

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_126018
Title of the Manuscript:	Cross-generation and cross-country evidence on productive factors' virtual movements
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article has an important since That would help in allocating the factors of production in better way	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title of article is suitable It may be modified A bit	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<u>Yes, it is</u>	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<u>Yes</u>	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part	The article is scientifically correct It throws Light on the importance of Allocating the factors of production in better way Across the nations.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<u>-Yes references are correct</u>	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for Yes references are correct	Language of the article is not Up to the mark. Grammatical mistakes are there. And, it also Be Written in 3rd person. Article may be revised To bring more clarity In language. The article needs some revision as regards Grammatical mistakes. It should be written in 3rd person Rather than In First person	
Optional/General comments	Overall the article is good	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Niti goyal
Department, University & Country	IGN college, India