

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_126701
Title of the Manuscript:	Field efficiency of Growth Regulators and Insecticides against on Pod Fly, <i>Melanagromyza Obtusa</i> in Pigeonpea ( <i>Cajanus Cajan</i> , L.)
Type of the Article	

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Pigeonpea pod fly is an important insect pest of pigeonpea which causes major yield loss if not controlled. It affects economic part of plant like pods and seeds. It is a silent pest of pigeonpea whose damage not visible on outside the pods. Hence research work on pigeonpea pod fly is important. This experiment evaluated different insect growth regulators and insecticides which are having different modes of action helpful for controlling pigeonpea pod fly.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is suitable but little modification can be made as follows: Field bio efficiency of insect growth regulators and insecticides against on Pod fly, <i>Melanagromyza obtusa</i> in Pigeonpea ( <i>Cajanus Cajan</i> , L.)	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Revise the abstract as per the comments added in the manuscript reviewed	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Needs little alternations and modifications as per the comments added in the manuscript reviewed	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript gives the information about the efficacy of various IGRs & insecticides with that we can use the superior effective molecules for control of pest and also we can choose in rotation with other pesticides. Use of pesticides with different modes of actions in alteration have less chance to develop resistance development in pests.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Sufficient	
Minor REVISION comments	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	- <b>PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT</b>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Manjunath Badiger</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Agricultural Sciences, India</b>