

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_ARJGO_127182 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Effect of Delivery Mode on Maternal Total Antioxidant Status and Glucose Levels in Reproductive-Aged Women in Owerri, Imo State, Nigeria |
| Type of the Article | |

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The concept of trying to measure antioxidant level is novel and interesting, but a lot needs to be clarified regarding duration of labour, whether first or second stage Caesarean Section. Because second stage would involve different anti-oxidant considerations than say planned Caesarean Section. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | That is OK | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | Suggestions written to first column will apply to abstract itself. | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | Yes. | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | As mentioned before, it is not just type of delivery vaginal or caesarean section, but duration and difficulties during delivery as well as timing of caesarean section needs to be classify then only one can get correct result. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | References are ok | |
| Minor REVISION comments | Yes | |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Sanjay Anant Gupte |
| Department, University & Country | Gupte Hospital and Centre for Research in Reproduction, India |