

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_127055
Title of the Manuscript:	IN VITRO EVALUATION OF ANTIFUNGAL ACTIVITIES OF Zingiber officinale (GINGER) AND Allium sativum (GARLIC) EXTRACTS ON FUNGAL ISOLATES FROM TINEA CAPITIS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research is relevant as it points us to the efficacy of medicinal herbs that can be used as antifungal which is an alternative to the conventional antifungal that is being threatened by resistance.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No, the results were not detailed and explicit, as the data mentioned did not point out the specific test organism.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is correct, the research is replicable.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	It is sufficient, although some are outdate 33,34 &35.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Generally, 5 fungal species were isolated which belong to 2 genera, however the report was centred around two species, how was the research narrowed down to 2 what of the remaining 3 isolates?	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ruth Chiamaka Osaro-Matthew</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Nigeria</b>