

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_126869
Title of the Manuscript:	Correlation and Genetic Analysis of Yield-Related Traits in Bread Wheat
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study basically looked at variability among F ₁ s and parental lines of bread wheat. This is useful information in bread wheat breeding programme. Variability enhances selection and improvement.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I will suggest: Phenotypic variability among parental lines and progenies of bread wheat	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I have made extensive corrections using track changes. There are lots of errors and misplaced information.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	okay	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I have advised the author to look into some issues that were wrongly presented and interpreted. This has been highlighted on the manuscript.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Lots of inconsistency in style. The reference list is not sufficient. The author should explore previous studies on bread wheat	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There is room for improvement.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	A.O. Olayinka
Department, University & Country	Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Nigeria