

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_126690
Title of the Manuscript:	CHANGES IN ENZYMATIC ANTIOXIDANTS AND RED CELL INDICES WITH MODES OF CHILD DELIVERY AMONGST WOMEN OF REPRODUCTIVE AGE IN OWERRI, IMO STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Measuring red blood cell indexes and antioxidant activity among women with different types of delivery, such as vaginal or cesarean, provides valuable insights into maternal health and recovery. These indicators can help assess the oxidative stress and overall resilience of the body following delivery, which can vary significantly between delivery methods. Understanding these parameters aids in identifying potential risks of anemia or oxidative imbalance, which may impact both maternal and neonatal outcomes. Additionally, this data can inform personalized postnatal care strategies to support optimal recovery and health in postpartum women.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript has an appropriate structure	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article requires verification of the accuracy of statistical data, as even with similar values the authors report a significance level of $p=0.001$ (e.g., catalase of vaginal mode of child delivery: 94.65 ± 1.78 and 93.34 ± 1.50). It would be preferable to provide values for hemoglobin, red blood cells, and hematocrit and to perform a correlation analysis of the MCH index with these parameters. In the chapter 2.2 Subject Characterization and Selection it is necessary to provide more detail on the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Additionally, the practice of obtaining oral informed consent from patients included in the study is unclear. This requires clarification, as the standard practice is to obtain signed informed consent.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	The references contain many links to old literature (more than 10 years from today). I recommend replacing the old references with more recent ones.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Andrii Loboda
Department, University & Country	Sumy State University, Ukraine