

**ReviewForm3**

JournalName:	<a href="#">SouthAsianJournalofResearchinMicrobiology</a>
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_SAJRM_127048
TitleoftheManuscript:	TreatedWastewaterbysomeMicroalgaeforIrrigation
TypeoftheArticle	OriginalResearchArticle

**ReviewForm3**

**PART1:ReviewComments**

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p><b>My opinion:</b> I suggest you rewrite this introduction, state what is a problem, why the research is essential, previous work that has been done, identify the research gaps, introduce the SGDs and circular economy, why the need to Treat Wastewater using selected Microalgae for Irrigation, this section is critical to convince the reviewers the importance of this paper. It should be clear that you have done a thorough review and what your paper is trying to address, show demographics of Microalgae generated, and incorporate previous economic analyses on similar projects that were done.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p><b>My opinion is the title of the article is not suitable.</b>  <b>I will propose the title to read:</b> "Treated Wastewater using selected Microalgae for Irrigation"</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p><b>The abstract of the article is not comprehensive and scientifically sound;</b> Add Highlights and they must be affirmative. Thus, you are advised to revise and add highlights by stating the specific outcome of the findings. A brief methodology explaining how these parameters were optimized is missing here please add? After the objective and the aim of the study write a brief methodology. Briefly report the results The author should expand the scope and incorporate specific sustainable development goals (SDGs)</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p><b>Subsections are not clear and properly written and there is lack of consistence.</b> There are no structures in the whole manuscript making the idea difficult to come out easily. Consider including structures, equations, flow charts to help reinforce the idea to the reader.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p><b>The manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound to my opinion, since it intends to give insights on:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Wastewater Treatment and the circular economy</li> <li>✓ Its peak on Irrigation, and Agriculture general.</li> </ul>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Yes, they are sufficient</p>	

**ReviewForm3**

<p><u>Minor</u>REVISIONcomments</p> <p><b>Isthe language/English quality of the articles suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The language is ok and suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u>comments</p>	<p><b>In general, this paper was well organized and written, and I recommend it for publication after minor revision.</b></p> <p><b>[1]. Highlights (mandatory)</b></p> <p>Highlights consist of a short collection of bullet points that convey the core findings of the article and should be submitted in a separate file in the online submission system. Please use 'Highlights' in the filename and include 3 to 5 bullet points (maximum 85 characters, including spaces, per bullet point).</p> <p><b>[2]</b></p> <p><b>The abstract should be rewritten to emphasize the importance and necessity of this paper.</b></p> <p><b>[3]. Graphical Abstract (optional)</b></p> <p>Graphical Abstracts should summarize the contents of the article in a concise, pictorial form designed to capture the attention of a wide readership online.</p> <p><b>[4] Keywords should be separated by colon"</b></p>	

**PART 2:**

	<p><b>Reviewer's comment</b></p>	<p><b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>Imwene Kennedy Omukada</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>The University of Nairobi, Kenya</b></p>