

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_127108
Title of the Manuscript:	Reconsidering Extension Advisory Services for Rural Livelihood Security
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>This manuscript holds significant importance for the scientific community as it addresses a critical gap in the existing literature by providing a quantitative analysis of Extension Advisory Services (EAS) in agriculture. By identifying and analyzing key variables and their interrelationships, the study offers valuable insights for policymakers and stakeholders, enabling more informed decision-making and the development of targeted strategies to enhance EAS efficiency.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p>Reconsidering "Extension Advisory Services for Rural Livelihood Security" is appropriate as it reflects the manuscript's focus on revisiting and analyzing Extension Advisory Services (EAS) in the context of their impact on rural livelihoods. However, if you aim for a more specific and research-focused title, an alternative could be:</p> <p><b>Quantitative Insights into Extension Advisory Services: Enhancing Rural Livelihoods through Evidence-Based Analysis</b></p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>The abstract provides a good overview of the study's aims, design, methodology, and findings but lacks coherence in parts and includes an irrelevant concluding sentence about "esophageal varices," which seems out of place. Clearly articulate the connection between the study's findings and the implications for agricultural development and policymaking. Avoid jargon or overly technical terms unless necessary for the audience. Highlight the implications of the identified EAS factors (Purpose, Strategies, Process, Product).</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p><b>yes</b></p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>This manuscript appears to be scientifically robust and technically sound, as it is grounded in established literature and focuses on a relevant and underexplored topic. It demonstrates a clear understanding of Extension Advisory Services (EAS), their role in addressing agricultural and rural development challenges, and the need for improving their efficiency. The use of a meta-analytic approach to identify key variables and analyze their relationships is methodologically rigorous, enabling a comprehensive synthesis of existing knowledge. Additionally, the manuscript is based on credible sources and highlights critical gaps, such as the limited focus on agricultural and rural credit, making it highly relevant for evidence-based policymaking. Its emphasis on addressing inefficiencies in EAS systems and proposing solutions aligns with the current needs of developing countries. The clarity of objectives and the logical flow of information further enhance its scientific validity.</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b> :</p>	<p>The references cited in the manuscript provide a good foundation, with several key studies on Extension Advisory Services (EAS) from credible sources. However, while the references are relevant, some are older and there appears to be a lack of more recent studies beyond 2018. Including recent and region-specific literature could strengthen the manuscript by incorporating the latest advancements and perspectives in EAS.</p>	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Conduct a thorough language editing process, ideally by a professional editor or a colleague proficient in academic English. Utilize grammar-checking tools like Grammarly or academic editing software to refine the text. Simplify complex sentence structures to ensure the manuscript is accessible to a broader scholarly audience.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Md. Shawan Uddin</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Rajshahi, Bangladesh</b>