

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ AJEE_ 127243
Title of the Manuscript:	A Checklist of Avifauna in Mukuruthi National Park, The Nilgiris, Southern Western Ghats, Tamil Nadu, Indian
Type of the Article	Original Research

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Kindly notify the requirement of this study, is it is done under as a case study or just repeated It will be of great importance if the area is not explored much.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of MS seems long, it can be shortened to "Avian Fauna of Mukuruthi National Park, TN"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract seems ok	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The material and method part can be elaborated. Apart from identifying birds through direct observations, were identifications made through recording calls, collecting feathers, etc. Basics should also be added.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	More data about the localities and species under scanner can be added.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references are sufficient.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	As per my opinion, Southern Peninsula of India including Western Ghats, Nilgiris, etc is a home to several avian species which are of great ecological importance. The status of many species is critical and are under scanner. As per the estimates around 540 avian species have been reported from Tamil Nadu and around 370 from the Nilgiris. If possible, the magnitude of the result should be increased, as it will upsurge the weightage of this study. Also, divide the data as per their abundance within MNP (core and buffer zone).	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shivam Dubey
Department, University & Country	R.D.V.V., India