

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_126700
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic Variability and Association Studies for Yield and Yield Attributing Traits in Blackgram (<i>Vigna mungo</i> L. Hepper)
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article clearly conclude Genetic Variability and Association Studies for Yield and Yield Attributing Traits in Blackgram (<i>Vigna mungo</i> L. Hepper). While reviewing this manuscript actually, I became mesmerized while reading the abstract and continued in that vein until the very end of the manuscript. So, this type of study now days is essential for scientific researcher.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is excellent. But I suggest minor modification in this as "Genetic Variability and Association Studies on Yield and Yield Attributing Traits in Blackgram (<i>Vigna mungo</i> L. Hepper)"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	In the abstract, add one or two sentences about the challenges of the study and later discuss the contributions made. And also concise abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes manuscript is in proper structure.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In this manuscript some minor error and I want to do correct these error like so many time space not given between two words. These type of mistakes takes low rating in research work.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes but please some add recent references related to research	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	All about is good about language but some minor error found which mentioned in manuscript	
Optional/General comments	Please add more recent data on this if available.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sateesh Kumar Dhaked
Department, University & Country	Agriculture University Kota (Rajasthan), India