

Field efficiency of Growth Regulators and Insecticides against on Pod Fly, *Melanagromyza Obtusa* in Pigeonpea (*Cajanus Cajan*, L.)

ABSTRACT

The present study on the efficacy of insect growth regulators and insecticides on pod fly was carried out during *kharif* 2021 in pigeonpea using Co 7 variety. An experiment was laid out using a randomized block design (RBD) with seven treatments and three replications. Insecticidal treatments consisted of four insect growth regulators and two insecticides along with untreated check and applications were made twice. Among the seven treatments tested, T₄: Flubendiamide 480 Sc @ 30 g a.i. ha⁻¹ proved to be effective in reducing podfly population (3.67 No/25 pods). The other treatments tested were found to be on par except T₁: Buprofezin 25 Sc @ 200 g a.i. ha⁻¹ treated plots (6.33 Nos), whereas untreated check reported with 14.67 Nos at 14 DAS. At the same time, pod damage was also calculated and the lowest pod damage was recorded in T₄: Flubendiamide 480 SC @ 30 g a.i. ha⁻¹ and T₅: Lufenuron 5.4 EC @ 30 g a.i. ha⁻¹ treated plots and were significantly superior over other treatments and found to be on par with each other with 10.00 and 11.33 per cent, respectively. After two applications of T₄: Flubendiamide 480 SC @ 30 g a.i. ha⁻¹ the pod fly damage reduction was up to 80.1 per cent and other treatments reported the damage between 42.2– 72.5 per cent over untreated check. The highest yield was reported in plots treated with T₄: Flubendiamide 480 SC @ 30 g a.i. ha⁻¹ for its highest grain yield of 757.7 kg ha⁻¹ with 43.2 per cent increase over untreated check. The other treated plots reported between 402.3 - 615.3 kg of grain yield ha⁻¹ with 12.54 - 34.62 per cent increase over untreated check. The highest Benefit :Cost ratio was obtained in the plots treated with T₄: Flubendiamide 480 SC @ 30 g a.i. ha⁻¹ (1:1.6) and other treatments reported between 1:1.0 – 1:1.4 whereas untreated plots reported with lowest benefit cost ratio of 1:0.9.

Key words: Insect growth regulators, insecticides, efficacy, Podfly, pod damage, pigeonpea

INTRODUCTION

Redgram or Tur or Pigeonpea, or *Cajanus cajan* L. is a significant pulse crop that is grown on 4.65 million hectares worldwide. It produces 3.43 million tonnes annually and has an average productivity of 780 kg per hectare. Due in significant part to damage from insect pests, pigeonpea productivity has stagnated over the past forty years. Among the biotic constraints, there are nearly three hundred species of insect-pests known to infest at various growth stages of crop growth. In the recent years, among all, pod fly is the

important pest of Pigeonpea[1]. Pod fly, *Melanagromyza obtusa* (Malloch) is a hidden pest occurring at pod formation stage without external symptom of damage and causes yield loss upto 60 to 80 per cent [2]. Pod flies alone cause 20–80% of seed damage, while India accounts for almost 25% of global pulse production. The female pod fly does not exhibit any outward signs of injury; instead, she oviposits separately in the growing pods. The infested immature pods do not show external evidence of damage until the fully-grown larvae make an exit hole in the pod walls which results in complexity of their management [3]. Hence, continuous monitoring and special management practices were required to overcome this problem.

The pod fly attack remains unnoticed by the farmers owing to the concealed mode of life and thus poses challenge for management, since the oviposition occurs at the inner surface of the podwall involving anatomical, morphological and biochemical basis of preference. In spite of application of three to four rounds of application of insecticides against other podborers also resulted in the failure of control of *M. obtusa* which requires timely application. In order to manage this hidden insect, evaluation of insect growth regulators and insecticide molecules with different modes of action were studied to identify the level of protection of each molecule against podfly in pigeonpea ecosystem.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

To ascertain the bio-efficacy of insect growth regulators and insecticides with various modes of action molecules against pod-flies, a field experiment was carried out during the Kharif season of 2021–2022 at Agricultural Research Station, Virinjipuram, Vellore, Tamil Nadu. Using the CO 7 variety, an experiment was set up in a randomized block design (RBD) with three replications, eight treatments with a plot size of 25 m² with 60 cm x 30 cm spacing. The crop was grown using rainfed circumstances and throughout the flowering stage, it received irrigation based on need. Two sprays were administered in total, starting at the pod initiation stage and spaced fifteen days apart. Using destructive sampling, the number of pod flies, *M. obtusa*, maggots and pupae from 25 randomly selected pods per plant was determined.

The pod and seed damage, per cent reduction over control and increased yield over check were also worked out using the formula. Thus the data obtained on the population, pod and seed damage and grain yield in different treatments were analyzed statistically using AGRES [4].

$$\text{Pod damage (\%)} = \frac{\text{Number of damaged pods}}{\text{Total number of pods}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Seed damage (\%)} = \frac{\text{Number of damaged seeds}}{\text{Total number of seeds}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Pod damage reduction over untreated check (\%)} = \frac{\text{Mean pod damage in untreated check} - \text{Mean pod damage in treatment}}{\text{Mean pod damage in untreated check}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Seed damage reduction over untreated check (\%)} = \frac{\text{Mean seed damage in untreated check} - \text{Mean seed damage in treatment}}{\text{Mean seed damage in untreated check}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Increase over untreated check (\%)} = \frac{\text{Yield in treatment} - \text{Yield in untreated check}}{\text{Yield in untreated check}} \times 100$$

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data on the population of podfly maggots and pupae, pod and seed damage were presented in Table 1. The pre-count population of maggots and pupae ranged from 12.00 -12.67 Nos per 25 pods and found to be non-significant. After the first application of treatments, at pod initiation stage, at 14 DAS, there existed significant difference among the various treated plots. Among the seven treatments tested, T₄: Flubendiamide 480 SC @ 30 g a.i. ha⁻¹ was found to be superior over other and recorded 3.67 Nos per 25 pods followed by other treatments viz., T₅: Lufenuron 5.4 EC @ 30 g a.i. ha⁻¹, T₃: Dinotofuran 20 SG @ 40 g a.i. ha⁻¹, T₂: Diafenthiuran @ 350 g a.i. ha⁻¹, T₆: Thiamethoxam @ 50 g a.i. ha⁻¹ were found to be on par with each other and recorded with 4.33-5.33 Nos per 25 pods, respectively. The highest population of podfly was recorded in T₁: Buprofezin 25 SC @ 200 g a.i. ha⁻¹ (6.33 Nos./25 pods) treated plots, whereas the untreated plots recorded 14.67 Nos per 25 pods at 14 days after first spray. At the same time, pod damage was also calculated and the lowest pod damage was recorded in T₄: Flubendiamide 480 SC @ 30 g a.i. ha⁻¹ and T₅: Lufenuron 5.4 EC @ 30 g a.i. ha⁻¹ treated plots and were significantly superior over other treatments

and found to be on par with each other with 10.00 and 11.33 per cent, respectively. Almost, similar trend of efficacy of different treatments was also observed with respect to seed damage and the highest seed damage was reported in untreated check (41.58 %). Before the second application of treatments, there existed significant difference in the pre-count population of podfly maggots and pupae and ranged between 6.77 – 16.00 Nos per 25 pods. Even after the second application of treatments also, T₄: Flubendiamide 480 SC @ 30 g a.i. ha⁻¹ treated plots was significantly superior over other treatments and found to be on par with each other and recorded 3.15 Nos per 25 pods with 3.72 per cent pod damage and 11.88 per cent seed damage.

The mean per cent pod and seed damage was worked out after imposing the two applications of treatments and the mean per cent pod damage ranged between 6.90–34.6 with its lowest in T₄: Flubendiamide 480 SC @ 30 g a.i. ha⁻¹ treated plots and the highest in untreated check. Likewise, the mean per cent seed damage was also ranged from 12.2–40.4 with its lowest in T₄: Flubendiamide 480 Sc @ 30 g a.i. ha⁻¹ treated plots and the highest in untreated check.

After two applications of T₄: Flubendiamide 480 SC @ 30 g a.i. ha⁻¹ the podfly damage reduction was upto 80.1 per cent and other treatments reported the damage between 42.2– 72.5 per cent over untreated check. The highest yield was reported in plots treated with T₄: Flubendiamide 480 SC @ 30 g a.i. ha⁻¹ for its highest grain yield of 757.7 kg ha⁻¹ with 43.1 per cent increase over untreated check. The other treated plots reported between 431.6 - 680 kg of grain yield per ha with 17.52 -36.53 per cent increase over untreated check. The highest Benefit :Cost ratio was obtained in the plots treated with T₄: Flubendiamide 480 SC @ 30 g a.i. ha⁻¹ (1:1.6) and other treatments reported between 1:1.0 – 1:1.4 whereas untreated plots reported with lowest benefit cost ratio of 1:0.9 (Table 2).

The current results corroborate those of [5] who found that the novel pesticide Chloranranilprole 18.5 SC @ 30 g a.i. ha⁻¹ reduced pod flies more effectively due to the similar diamide group. The results of [6] were likewise consistent with the timing of insecticide application, which was first applied during the pod start phases and then at a 15-day interval.

[7] also reported the efficacy of newer insecticides proved to be very effective against podfly in pigeonpea. Applying the insecticide dimethoate 30 EC @ 2 ml l⁻¹ at 2, 3, 4, and 5 weeks after 50% flowering was found to be beneficial in minimizing pod fly-induced damage to pods and grains while increasing yield [8]. The pod age was 13 to 25 days, and oviposition which produced the most eggs, was facilitated by 10 to 15 days, according to [9] and [10]. Therefore, it can be said that using Flubendiamide 480 SC @ 30 g a.i. ha-

1 twice throughout the pod-initiation stages was very helpful in reducing pod fly infestation and boosting grain yield in order to achieve the maximum Benefit:Cost ratio.

CONCLUSION

In recent days, pod fly acts as the primary cause for decline in pigeon pea production, which has resulted in significant losses for farmers across India. The pod fly is a quiet pod killer that kills juvenile pods without causing visible harm until the fully grown larvae create an escape hole in the pod walls, making maintenance more difficult. Therefore, constant observation and extra care are always needed for the efficient control of this pest in order to prevent significant losses and insecticides should be used in rotation with different modes of action so as to prevent or delay the development of resistance towards insecticides and also to conserve natural enemies in pigeonpea ecosystem.

REFERENCES

1. Lal SS, Singh NB. In: Proceedings of National Symposium on Management of Biotic and Abiotic Stresses in Pulse Crops, 2018; Indian Institute for Pulse Research, Kanpur, India: 65-80.
2. Durairaj C. Evaluation of certain neem formulations and insecticides against Pigeonpea pod fly. *Indian Journal of Pulses Research*, 2006;19 (2): 269-270
3. Sharma OP, Gopali JB, Yelshetty, Suhas, Bambawale OM, Garg DK, Bhosle BB. Pests of pigeonpea and their management, 2010;NCIPM, LBS Building, IARI Campus, New Delhi-110012, India:4.
4. Gomez K A, Gomez A A. Statistical procedures for agricultural research, 1984; Johnwiley and sons, New york 207-215
5. Chiranjeevi B, Sarnaik SV. Efficacy of different insecticidal treatments on population of pod fly, *Melanagromyzaobtusa* (Malloch). *Journal of Entomology and Zoology studies*, 2014; 5(4): 1812-1815
6. Sreekanth M, Lakshmi MSM, Rao, YK. Efficacy of insecticides in the management of podfly, *Melanagromyzaobtusa* on pigeonpea. *Indian Journal of Plant protection*, 2013;41(3):212-214.
7. SinghAK. Evaluation of new molecule of insecticides against pod fly *Melanagromyzaobtusa* of Pigeonpea. *SAARC Journal of Agriculture*, 2014; 12(1):89-95
8. ReddyDVC, Sreekanth M, Sandhya RaniC, AdinarayanaM, Ramarao G. *International Journal of Chemical studies*, 2010;6(3):316-319
9. DasSB, OdakSC. Pigeonpea pod age and podfly *Melanagromyzaobtusa* (Malloch) infestation on redgram (*Cajanus cajan*). *Agriculture Science Digest*, 1991; 11(2):63-64
10. DurairajC. Timing of insecticide application for the control of pigeonpeapodfly. *Madras Agricultural Journal*. 2000;87(10-12):628-631

Table 1. Evaluation of growth regulators and insecticides against podfly, *Melanagromyza obtusa* in pigeonpea

Treatments	Dose /L	No of maggots&pupae (No./25 pods)								Grain Yield (Kg/ha)
		First application				Second application				
		Precount	14 DAS	Pod damage (%)	Seed damage (%)	Precount	14 DAS	Pod damage (%)	Seed damage (%)	
T ₁ :Buprofezin	200	11.67	6.33 (2.52) ^c	29.33 ^d	27.46 ^d	9.33 (3.06) ^b	4.76 (2.18) ^{ab}	10.67 ^d	24.33 ^c	478.3
T ₂ :Diafenthuran	350	12.67	5.33 (2.31) ^{ab}	16.67 ^c	20.88 ^c	8.00 (2.83) ^{ab}	5.21 (2.28) ^b	8.70 ^c	21.63 ^c	581.7
T ₃ :Dinetofuran	40	11.67	4.67 (2.16) ^{ab}	15.33 ^{bc}	16.38 ^{bc}	8.00 (2.83) ^{ab}	4.46 (2.11) ^{ab}	6.49 ^b	15.88 ^b	576.7
T ₄ :Flubendiamide	30	11.67	3.67 (1.91) ^a	10.00 ^a	12.42 ^a	6.67 (2.58) ^a	3.15 (1.77) ^a	3.72 ^a	11.88 ^a	757.7
T ₅ :Lufenuron	30	12.00	5.33 (2.31) ^{ab}	11.33 ^{ab}	16.77 ^{ab}	8.00 (2.83) ^{ab}	4.71 (2.17) ^{ab}	3.82 ^{bc}	12.85 ^a	680.0
T ₆ :Thiamethoxam	50	12.33	4.33 (2.08) ^{ab}	14.67 ^{bc}	14.92 ^{bc}	7.33 (2.71) ^{ab}	4.18 (2.04) ^{ab}	4.28 ^a	14.96 ^b	523.3
T ₇ :Untreated Check	-	12.67	14.67 (3.83) ^d	45.33 ^e	41.58 ^e	16.00 (4.00) ^c	16.00 (4.00) ^c	23.78 ^e	39.21 ^d	431.6
SEd		NS	0.20	1.69	2.08	0.19	0.28	1.75	1.50	
CD<0.5 %			0.44	3.68	4.54	0.42	0.61	3.83	3.28	

Table 2. Cost Economics studies for insecticides on podfly, *M. obtusa* damage in pigeonpea

Treatments	Dose g a.i ha ⁻¹	Mean damage after two applications (%)		Reduction over control (%)		Grain Yield (Kg/ha)	Increase over check (%)	B: C ratio
		Pod damage	Seed damage	Pod damage	Seed damage			
T ₁ :Buprofezin 25 SC	200	20.0	25.9	42.2	35.9	478.3	9.8	1:1.1
T ₂ :Diafenthiuran 50 WP	350	12.7	21.3	63.3	47.3	581.7	25.8	1:1.3
T ₃ :Dinetofuran 20 SG	40	10.9	16.1	68.5	60.1	576.7	25.2	1:1.3
T ₄ :Flubendiamide 480 SC	30	6.9	12.2	80.1	69.8	757.7	43.0	1:1.6
T ₅ :Lufenuron 5.4 EC	30	7.6	14.8	78.0	63.4	680.0	36.5	1:1.4
T ₇ :Thiamethoxam 25 WG	50	9.5	14.9	72.5	63.1	523.3	17.5	1:1.2
T ₉ :Untreated Check	-	34.6	40.4	-	-	431.6	-	1:0.9
SEd						12.2		
CD<0.5 %						24.6		