

Prevalence and Antibiotic Resistance Profile of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in Wound Infections in Keffi, Nasarawa State, Nigeria

ABSTRACT

Aim: The current study was aimed at determining the antibiotic resistance pattern and associated risk factors of *P. aeruginosa* isolated from wound among patients in Keffi metropolis.

Study Design: The investigation was a cross-sectional study which utilized random sampling of wounds from patients in three hospitals in Keffi.

Place and Duration of Study: Keffi local government is situated in Nasarawa State located in the North Central region of Nigeria, it is about 125 km from Lafia the State capital and about 50 kilometers from Abuja the Federal Capital Territory of Nigeria. It has an area of 138 km² and a population of about 92,664 at the 2006 census. The study was conducted from June to October 2024.

Methodology: A total of 253 wound samples were collected from three hospitals: 198 from Federal Medical Centre Keffi, 43 from Silvercord Hospital, and 12 from Amosun Hospital and analysed by standard bacteriological and biochemical methods.

Results: The highest incidence of *P. aeruginosa* was found in the 21-40 age group (3.16%), while the lowest was in those over 60 years (0%). Females (2.76%) had a higher incidence than males (2.37%). The highest incidence was among self-employed individuals (1.98%). The isolates were highly resistant to ceftazidime and streptomycin (100%), but 30.76% were sensitive to ofloxacin and 15.38% to imipenem. Only 23.08% of isolates were ESBL producers.

Conclusion: *P. aeruginosa* isolates from wounds showed high resistance to common antibiotics. This high resistant could have implications such as treatment failure, prolonged hospital stay and increased cost. Despite the low incidence of ESBL production, there is a need for increased surveillance and public health awareness regarding wound management and antibiotic usage.

Keywords: Wound infection; *P. aeruginosa*; Antibiotic susceptibility; Risk factor; Keffi

Introduction

A breach in intact skin surface whether it is caused by trauma, accident, surgical operation, or burn provides an open door for bacterial infections [1]. The invasion of a wound by proliferating microorganisms to an extent where local spreading and/or systemic response in the host is invoked within the wound leads to the development of a range of virulence factors to overcome the host defences resulting to local tissue damage and impeding wound healing [2,3]. The characteristics of the individual (host), the type of wound, and the environment influences the risk of wound infection. Factors specific to each host that influence the development of wound infection are systemic, multifactorial and encompass many variables. The type of wound (i.e. aetiology) also contributes to the risk of infection, with acute wounds having a range of different risk factors for infection as compared to chronic wounds [4]. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is a Gram negative bacterial pathogen that has generated increased clinical importance as it is associated with morbidity, mortality, and poor quality of life in a variety of human infections including cystic fibrosis, burn wounds, surgical wounds,

immunodeficiency, chronic obstructive pulmonary disorder (COPD), cancer, leg ulcers, and severe infection requiring ventilation, such as COVID-19 and other lung infections [5,6,7]. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is a predominant pathogen that causes various chronic infections. Relapse infections promote the adaptation and evolution of antimicrobial resistance and virulence of *P. aeruginosa*, which obscure evolutionary trends and complicate infection management [8]. The organism was first described by the French Pharmacist Carle Gessard in 1882. It produces a unique number of pigments in culture, known as pyocyanin, (a blue/green phenazine compound) that has both antimicrobial and toxin properties. The name *Pseudomonas* is derived from two Greek words: Pseudo meaning 'false' and Monas meaning 'single unit'; while *aeruginosa* 'greenish-blue' is from the latin *aerūgō* meaning 'rusted copper' [9]. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is a multi-drug resistance (MDR) opportunistic pathogen and is increasingly encountered from a variety of infections including wound and burns. Antibiotics are substances that are used for inhibiting the growth and treating infections caused by specific bacteria. They play an important role in treating bacterial infections, including life-threatening ones. Antibiotics are one of the wonder discoveries of the twentieth century. Antibiotic resistance occurs when a normally active antibiotic no longer shows activity against susceptible bacteria [10]. However, antibiotic resistance does not necessarily mean that the human body is resistant to antibiotics, instead, this phenomenon occurs when the growth of microbes is no longer inhibited or destroyed by antibiotics [11]. *P. aeruginosa* acquisition of resistance depends primarily on multiple intrinsic and acquired antibiotic resistance mechanisms, including the biofilm-mediated formation of resistant and multi-drug-resistant persistent cells [5]. The World Health Organization (WHO) reported that *P. aeruginosa* poses one of the greatest threats to humans in terms of antibiotic resistance [12]. Furthermore, treatments of *P. aeruginosa* infection are extremely difficult due to its rapid mutations and adaptation to gain resistance to antibiotics [13,14]. Studies have shown that the spread of plasmid-encoded

Extended-Spectrum Beta-Lactamase (ESBL) genes confers resistance to third generation cephalosporin [15,16].

2.0 Materials and Methods

2.1 Study Design and Population

The investigation was a cross-sectional study which utilized random sampling of wounds from patients in three hospitals in Keffi from June to October 2024.

2.2 Study Location and Population

Keffi local government is situated in Nasarawa State located in the North Central region of Nigeria, it is about 125 km from Lafia the State capital and about 50 kilometers from Abuja the Federal Capital Territory. It has an area of 138 km² and a population of about 92,664 at the 2006 census [17].

2.3 Inclusion Criteria

Patients of all age group and gender with wound assessing healthcare in the selected health facilities in Keffi metropolis were included in this study.

2.3.1 Exclusion Criteria

Patients without wounds or those with wound but on antibiotics attending the selected health facilities were excluded from this study

2.4 Sample Size Determination

A prevalence rate of 18.3% reported by [18] was used to estimate the minimum sample for the study using the formula described by [19] as follows;

$$N = Z^2pq / d^2$$

Where, N = Sample size Z = Statistics for a level of 95% confidence interval = 1.96

P = prevalence rate of *P. aeruginosa* infection from previous studies = 18.3% [18].

d = level of significance (allowable error) = 5% or 0.05

$$q = 1 - p$$

$$\text{Thus, } N = (1.96)^2 \times 0.183 \times (1 - 0.183) / (0.05)^2 = 3.8416 \times 0.183 \times 0.817 / 0.0025 = 229.7445 \approx 230$$

$$N = 230$$

However, actual sample size = Calculated sample size + 10% Attrition rate. But 10%

Attrition rate = 23

Therefore, actual sample size = 230 + 23 = 253

2.5 Sample Collection

The sterile labeled swab sticks were rolled over the wound site gently to obtain adequate samples. Samples collected include slough from traumatic ulcers, burn and surgical wounds. The swab sticks were aseptically returned into their containers and transported immediately in an ice box to the Microbiology laboratory for processing within one hour of collection [20]. In addition, the socio-demographic and clinical data for each patient was obtained by the use of a structured questionnaire.

2.6 Preparation of Culture Media

The media used were weighed and prepared according to manufacturer's specification. The prepared media were carefully packed into the autoclave and sterilized at 121°C for 15 minutes. Thereafter, they were allowed to cool to 45°C before pouring into Petri dishes or MacCartney bottles as the case may be.

2.7 Sample Analysis

Swabs were gently pressed directly onto the prepared MacConkey and Blood agar to make a primary inoculum before streaking using sterile wire loop and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours [21].

2.8 Isolation and Identification

Isolates were identified on the basis of standard bacteriological methods like morphology, colonial characteristics, smell in culture, haemolysis, as well as pigment production on media. All suspected isolates were further characterized and identified by observing biochemical reactions such as oxidase test, urease test, indole test, citrate test, sugar fermentation test, Tsia (triple sugar iron agar), methyl red test and Voges Proskauer test. The tested isolates were stored on nutrient agar slants for further use [21,22].

2.9 Antibiotic Susceptibility Testing

The susceptibility profile of antibiotics commonly prescribed for *P. aeruginosa* infections was determined using Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method in accordance with CLSI guidelines [23]. The antimicrobial agents tested included: ofloxacin (5µg), augmentin (10µg), ceftazidime (30µg), ceftriaxone (30µg), gentamicin (10µg), ciprofloxacin (5µg), streptomycin (30µg), chloramphenicol (30µg), imipenem (10µg), and amoxicillin (10µg). Briefly, 3 pure colonies of the isolate was inoculated into 5 ml sterile 0.85% (w/v) NaCl (normal saline) and the turbidity of the bacteria suspension was adjusted to the turbidity equivalent to 0.5 McFarland standard. The McFarland's standard was prepared as follows; 0.5 ml of 1.172% (w/v) BaCl₂.2H₂O was added into 99.5 ml of 1% (w/v) H₂SO₄ [23]. A sterile swab stick was dipped gently into the standardized bacteria suspension while pressing the swab lightly on the wall of the tube to remove excess broth. This was followed by streaking on Mueller Hinton agar plates before placing the antibiotic discs aseptically and allowed to stand for 1 hour for pre-diffusion. The plates were then incubated at 37 °C for 24hours. The diameter zone of inhibition in millimeter

was measured and the result of the susceptibility interpreted in accordance with the susceptibility break point described by Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute [23].

2.10 Data Analysis

The Smith's Statistical Package (version 2.8, California, USA) was used to analyse data obtained. The chi-square test at a 95% confidence interval and P values ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3.0 Results

3.1 Distribution of Factors Associated with Isolation of *P. aeruginosa* in Keffi

Out of the 253 wound swab collected in the selected hospitals, the highest incidence of *P. aeruginosa* was found in the 21-40 age group (3.16%), while the lowest was in those over 60 years (0%). Females (2.76%) had a higher incidence than males (2.37%) (P=0.457). In this study, the distribution of the isolate regarding occupation of the participants was found to be higher among those that were self employed (1.98%) followed by Farmers (1.18%), Drivers 2(0.79%) and Students (0.79%) while the least was recorded among Civil servants (0.39%) (P=0.612). Finally, the distribution regarding the type of wound revealed that those who had traumatic wound (2.37%) had the highest prevalence followed by those who had surgical wound (1.18%) while for those that had burns and non-traumatic wounds it was (0.79%) being the least respectively with P= 0.797 as shown in Table 1

Table 1 Distribution of Factors Associated with Isolation of *P. aeruginosa* Isolates in Keffi

Age	Number examined	Number of Isolates (%)	P. value
< 20	47	1(0.39)	

21-40	105	8(3.16)	0.000
41-60	76	4(1.58)	
>60	25	0(0)	
Total	253	13 (5.13)	
Gender			
Male	142	6(2.37)	
Female	111	7(2.76)	0.457
Total	253	13 (5.13)	
Occupation			
Farmer	64	3(1.18)	
Self employed	65	5(1.98)	0.612
Civil servant	44	1(0.39)	
Student	22	2(0.79)	
Driver	58	2(0.79)	
Total	253	13 (5.13)	
Wound type			
Surgical	54	3(1.18)	
Traumatic	107	6(2.37)	0.797
Non-traumatic	66	2(0.79)	
Burns	26	2(0.79)	
Total	253	13 (5.13)	

3.2 Antibiotic Resistance Profile of the *P. aeruginosa* Isolated in Selected Hospitals of Keffi, Nasarawa State, Nigeria.

The antibiotic resistance profile of *P. aeruginosa* is shown in Table 2 where the isolates were highly resistant to ceftazidime and streptomycin 13(100%), followed by amoxicillin, ceftriaxone, cefuroxime and gentamycin 12(92.30%), augmentin and ciprofloxacin 10(76.92%), but 30.76% were sensitive to ofloxacin and 15.38% to imipenem ($P = 0.044$).

Table 2. Antibiotic Resistance Profile of the *P. aeruginosa* Isolated in Selected Hospitals of Keffi, Nasarawa State, Nigeria.

Antimicrobial Agent	Disc Content (μg)	Number of tested isolates	Sensitive Number (%)	Intermediate Number (%)	Resistance Number (%)
Ofloxacin(OFX)	05	13	4(30.76)	0(0)	9(69.23)
Augmentin (AU)	30	13	1(7.69)	2(15.38)	10(76.92)
Ceftazidime (CTZ)	30	13	0(0)	0(0)	13(100)
Gentamycin (CN)	10	13	1(7.69)	0(0)	12(92.30)
Ciprofloxacin (CPX)	10	13	1(7.69)	2(15.38)	10(76.92)
Imipenem (IMP)	30	13	2(15.38)	3(0)	8(61.53)
Ceftriaxone (TRX)	30	13	0(0)	1(0)	12(92.30)
Streptomycin (S)	30	13	0(0)	0(0)	13(100)
Cefuroxime (CEF)	30	13	1(7.69)	0(0)	12(92.30)
Amoxicillin (AM)	30	13	0(0)	1(7.69)	12(92.30)

$P = 0.044$

3.3. Antimicrobial Resistance Phenotypes of the *P. aeruginosa* Isolated from Selected Hospitals of Keffi.

The antibiotic resistance profile was significantly associated to *P. aeruginosa* isolates as shown in Table 3. The highest resistance profile was seen in the following antibiotics ; AM, AU, CEF, CN, CPX, CTZ, IMP, OFX, S, TRX 9(69.2%), while the least resistance was seen in AM, AU, CEF, CN, CTZ, IMP, OFX, S, TRX; AM, AU, CEF, CN, CTZ, IMP, S, TRX; AM, AU, CEF, CN, CTZ, S, TRX and AM, CPX, CTZ, IMP, S, TRX 1(7.7%) respectively (P=0.000).

Table 3. Antimicrobial Resistance Phenotypes of the *P. aeruginosa* Isolated from Selected Hospitals of Keffi.

Antibiotic resistance phenotypes	Number of <i>P. aeruginosa</i> isolates (%)	P value
AM, CPX, CTZ, IMP, S, TRX	1(7.7)	0.000
AM, AU, CEF, CN, CTZ, S, TRX	1(7.7)	
AM, AU, CEF, CN, CTZ, IMP, S, TRX	1(7.7)	
AM, AU, CEF, CN, CTZ, IMP, OFX, S, TRX	1(7.7)	
AM, AU, CEF, CN, CPX, CTZ, IMP, OFX, S, TRX	9(69.2)	
Total	13(100)	

AM= Amoxicillin, AU= Augmentin, CEF= Cefurexime, CN= Gentamycin, CPX= Ciprofloxacin, CTZ= Ceftazidime, OFX= Ofloxacin, S= Streptomycin, TRX= Cefriaxone, IMP= Imipenem

3.4 Phenotypic Detection of ESBL-Producing *P.aeruginosa*

The production of ESBL from cephalosporin resistant *P. aeruginosa* isolated from the respective hospitals was not statistically significant as shown in Table 4. Out of 13 resistant isolates, 3(23.08%) were found to be ESBL producers (P= 0.103).

Table 4. Phenotypic Detection of ESBL-Producing *P.aeruginosa*

Facility	No. Resistant	PCDDT			DDST		P value
		ESBL Pos (%)	ESBL Neg		ESBL Pos (%)	ESBL Neg	
FMCK	6	6(46.15)	0	$X^2 = 0.000$	3(23.08)	3 (23.08)	
SCHK	4	4(30.77)	0	$P = 0.000$	0(0)	4(30.77)	0.103
AHK	3	3(23.08)	0		0(0)	3 (23.08)	
Total	13	13 (100)	0		3 (23.08)	10 (76.92)	

FMCK= Federal Medical Centre Keffi, SCHK= Silvercord Hospital Keffi, AHK= Amosun Hospital Keffi. ESBL= Extended spectrum betaLactamase, PCDDT= Phenotypic Confirmatory disc difusion test, DDST= Double disc synergy test

4.0 Discussion

P. aeruginosa has been placed as a priority pathogen by the WHO regarding antibiotic resistance [24]. It is one of the most frequent pathogen associated with severe complication of wound infection [25]. In this study, out of the 253 wound swab collected in the selected hospitals, an overall prevalence of 13(5.13%) was recorded. The prevalence obtained in this study was similar to that reported by [26] in Portharcourt. On the contrary the prevalence obtained in this study is lower than 24.1 % reported by [27] in Keffi, 54.5% by [28] in Sokoto and 26.67% reported by [29] in Jos, Also, a higher prevalence of 96.0 % was reported by [30] in South-West Nigeria. Similarly, higher prevalence of 12.86% was reported by [31] in Ethiopia, 30.2% by [32] in Ghana, [33] reported a prevalence of 40% in Egypt. Several authors have also reported high prevalence across other continents [25,34,35,36,37,38,39]. The difference in regional prevalence could be as a result of inherent resistance of *Pseudomonas* spp. to frequently administered antibiotics as reported by [40], also, biofilm-mediated resistance and formation of multidrug-tolerant persister cells, contribute to recalcitrance and relapse of infections requiring more prescription of antibiotics [5]. Furthermore, [31] reported that the

type of study design, the different types of selective/differential media used for culturing the target organism and the protocols for sampling could also result in the differences. [41] reported a potential zoonotic transmission of *P. aeruginosa* between animals, the environment, and human populations may contribute to varying occurrence and distribution of across the globe.

The prevalence of *P. aeruginosa* in the study was also determined with respect to some sociodemographic factors. Out of the 253 wound swabs collected in the selected hospitals, the prevalence was higher among those aged between 21-40 years (8(3.16%)), followed by 41-60 years (4(1.58%)) and 1(0.39%) for those aged less than 20. The least prevalence was recorded among those greater than 60 years (0(0%)) ($P=0.000$).

The result revealed a significant association between rate of infection and age. Similar associations have been reported by [26,28,30] in different parts of Nigeria and other parts of the world [34]. Interestingly, isolation of *P. aeruginosa* from wounds of patients much younger than that reported in this study have been documented [34,38,42,43]. The high occurrence among the observed age group could be as a result of the kind of activities they engage in such as farming, commercial motorcycle riding and artisans who are prone to injuries and eventual colonization by *P. aeruginosa*.

Regarding the gender of participants, females had a higher prevalence (7(2.76%)) compared to males (6(2.37%)) although there was no significant association ($P=0.457$). This is similar to several reports within and outside Nigeria [26,29,30,31,44,42]. Conversely, [27,28,34,36,45,46,47] all reported higher prevalence in males. The contrast in prevalence regarding gender could be as a result of difference in exposure to occupational hazards that predispose to getting wounds eventually leading to infection or skin texture and difference in immunity.

In this study, the distribution of the isolate regarding occupation of the participants was found to be higher among those that were self employed 5(1.98%) followed by Farmers 3(1.18%), Drivers 2(0.79%) and Students 2(0.79%) while the least was recorded among Civil servants 1(0.39%) (P=0.612). Finally the distribution regarding the type of wound revealed that those who had traumatic wound 6(2.37%) had the highest prevalence followed by those who had surgical wound 3(1.18%) while for those that had burns and non-traumatic wounds it was 2(0.79%) being the least respectively with P= 0.797 as shown in Table 1. On the contrary, [25] reported a higher prevalence among those that had traumatic wound followed by surgical wounds while the least was reported from burns wound. [42] reported higher prevalence (66.6%) among patients that had burns wound followed by those that had post-surgical wound (62%).

Regarding the antibiotic resistance profile of *P. aeruginosa*, the isolates were found to be highly resistant to ceftazidime and streptomycin 13(100%), amoxicillin, ceftriaxone, cefurexime and gentamycin 12(92.30%), augmentin and ciprofloxacin 10(76.92%), while it was least resistant to ofloxacin 9(69.23%) and imipenem 8(61.53%) respectively (P = 0.044). this is in agreement with [28] who reported high resistance to cefuroxime, 50 (90.9%) and ceftazidime, 54 (98.1%) while the least resistance was imipenem (54.5%), also, [48] reported similar pattern of resistance.

[49] reported high sensitivity to imipenem (90.6%) and (56.3% to ciprofloxacin). [26] also reported a high resistance to cefurexime (96.30%) while the highest sensitivity was seen with ciprofloxacin (37.04%), [30] reported highest resistance for gentamycin (35.4%). In a study by [50] in Ethiopia, *P.aeruginosa* showed resistance against gentamicin at 62.2%, ceftazidime 51.4%, cefepime 50%, amikacin 29.7%, imipenem 28.4% and ciprofloxacin 14.9%. while Ahmed *et al.* (2023) reported a higher sensitivity to ciprofloxacin (79.2%). Higher resistance to

ciprofloxacin was also reported by [51]. On the contrary, a higher sensitivity to the antibiotics was reported by [34].

The antibiotic resistance profile of *P. aeruginosa* isolates was investigated revealing a significantly high 9(69.2%) multidrug resistance (MDR) profile (P=0.000). The value obtained in this study is similar to 58.4% reported by [51], but was higher than 12.8% and 29.63% reported by [26] and [30] in Nigeria. Similarly, lower prevalence were reported in other countries [31] and [50]. In contrast however, the value obtained in this study was lower than 95.8% reported by [52] and [53]. The reason for the continued spread of MDR *P. aeruginosa* could be as a result of extensive use or misuse of broad spectrum antibiotics, extended periods of hospital admission, community spread of MDR organisms, lack of proper healthcare facilities, ability to form biofilm and increased expression of MDR genes [54,55,56,57].

4.0 CONCLUSION

The result of this study shows a notably low prevalence of *P. aeruginosa* 13 (5.13%) isolated from wound of patients in Keffi metropolis. Though the prevalence was low, there is a strong indication that *P. aeruginosa* is an important pathogen in wound infections. The significant occurrence of multidrug resistance to commonly prescribed antibiotics recorded in this study and the detection of ESBL resistance genes is worrisome and should be considered as a public health concern. The relatively low resistance to imipenem is noteworthy as it has been reported to be effective in the treatment of chronic infections. Data obtained in this study will support the ongoing public health initiatives aimed at controlling infection and guiding effective treatment protocols in the study area and the country at large.

5.1 RECOMMENDATIONS

The following recommendations were proffered to curb the menace of the rising antibiotic resistance:

- I. The continuous monitoring of antibiotic resistance in the study area through the establishment of antimicrobial resistance surveillance network in health facilities and in the community.
- II. Ensuring strict compliance towards infection control measures such as disinfecting surfaces and regular hand washing by healthworkers, patients and their caregivers
- III. The fight to combat antimicrobial resistance requires a holistic approach and concerted efforts by policy makers, researchers, clinicians, Medical Laboratory Scientists, Pharmacists, Community health workers and the patient.
- IV. Further research in the study area to cover more health facilities and incorporate molecular methods to detect silent genes that could not be detected using the phenotypic method is recommended.

LIMITATION OF THE STUDY

The study was limited by small sample size due to the short duration of sample collection.

CONSENT

Written informed consent was taken from each participant.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

Ethical clearance for this research was obtained from the Nasarawa State Ministry of Health (NHREC Protocol number: 18/06/2017) in line with the Declaration of Helsinki on the conduct of biomedical research involving human subjects.

Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)

Option 1:

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

Option 2:

Author(s) hereby declare that generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models, etc. have been used during the writing or editing of manuscripts. This explanation will include the name, version, model, and source of the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology

Details of the AI usage are given below:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

REFERENCE

- [1]. Ohalete, C.N., Obi, R.K. and EmeaKoroha, M.C. (2012) Bacteriology of Different Wound Infection and Their Antimicrobial Susceptibility Patterns in Imo State, Nigeria. World Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, 13, 1155-1172.
- [2]. Tom, I.M., Ibrahim, M.M., Umoru, A.M., Umar, J.B., Bukar, M.A., Haruna, A.B. & Aliyu, A. (2019) Infection of Wounds by Potential Bacterial Pathogens and Their Resistogram. Open Access Library Journal, 6: e5528.
<https://doi.org/10.4236/oalib.1105528>

- [3]. International Wound Infection Institute (IWII) (2022). Wound Infection in Clinical Practice. Wounds International. <https://woundinfection-institute.com/wp-content/uploads/IWII-CD-2022-web-1.pdf>
- [4]. Sandy-Hodgetts, K., Ousey, K., Conway, B., Djohan, R., Nair, H. K. R., Serena, T., & Tariq, G. (2020). International Best Practice recommendations for the early identification and prevention of Surgical Wound Complications. Wounds International. <https://www.woundsinternational.com/resources/details/international-best-practice-recommendations-early-indentification-and-prevention-surgical-wound-complications>
- [5]. Pang, Z., Raudonis, R., Glick, B. R., Lin, T. J., & Cheng, Z. (2019). Antibiotic resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: mechanisms and alternative therapeutic strategies. *Biotechnology advances*, 37(1), 177–192. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2018.11.013>
- [6]. Qin, S., Xiao, W., Zhou, C., Pu, Q., Deng, X., Lan, L., Liang, H., Song, X., & Wu, M. (2022). *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: pathogenesis, virulence factors, antibiotic resistance, interaction with host, technology advances and emerging therapeutics. Signal transduction and targeted therapy, 7(1), 199. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41392-022-01056-1>
- [7]. Ghosh, M., Raghav, S., Ghosh, P., Maity, S., Mohela, K., & Jain, D. (2023). Structural analysis of novel drug targets for mitigation of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilms. *FEMS microbiology reviews*, 47(5), fuad054. <https://doi.org/10.1093/femsre/fuad054>

- [8]. Shen, C., Zeng, J., Zheng, D., Xiao, Y., Pu, J. & Luo, L. et al. (2023). Molecular epidemiology and genomic dynamics of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates causing relapse infections. *Microbiology spectrum*, 11(5), e0531222. *Advance online publication*. <https://doi.org/10.1128/spectrum.05312-22>
- [9]. Diggle, S. P., & Whiteley, M. (2020). Microbe Profile: *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: opportunistic pathogen and lab rat. *Microbiology (Reading, England)*, 166(1), 30–33. <https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.000860>
- [10]. Nadgir, C. A., & Biswas, D. A. (2023). Antibiotic Resistance and Its Impact on Disease Management. *Cureus*, 15(4), e38251. <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.38251>
- [11]. World Health Organization (2023) Antibiotic Resistance. Overview. [https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/antimicrobial-resistance#:~:text=Antimicrobial%20Resistance%20\(AMR\)%20occurs%20when,organ%20transplantation%20and%20other%20surgeries.](https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/antimicrobial-resistance#:~:text=Antimicrobial%20Resistance%20(AMR)%20occurs%20when,organ%20transplantation%20and%20other%20surgeries.)
- [12]. Spagnolo, A. M., Sartini, M., & Cristina, M. L. (2021). *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in the healthcare facility setting. *Reviews in Medical Microbiology* 32(3):p 169-175. DOI: 10.1097/MRM.0000000000000271
- [13]. Blomquist, K. C., & Nix, D. E. (2021). A Critical Evaluation of Newer β -Lactam Antibiotics for Treatment of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Infections. *The Annals of pharmacotherapy*, 55(8), 1010–1024. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1060028020974003>
- [14]. Rossi, E., La Rosa, R., Bartell, J. A., Marvig, R. L., Haagenen, J. A. J. & Sommer, L. M. et al. (2021). *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* adaptation and evolution in patients with cystic fibrosis. *Nature reviews. Microbiology*, 19(5), 331–342. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-020-00477-5>

- [15]. Bai, L., Wang, L., Yang, X., Wang, J., Gan, X. & Wang, W. et al. (2017). Prevalence and Molecular Characteristics of Extended-Spectrum β -Lactamase Genes in *Escherichia coli* Isolated from Diarrheic Patients in China. *Frontiers in microbiology*, 8, 144. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.00144>
- [16]. Ibekwe, A., Durso, L., Ducey, T. F., Oladeinde, A., Jackson, C. R. & Frye, J. G. et al. (2021). Diversity of Plasmids and Genes Encoding Resistance to Extended-Spectrum β -Lactamase in *Escherichia coli* from Different Animal Sources. *Microorganisms*, 9(5), 1057. <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms9051057>
- [17]. Sufiyan, I., Mohammed, K. D., Bello, I. E., & Zaharadeen, I. (2020). Impact of Harmattan Season on Human Health in Keffi, Nasarawa State, Nigeria. *Matrix Science Medica* 4(2): 44-50. DOI: 10.4103/MTSM.MTSM_1_20
- [18]. Ezeador, C. O., Ejikeugwu, P. C., Ushie, S. N., & Agbakoba, N. R. (2020). Isolation, Identification And Prevalence Of *Pseudomonas Aeruginosa* Isolates From Clinical And Environmental Sources In Onitsha Metropolis, Anambra State. *European Journal of Medical and Health Sciences*, 2(2). <https://doi.org/10.24018/ejmed.2020.2.2.188>
- [19]. Sapro, R.L. (2022). How to Calculate an Adequate Sample Size? In: How to Practice Academic Medicine and Publish from Developing Countries? *Springer*, Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-5248-6_9
- [20]. Sanusi, A. B. M., Muhammad, N. B., & Mohammed, A. G. (2019). Antibigram profile of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolated from wounds of patients attending some selected hospitals in Sokoto metropolis, Nigeria. *GSC Biological and Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 9(2), 032–043. <https://doi.org/10.30574/gscbps.2019.9.2.0193>

- [21]. Cheesbrough M. District laboratory practice in tropical countries. Low price edition. Cambridge University press, USA.2010;297.
- [22]. Weinstein, M. P., & Lewis, J. S. I.I.(2020). The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute Subcommittee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing: background, organization, functions, and processes. J Clin Microbiol, 58, 01864-19.
- [23]. Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) (2021) Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing, 31st ed. <https://clsi.org/about/press-releases/clsi-publishes-m100-performance-standards-for-antimicrobial-susceptibility-testing-31st-edition/>
- [24]. Balakrishnan, VS. (2022). WHO's first global infection prevention and control report. Lancet Infect Dis. 22:1122. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(22)00459-5
- [25]. Phan, S., Feng, C. H., Huang, R., Lee, Z. X., Moua, Y., Phung, O. J., & Lenhard, J. R. (2023). Relative Abundance and Detection of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* from Chronic Wound Infections Globally. *Microorganisms*, 11(5), 1210. <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms11051210>
- [26]. Okpukpu, E.N., Wemedo, S.A., & Sampson, T. (2022) Prevalence and Antibiotics Susceptibility Profile of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Associated with Wound Infections in Port Harcourt, Nigeria. Acta Scientific Clinical Case Reports. 3: 9 . 4-10. Available online: <https://actascientific.com/ASCR/pdf/ASCR-03-0342.pdf>
- [27]. Dawang, D. N. & Ayara, O. (2018). Antibiotic Susceptibility of Bacterial Isolates from Post and Non Operative Wound Sepsis in Patients attending Federal Medical Centre Keffi. Direct Research Journal of Public Health and Environmental Technology. 3 (7), 81-86, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.26765/DRJPHET.2018.1675>

- [28]. Umar, A.I., Garba, I., Jidda, M.L., Ganau, A.M., Fana, A.S., Umar, A.I., & Malami, F. M. (2019). Multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolated from ear and wound swabs in some selected hospital laboratories in Sokoto Metropolis, Nigeria. *Calabar Journal Health Science*;3(1):31-5.
- [29]. Pandukur, S. G., Sambo, T.T. & Plangnan, A. G. (2020). Prevalence of Bacterial Pathogens Associated With Wound Infections From Diabetic Out-Patients at Plateau Specialist Hospital, Jos, Nigeria. *Open Journal of Bioscience Research (OJBR)*. 1:2,01-11 openjournalsnigeria.org/ng/pub/ojbr2020010201.pdf
- [30]. Adejobi, A., Ojo, O., Alaka, O., Odetoyin, B., & Onipede, A. (2021). Antibiotic resistance pattern of *Pseudomonas* spp. from patients in a tertiary hospital in South-West Nigeria. *Germs*, 11(2), 238–245. <https://doi.org/10.18683/germs.2021.1260>
- [31]. Abdi, F. A., Motumma, A. N., Kalayu, A. A., & Abegaz, W. E. (2024). Prevalence and antimicrobial-resistant patterns of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* among burn patients attending Yekatit 12 Hospital Medical College in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. *PloS one*, 19(3), e0289586. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0289586>
- [32]. Richcane, A., Tay Samuel, C., Pius, A., Enoch, F., Thomas, K., & Poku, O. (2017). Bacteriological profile of burn wound isolates in a burns center of a tertiary hospital. *Journal of Acute Disease*, 6, 181 - 186. DOI:[10.12980/JAD.6.20170406](https://doi.org/10.12980/JAD.6.20170406)
- [33]. Ahmed, E. F., Rasmi, A. H., Darwish, A. M. A., & Gad, G. F. M. (2023). Prevalence and resistance profile of bacteria isolated from wound infections among a group of patients in upper Egypt: a descriptive cross-sectional study. *BMC research notes*, 16(1), 106. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-023-06379-y>

- [34]. Ahmad, S., Alotaibi, M. A., & Alamri, M. S. (2020). Antibiotic Sensitivity Pattern of Clinical Isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* at a Tertiary Care Hospital in Saudi Arabia: Antibiotic Sensitivity Pattern of Clinical Isolates. *Dhaka University Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 19(1), 77–82. <https://doi.org/10.3329/dujps.v19i1.47821>
- [35]. Silva, V., Marcoleta, A., Silva, V., Flores, D., Aparicio, T. & Aburto, I. et al. (2018). Prevalencia y perfil de susceptibilidad antimicrobiana en bacterias aisladas de úlceras crónicas infectadas en adultos [Prevalence and susceptibility pattern of bacteria isolated from infected chronic wounds in adult patients]. *Revista chilena de infectología : organo oficial de la Sociedad Chilena de Infectología*, 35(2), 155–162. <https://doi.org/10.4067/s0716-10182018000200155>
- [36]. Rahim, K., Saleha, S., Basit, A., Zhu, X., Ahmed, I. & Liang, H. et al.(2017). *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* as a Powerful Biofilm Producer and Positive Action of Amikacin Against Isolates From Chronic Wounds. *Jundishapur Journal of Microbiology*.10(10):e57564. <https://doi.org/10.5812/jjm.57564>.
- [37]. Xie, Y., Xu, Y., Chen, K., Chen, C., Huang, J., Chen, Q., & Shi, P. (2024). Microbiological and Antimicrobial Pattern of Diabetic Foot Ulcers at a Tertiary Care Center in East China. *The international journal of lower extremity wounds*, 23(1), 104–108. <https://doi.org/10.1177/15347346211055972>
- [38]. de Oliveira, F. P., Pires, B. M. F. B., de Cássia Ferreira de Almeida, S. K., de Carvalho, B. T. F. & Teixeira, L. A. et al. (2017). Prevalence, Antimicrobial Susceptibility, and Clonal Diversity of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in Chronic Wounds. *Journal of wound, ostomy, and continence nursing : official publication of The Wound, Ostomy and Continence Nurses Society*, 44(6), 528–535. <https://doi.org/10.1097/WON.0000000000000373>

- [39]. Cwajda-Białasik, J., Mościcka, P., Jawień, A., & Szewczyk, M. T. (2021). Microbiological Status of Venous Leg Ulcers and Its Predictors: A Single-Center Cross-Sectional Study. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 18(24), 12965. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph182412965>
- [40]. Adesoji, A. T., Onuh, J. P., Palang, I. P., Liadi, A. M., & Musa, S. (2023). Prevalence of multi-drug resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolated from selected residential sewages in Dutsin-Ma, Katsina State, Nigeria. *Journal of public health in Africa*, 14(2), 2152. <https://doi.org/10.4081/jphia.2023.2152>
- [41]. Elfadadny, A., Ragab, R. F., AlHarbi, M., Badshah, F., Ibáñez-Arancibia, E. & Farag, A. et al. (2024). Antimicrobial resistance of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: navigating clinical impacts, current resistance trends, and innovations in breaking therapies. *Frontiers in microbiology*, 15, 1374466. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1374466>
- [42]. Ahmadi, K., Hashemian, A. M., Pouryaghobi, S. M., Akhavan, R., Rozmina, S., & Bolvardi, E. (2016). Antibiotic Resistance Properties of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Isolated From Cases of Superficial Infections at the Emergency Unit. *Jundishapur journal of microbiology*, 9(1), e27646. <https://doi.org/10.5812/jjm.27646> (Retraction published *Jundishapur J Microbiol.* 2016 Mar 03;9(3):e43586. doi: 10.5812/jjm.43611)
- [43]. Logan, L. K., Gandra, S., Mandal, S., Klein, E. Y., Levinson, J. & Weinstein, R. A., Laxminarayan, R. et al. (2017). Multidrug- and Carbapenem-Resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in Children, United States, 1999-2012. *Journal of the Pediatric Infectious Diseases Society*, 6(4), 352–359. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jpids/piw064>

- [44]. Ajibade, O., Oladipo, E.K., Aina, K.T., Olotu, T. & Adeosun, I. (2020). Incidence of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Resistance in Clinical Isolates from Selected Hospitals in Oyo State, Nigeria. *Bulletin of Pharmaceutical Research*. 10 (1-3): 1-6. DOI: 10.21276/bpr.2020.10.1
- [45]. Hafiz, T. A., Bin Essa, E. A., Alharbi, S. R., Alyami, A. S., Alkudmani, Z. S. & Mubarak, M. A. et al. (2023). Epidemiological, Microbiological, and Clinical Characteristics of Multi-Resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Isolates in King Fahad Medical City, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. *Tropical medicine and infectious disease*, 8(4), 205. <https://doi.org/10.3390/tropicalmed8040205>
- [46]. Akgül, Ö., & Bora, G. (2023). Microbiological Analysis of *Pseudomonas Aeruginosa* and *Staphylococcus Aureus* Strains Isolated From Chronic Wounds. *International Journal of Medical Science and Clinical Invention*, 10(07), 6832–6836. <https://doi.org/10.18535/ijmsci/v10i7.05>
- [47]. Saltoglu, N., Surme, S., Ezirmik, E., Kadanali, A., Kurt, A. F. & Sahin Ozdemir, M. et al. (2023). The Effects of Antimicrobial Resistance and the Compatibility of Initial Antibiotic Treatment on Clinical Outcomes in Patients With Diabetic Foot Infection. *The international journal of lower extremity wounds*, 22(2), 283–290. <https://doi.org/10.1177/15347346211004141>
- [48]. Bangera, D., Shenoy, S. M., & Saldanha, D. R. (2016). Clinico-microbiological study of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in wound infections and the detection of metallo- β -lactamase production. *International wound journal*, 13(6), 1299–1302. <https://doi.org/10.1111/iwj.12519>

- [49]. Zhang, H., Jia, P., Zhu, Y., Zhang, G., Zhang, J. & Kang, W. et al. (2021). Susceptibility to Imipenem/Relebactam of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Acinetobacter baumannii* Isolates from Chinese Intra-Abdominal, Respiratory and Urinary Tract Infections: SMART 2015 to 2018. *Infection and drug resistance*, 14, 3509–3518. <https://doi.org/10.2147/IDR.S325520>
- [50]. Asamenew, T., Worku, S., Motbainor, H., Mekonnen, D., & Deribe, A. (2023). Antimicrobial Resistance Profile of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* from Different Clinical Samples in Debre Tabor Comprehensive Specialized Hospital, Northwest Ethiopia. *Ethiopian journal of health sciences*, 33(3), 423–432. <https://doi.org/10.4314/ejhs.v33i3.5>
- [51]. Shbaita, S., Abatli, S., Sweileh, M. W., Aiesh, B. M., Sabateen, A. & Salameh, H. T. et al. (2023). Antibiotic resistance profiles and associated factors of *Pseudomonas* Infections among patients admitted to large tertiary care hospital from a developing country. *Antimicrobial resistance and infection control*, 12(1), 149. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13756-023-01355-4>
- [52]. Hosseininassab Nodoushan, S. A., Yadegari, S., Moghim, S., Isfahani, B. N., Fazeli, H. & Poursina, F. et al. (2017). Distribution of the Strains of Multidrug-resistant, Extensively Drug-resistant, and Pandrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Isolates from Burn Patients. *Advanced biomedical research*, 6, 74. https://doi.org/10.4103/abr.abr_239_16
- [53]. Khalil, M. A., El Maghraby, G. M., Sonbol, F. I., Allam, N. G., Ateya, P. S., & Ali, S. S. (2021). Enhanced Efficacy of Some Antibiotics in Presence of Silver Nanoparticles Against Multidrug Resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Recovered From Burn Wound

Infections. Frontiers in microbiology, 12, 648560.

<https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.648560>

- [54]. van Duin, D., & Paterson, D. L. (2020). Multidrug-Resistant Bacteria in the Community: An Update. *Infectious disease clinics of North America*, 34(4), 709–722. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idc.2020.08.002>
- [55]. Haghighifar, E., Kamali, R., & Norouzi, F. (2021). Prevalence of bla and bla genes, antimicrobial resistance pattern and biofilm formation in clinical isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* from burn patients in Isfahan, Iran. *Gene Reports*. 23. 101157. 10.1016/j.genrep.2021.101157.
- [56]. Bharadwaj, A., Rastogi, A., Pandey, S., Gupta, S., & Sohal, J. S. (2022). Multidrug-Resistant Bacteria: Their Mechanism of Action and Prophylaxis. *BioMed research international*, 2022, 5419874. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/5419874>
- [57]. Golli, A. L., Cristea, O. M., Zlatian, O., Glodeanu, A. D., Balasoiu, A. T., Ionescu, M., & Popa, S. (2022). Prevalence of Multidrug-Resistant Pathogens Causing Bloodstream Infections in an Intensive Care Unit. *Infection and drug resistance*, 15, 5981–5992. <https://doi.org/10.2147/IDR.S383285>

UNDER PEER REVIEW