. Additionally, implementing practices like cover cropping and organic amendments can enhance soil health and micronutrient availability, complementing foliar feeding strategies (Gahoonia and Nielsen, 2004). This holistic approach aims to improve crop yield and quality while aligning with the growing demand for sustainable agricultural practices in the face of climate change. Moreover, the adoption of integrated pest management (IPM) strategies can synergistically work with foliar fertilization, reducing pesticide reliance and enhancing overall orchard health (Srinivasan *et al.*, 2018).

## c. Genetic Improvement

A promising direction for the future of foliar micronutrient application lies in the genetic improvement of orchard crops. Breeding programs that focus on developing varieties with enhanced nutrient use efficiency and resilience to micronutrient deficiencies can significantly complement foliar application strategies (Gupta and Bansal, 2014). By identifying and selecting traits related to micronutrient uptake and utilization, researchers can develop tree varieties that require fewer supplemental nutrients, reducing dependence on external inputs and lowering production costs (Berg *et al.*, 2013). Advances in genomic techniques, such as CRISPR and marker-assisted selection, are poised to accelerate the identification of beneficial traits, allowing for the rapid development of improved orchard varieties (Zhou *et al.*, 2020). Additionally, exploring symbiotic relationships between root systems and beneficial soil microorganisms can enhance nutrient uptake efficiency in orchard crops. Ultimately, this genetic approach, combined with effective foliar application practices, can contribute to more sustainable and productive orchard systems, addressing both current challenges and future demands in agriculture (Sharma *et al.*, 2018).

#### d. Climate Resilience

As climate change poses increasing challenges to agriculture, future research should focus on enhancing the resilience of orchards to abiotic stresses such as drought, salinity, and temperature extremes. Foliar applications of certain micronutrients can improve plant stress tolerance by enhancing physiological processes like photosynthesis, transpiration, and antioxidant production (Akkar *et al.*, 2019). Studies have shown that applying micronutrients like zinc and boron can strengthen cellular structures and improve overall plant resilience under stress conditions (Hussain *et al.*, 2018). Research efforts should also aim to develop integrated approaches that combine foliar nutrition with practices such as soil moisture management and drought-resistant rootstocks. Additionally, monitoring climate variability and its impacts on nutrient dynamics will be essential for fine-tuning foliar application schedules and nutrient formulations (Thompson *et al.*, 2020). This focus on climate resilience will enhance orchard productivity and ensure the long-term sustainability of fruit production systems under changing environmental conditions.

#### e. Consumer Education and Awareness

The future success of foliar application practices will also depend on educating consumers and stakeholders about the benefits and safety of foliar fertilization. Transparent communication regarding the methods and rationale behind foliar nutrient applications can foster greater acceptance among consumers increasingly concerned about food safety and environmental sustainability (Gao *et al.*, 2019). Providing resources and training for growers on best practices in foliar nutrition can enhance adoption rates and optimize outcomes in fruit quality and yield (Schmidt *et al.*, 2020). Furthermore, establishing collaborations between researchers, extension services, and agricultural organizations will be crucial in disseminating knowledge and facilitating the exchange of innovative practices among orchard managers. Promoting the understanding of micronutrient roles in human health and nutrition will support consumer demand for high-quality produce, thereby benefiting orchard profitability and sustainability.

Commented [DD7]: Projects of universities

## Conclusion

Foliar application of micronutrients is a valuable tool in orchard management, offering rapid and efficient correction of nutrient deficiencies that soil applications may not address promptly. By ensuring optimal micronutrient levels, growers can achieve healthier trees, higher yields, and superior fruit quality. However, successful implementation requires careful diagnosis, precise application techniques, and integration with broader nutrient management strategies. Continued research and technological advancements will further enhance the efficacy and sustainability of foliar micronutrient applications in orchards.

## References

1. Ahmad, M., Maqsood, I. and Shah, A. A. (2023). Integrated nutrient management for sustainable orchard production: A review. *Journal of Horticultural Science and Technology*, 5(1), 34-47.
2. Akhtar, M., Rauf, S., and Khan, M. A. (2022). Copper-induced changes in the physiological and biochemical parameters of trees under stress conditions. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 29(19), 28023-28033.
3. Akkar, M., El-Sharkawy, I. and Fawzy, Z. (2019). The role of micronutrients in enhancing plant stress tolerance. *Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, 19(3), 437-448.
4. Alloway, B. J. (2008 a). *Micronutrient Deficiencies in Global Crop Production*. Springer Science and Business Media.
5. Alloway, B. J. (2008b). *Zinc in soils and crop nutrition* (2nd ed.). International Zinc Association and International Fertilizer Industry Association.
6. Annes, Y., Mousavi, S. F., and Abbaspour, M. (2011). Effect of foliar application of micronutrients on growth and yield of pistachio trees. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 34(6), 901-911.
7. Arora, S., Singh, J., and Kumar, A. (2021). Role of micronutrients in enhancing the growth and yield of fruit crops. *Horticultural Plant Journal*, 7(3), 175-184.
8. Badejo, A. A., Okunlola, A. O., and Oladele, B. O. (2019). Impact of non-chelated micronutrients on the growth and yield of maize. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 42(5), 507-518.
9. Baker, J. T., and Tibbitts, T. W. (2006). Leaf cuticle and nutrient uptake in plants. *Physiologia Plantarum*, 128(1), 73-82.

10. Benson, E. E., Hakan, G., and Khosro, S. (2022). The synergistic effects of organic foliar fertilizers on crop growth and quality. *Sustainable Agriculture Research*, 11(2), 42-55.
11. Berg, W., Toth, A., and Csiszar, J. (2013). Breeding for nutrient use efficiency in fruit trees: A review. *Plant Breeding*, 132(6), 626-637.
12. Bowen, J. E. (1979). Role of copper in plant development. *Plant Physiology*, 63(6), 1114-1116.
13. Broadley, M. R., White, P. J., Hammond, J. P., Zelko, I., and Lux, A. (2012). Zinc in plants. *New Phytologist*, 173(4), 677-702.
14. Brown, P. H., Bellaloui, N., Wimmer, M. A., Bassil, E. S., Ruiz, J., Hu, H., and Zamboni, L. (2002). Boron in plant biology. *Plant Biology*, 4(2), 205-223.
15. Choudhary, M. A., Aftab, T., and Bhat, A. (2020). Foliar application of micronutrients for enhancing crop productivity and quality. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, 8(3), 3054-3059.
16. Davarpanah, M. R., Tehranifar, A., Davarynejad, G. H., Abadía, J., and Khorasani, R. (2016). Effects of foliar applications of zinc and boron nanofertilizers on pomegranate (*Punica granatum* cv. Ardestani) fruit yield and quality. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 210, 57-64.
17. Dillon, C. P., and Moy, F. M. (2007). Strategies for Micronutrient Management in High-Value Fruit Orchards. *Horticultural Science*, 42(2), 150-157.
18. Eichert, T., and Burkhardt, J. (2001). Quantification of stomatal uptake of ionic solutes using a new model system. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 52(362), 771-781.
19. El-Jendoubi, H., Dell'Orto, M., and Garcia-Mina, J. M. (2011). Foliar applications in orchard management. *Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*, 77(2), 98-105.
20. Fageria, N. K., Baligar, V. C., and Clark, R. B. (2016). Micronutrients in crop production. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 39(7), 1007-1026.
21. Fageria, N. K., Barbosa Filho, M. P., Moreira, A., and Guimarães, C. M. (2009). Foliar fertilization of crop plants. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 32(6), 1044-1064.
22. Fernández, V., and Eichert, T. (2009). Uptake of hydrophilic solutes through plant leaves: Current state of knowledge and perspectives of foliar fertilization. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences*, 28(1-2), 36-68.
23. Fernández, V., and Brown, P. H. (2013). From plant surface to plant metabolism: The uncertain fate of foliar-applied nutrients. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 4, 289.

24. Gahoonia, T. S., and Nielsen, N. E. (2004). Root traits for efficient phosphorus uptake in plants. In *Soil Nutrient Management in Fruit Crops* (pp. 23-35). Springer.
25. Gao, H., Wang, Y., and Zhang, X. (2019). Consumer perception of foliar fertilization in food crops: A survey analysis. *Sustainability*, 11(16), 4480.
26. Gautam, S., and Tiwari, R. (2021). Foliar feeding to mitigate micronutrient stress in orchards. *Journal of Plant Physiology*, 105, 125-135.
27. Ghosh, S., Ghosh, M., and Samanta, A. (2022). Micronutrient management in horticultural crops: Current status and future prospects. *Current Horticulture*, 10(1), 20-28.
28. Gogosz, A., Wyszowska, J., and Stachurska, A. (2020). Foliar application of micronutrients: Effectiveness and application methods. *Agriculture*, 10(4), 129.
29. González, L., Tejada, A., and Van Haperen, M. (2020). Foliar application methods for nutrient management in orchard systems: A review. *Agronomy*, 10(5), 657.
30. Gupta, R., and Bansal, S. (2014). Foliar Fertilization in Orchard Crops: A Review. *International Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 2(3), 45-60.
31. Gupta, S., Patel, J., and Gupta, V. (2014). The role of chelated micronutrients in plant nutrition. *Plant and Soil*, 378(1-2), 1-12.
32. Gupta, U. C. (1997). *Boron deficiency and toxicity in crops*. Springer.
33. Hansch, R., and Mendel, R. R. (2009). Physiological functions of mineral micronutrients in plants. *Physiologia Plantarum*, 133(4), 667-680.
34. Hawkesford, M. J. (2009). Plant Nutrition for Sustainable Development. *Plant and Soil*, 318(1-2), 1-3.
35. Herrera, R., and Reyes, F. (2020). Impacts of foliar nutrition on orchard crops under stress. *Advances in Horticultural Science*, 45(3), 267-280.
36. Hossain, M. B., McDonald, G. K., and Turner, D. W. (2021). Foliar nutrient application in horticultural crops: A review of methods and effectiveness. *Horticultural Science*, 48(2), 84-90.
37. Hussain, A., Ahmed, M., and Khan, N. (2018). Effects of foliar-applied micronutrients on growth and yield of horticultural crops under stress conditions. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology*, 20(4), 715-724.
38. Kabata-Pendias, A., and Pendias, H. (2001). *Trace elements in soils and plants* (3rd ed.). CRC Press.
39. Kaiser, B. N., Gridley, K. L., Brady, J. N., Phillips, T., and Tyerman, S. D. (2005). The role of molybdenum in agricultural plant nutrition. *Annals of Botany*, 96(5), 745-754.

40. Karpinets, T. V., Greenwood, M., Sams, C. E., and Ammons, J. T. (2008). Impact of copper on plant metabolism and plant development. *Agronomy Journal*, 100(3), 734-738.
41. Khan, M. I. R., Fadhl, B. M., and Kim, K. M. (2020b). The role of cuticular pathways in nutrient uptake in plants: A review. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 11, 83.
42. Khan, M. I., Ali, S., and Nasir, A. (2020c). Traditional vs. modern methods of foliar application: A comparative study. *Plant Growth Regulation*, 92(2), 267-277.
43. Khan, M. M., Abbas, G., Ahmed, F., and Saeed, M. (2020a). Leaf absorption efficiency in horticultural crops through foliar spray. *Plant Physiology Reports*, 25(2), 105-113.
44. Kumar, V., Saini, R., and Kaur, R. (2018). Effect of foliar application of micronutrients on the growth and yield of fruit crops: A review. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*, 75(3), 407-415.
45. Kumari, S., Singh, R. P., and Kumar, M. (2020). Predictive modeling of nutrient requirements for precision agriculture. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 12(6), 191-204.
46. Liu, F., Zhang, Y., and Liu, G. (2021). Advances in aerial spraying technology for precision agriculture: A review. *Agricultural Sciences*, 12(4), 467-479.
47. Loneragan, J. F. (1988). Distribution and movement of manganese in plants. In *Manganese in Soils and Plants* (pp. 113-123). Springer.
48. Marschner, H. (1995). *Mineral nutrition of higher plants* (2nd ed.). Academic Press.
49. Marschner, H. (2012). *Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants* (3rd ed.). Academic Press.
50. Martinez, J., Varela, A., and García, A. (2021). The role of surfactants in improving foliar nutrient absorption in plants: Implications for crop management. *Agronomy*, 11(2), 301
51. Masunaga, T., and Fong, J. D. (2018). Soil nutrient dynamics and plant response in high-value orchards. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 185, 99-107.
52. Mendel, R. R., and Hänsch, R. (2002). Molybdenum in plants and animals. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, 53, 221-251.
53. Mengel, K., and Kirkby, E. A. (2001). *Principles of plant nutrition* (5th ed.). Kluwer Academic Publishers.
54. Mishra, S., Yadav, B., and Singh, R. (2021). Effects of micronutrients on fruit quality parameters of various fruit crops. *Horticultural Science*, 48(2), 113-120.

55. Mollah, M. M., Talukdar, M. S., and Kader, M. A. (2023). Effect of environmental factors on the efficacy of foliar applied nutrients in horticultural crops. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 15(1), 1-12.
56. Muthukumar, S., Muthukumaravel, K., and Ramesh, S. (2020). Mechanisms of nutrient absorption through leaf surfaces: A review. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 43(5), 685-699.
57. Novak, J., Dolezal, J., and Sebel, M. (2021). Enhancing nutrient absorption through foliar applications: Effects on crop performance. *Agronomy Journal*, 113(3), 1587-1598.
58. O'Brien, N. J., Afolabi, K. F., and Olasunkanmi, O. A. (2021). Evaluating the efficacy of organic foliar fertilizers on crop performance and soil health. *Agricultural Sciences*, 12(6), 873-884.
59. Patil, S., and Chetan, M. (2016). Efficiency of micronutrient foliar spray in fruit crops. *Journal of Crop Improvement*, 30(3), 315-325.
60. Rafiq, M. A., Ahmed, M., and Shah, A. (2021). The significance of leaf tissue testing for diagnosing micronutrient deficiencies in fruit orchards. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 44(7), 981-995.
61. Rahman, M. M., Kim, J. H., and Ahn, S. J. (2020). Seaweed extracts as organic fertilizers: Effect on plant growth and soil health. *Agronomy Journal*, 112(6), 3359-3368.
62. Raju, D., Singh, R. K., and Kumar, R. (2020). Precision agriculture for sustainable fruit production: Innovations and challenges. *Sustainable Agriculture Reviews*, 43, 245-266.
63. Ram, R. A., and Bose, T. K. (2000). *Micronutrients in Horticulture Crops: Use and Influence on Growth and Yield*. Kalyani Publishers.
64. Ranjan, A., Kumar, A., and Singh, A. (2020). The role of foliar-applied nutrients in improving crop health and yield. *Research on Crops*, 21(2), 309-315.
65. Reddy, K. R., Kumar, A., and Prasad, K. (2021). Influence of non-chelated micronutrients on crop yield and quality. *Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, 21(4), 2835-2845.
66. Römheld, V., and El-Fouly, M. M. (1999). Foliar nutrient application: Challenge and progress. *Plant Nutrition*, 92, 429-436.
67. Sarker, S., Mondal, N., and Ray, S. (2023). Importance of micronutrients in fruit quality improvement. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 46(1), 101-115.
68. Schmidt, K., van der Werf, W., and Zander, K. (2020). Training and resources for growers in sustainable foliar nutrient management. *International Journal of Agronomy*, 2020, 1-12.

69. Sharma, P., Kumar, S., and Gupta, R. (2022). Soil and leaf tissue testing for efficient nutrient management in orchards. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 92(6), 883-891.
70. Sharma, R. K., and Singh, R. (2018). Chelated micronutrients: Their role in plant nutrition and development. *Current Science*, 115(10), 2036-2042.
71. Shen, J., Wang, Y., and Zhang, C. (2020). Soil and foliar nutrient management in fruit orchards: An integrated approach. *Agricultural Research*, 9(3), 449-458.
72. Shoeib, M., and Sayed, O. (2003). Effects of Calcium and Boron on the Quality of Grapes. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research*, 54(10), 1041-1048.
73. Shorrocks, V. M. (1997). The occurrence and correction of boron deficiency. *Plant and Soil*, 193(1-2), 121-148.
74. Shukla, A. K., and Tiwari, P. K. (2016). Micronutrients' role in crop productivity and sustainable agriculture. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 39(8), 1149-1171.
75. Singh, B., and Kaur, H. (2015). Impact of Foliar Micronutrient Application on Orchard Productivity. *International Journal of Agricultural Science and Research*, 5(4), 104-110.
76. Singh, P., Kumar, S., and Singh, S. (2021). Role of foliar application of micronutrients in enhancing fruit quality: A review. *Journal of Applied Horticulture*, 23(1), 30-37.
77. Sinha, A., Kumar, R., and Dey, A. (2019). Cuticular pathways in plant nutrition: A review of current research. *Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*, 182(1), 50-59.
78. Srinivasan, R., Jeyakumar, P., and Rahman, A. (2018). Integrated pest management in horticulture: Current practices and future perspectives. *Journal of Horticulture and Forestry*, 10(4), 33-41.
79. Suman, A., Verma, P., and Sharma, R. (2017). Effectiveness of foliar-applied micronutrients on fruit quality in horticultural crops. *Journal of Horticultural Science*, 52(3), 289-297.
80. Swietlik, D., and Faust, M. (1984). Foliar nutrition of fruit crops. *Horticultural Reviews*, 6, 287-355.
81. Tagliavini, M., and Rombola, A. D. (2001). Iron deficiency and chlorosis in orchard crops. *European Journal of Agronomy*, 15(2), 71-92.
82. Tan, X., and Huang, Y. (2018). Aerial precision spraying using drones: Current status and future perspectives. *Drones*, 2(4), 29.
83. Tariq, M., and Mott, C. J. B. (2007). The significance of foliar-applied micronutrients for enhancing crop resilience. *Plant and Soil*, 297(1-2), 1-14.

84. Thompson, A. M., Roberts, J. M., and Cross, J. (2020). Impacts of climate variability on nutrient dynamics in horticultural systems. *Climate and Agriculture*, 14(1), 89-104.
85. Tiwari, S., Sharma, S., and Jha, A. (2019). Advances in liquid foliar fertilizer technology: A review. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*, 182(2), 162-172.
86. Tranquillo, G., Consonni, R., and Ambrosini, R. (2022). Foliar nutrient absorption pathways in plants: Recent advances and future perspectives. *Plant Science*, 314, 111136.
87. Tucker, C. J., Slayback, D. A., Pinzon, J. E., and Los, S. O. (2015). Multispectral remote sensing in agriculture: Advances and applications. *Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology*, 17(6), 231-246.
88. Wang, C., Liu, X., and Yan, M. (2017). Effects of chelated micronutrients on the growth and yield of fruit crops. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 225, 189-196.
89. Wang, Y., Zhang, L., and Wang, X. (2019). Effects of foliar fertilization on fruit quality and yield in fruit crops: A meta-analysis. *Scientific Reports*, 9(1), 18120.
90. Weinbaum, S. A. (1988). Foliar absorption of nutrients and its significance in orchard management. *Horticultural Reviews*, 10, 121-145.
91. White, P. J., and Broadley, M. R. (2001). Chlorine in plants. *Annals of Botany*, 88(4), 905-920.
92. White, P. J., and Broadley, M. R. (2003). Calcium in plants. *Annals of Botany*, 92(4), 487-511.
93. Wojcik, P. (2007). Influence of foliar-applied micronutrients on apple quality. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 30(10), 1619-1631
94. Wojcik, P. (2004). The Role of Micronutrients in Fruit Tree Cultivation. *Journal of Fruit and Ornamental Plant Research*, 12(1), 45-54.
95. Yang, F., Huang, W., and Zhao, J. (2021). The role of foliar application in promoting plant growth and mitigating stress: A review. *Agronomy*, 11(7), 1355.
96. Zeng, Z., Huang, Z., and Zhang, Z. (2021). Mechanisms and applications of cuticular absorption in foliar nutrition. *Plant Biology*, 23(3), 523-534.
97. Zhang, Y., Liu, F., and Zhao, C. (2020). Foliar fertilization strategies in fruit crops: Benefits and limitations. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, 40(4), 39.
98. Zhang, Y., Liu, H., and Zhang, S. (2021). Foliar application of micronutrients: A potential solution to nutrient deficiencies in horticultural crops. *Journal of Horticultural Science and Biotechnology*, 96(2), 174-182.

99. Zhang, Y., Wang, X., and Chen, L. (2019). Advances in machine learning and data analytics for precision agriculture. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 164, 104-112.
100. Zhao, F. J., and White, P. J. (2008). Foliar fertilization: A review of the advantages and disadvantages. *Plant and Soil*, 306(1), 109-117.
101. Zhou, J., Huang, J., and Deng, L. (2020). CRISPR-based gene editing for nutrient use efficiency in horticultural crops. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, 156, 291-301.
102. Zuniga, L. A., and Gonzales, R. (2018). The benefits of foliar nutrient delivery in orchards. *Plant Science*, 256, 1-7.

UNDER PEER REVIEW