

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_126869
Title of the Manuscript:	Correlation and Genetic Analysis of Yield-Related Traits in Bread Wheat
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1. The subject is good, but the methods of analysis so easy. Spss software is good but so old. Use new software and new methods. 2. Results and discussion should be separate, and conclusion need to be after discussion. 3. References are old. Use newer reference for discussion and methods.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is good	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is not really good. Too easy and usual.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Good	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Bread wheat is an important agricultural for people in all of the world. For this reason need to investigation all of the genotypes and cultures. But use nee reference and methods and check the characteristics of them that relate to human' food and medicine.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	No. I explain it in first section as a 3 number.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Good	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Niloofar Samadi
Department, University & Country	Islamic Azad University, Iran