

## Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_126870
Title of the Manuscript:	Cost and Returns of Soybean Production in Amravati District
Type of the Article	Research Paper (After modification)

### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript is very important because of cost of cultivation of soybean crop is increasing day by day and to evaluate the exact input cost and actual profit, on that basis it is helpful government agency to increase the MSP. Also to suggest the farmers as well as agronomist where to reduce this cost for increasing the profit.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Not The cost of cultivation and returns of soybean producing farmers of Amravati district of Maharashtra.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes Mention how to conduct the statistical analysis	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Need to mention the idea behind this research. As per suggestion in paper do need full correction. The manuscript is scientifically robust and technical sound after making suggested correction.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Recent references mentioned but again required more introduction and more references (as suggested in paper) and slight change language in discussion.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Need minor revision	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	In general the manuscript is good but to more confirmation of result required more than 500 farmers from each size holding <b>PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT</b>	

### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Ganesh R. Pawar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Vasantdada Sugar Institute, India</b>