

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_127149
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Fungal Contamination in Whole and Sliced Ready-to-Eat Fruits Sold in Port Harcourt, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As food safety is an essential growing concern all over the world, its necessary to check the quality of products being sold into the market. The cases of foodborne illness are growing day by day, therefore checking upon the quality is of great concern. The study covers the fungal contamination in whole and sliced ready to eat fruits.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract of article is comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the article follows the proper structure.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study covers all the scientific areas related to the topic. The results are well interpreted and discussed in a proper manner.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes the references cited are correct and recent.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes the language is suitable. Minor corrections are required.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Deepali Chandwani
Department, University & Country	Maharana Pratap University of Agriculture and Technology, India