

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_126701
Title of the Manuscript:	Field efficiency of Growth Regulators and Insecticides against on Pod Fly, <i>Melanagromyza Obtusa</i> in Pigeonpea (<i>Cajanus Cajan</i> , L.)
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The podfly, <i>Melanagromyza obtusa</i> is a serious pest of pigeonpea which causes 20 to 80% yield losses. It is a hidden pest that occurs at the pod formation stage without showing external symptoms. Knowledge of the efficacy of insecticides and insect growth regulators makes management easier. So, it is important for the scientific community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, I suggested slight change.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes. I have marked in the manuscript file	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The methodology and results are ok. Subsections are ok. So, the manuscript is scientifically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are old and not according to the format. Need to add some recent references.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Medium	
Optional/General comments	Read some other papers published in this journal and learn how to conclude. Write conclusions properly and modify them according to my suggestions carefully. PLEASE CHECK ATTACHMENT	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manoj Kumar Jena
Department, University & Country	Warsaw University of Life Sciences, Poland