

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_126827
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Dairy Farmers' Knowledge Regarding Good Dairy Farming Practices in Uttar Pradesh, India
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides valuable insights into the knowledge level of dairy farmers in Uttar Pradesh regarding good dairy farming practices, a critical area for enhancing productivity and farmer livelihoods. By analyzing various aspects of dairy farming, from milking hygiene to socio-economic management, the study highlights knowledge gaps that could benefit from targeted interventions.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	the title, "Assessment of Dairy Farmers' Knowledge Regarding Good Dairy Farming Practices in Uttar Pradesh, India," is suitable as it clearly communicates the focus and scope of the study.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract effectively summarizes the study's scope, objectives, and major findings. However, it would benefit from a brief mention of the study's broader implications, such as the potential for improving dairy farming productivity and sustainability. Adding a sentence on how the findings could influence policy or training programs for dairy farmers would enhance the abstract's relevance.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure of the manuscript is appropriate, with clear and logical sections covering each key aspect of dairy farming practices.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is moderately scientifically robust and technically sound, the methodology is not well defined there is a lack of statistical rigor in data analysis.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	There is a need to add sufficient and relevant references, covering a range of sources on dairy farming practices and related knowledge studies. Adding more recent studies or data on dairy farming practices in India could enrich the background and discussion sections.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language Quality: The manuscript's language is not very well clear and understandable for scholarly communication. major grammatical adjustments in sections would enhance readability, particularly in the discussion and results sections.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Meskini Zakaria
Department, University & Country	University of Relizane, Algeria