

Review Form 3

L

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_127092
Title of the Manuscript:	Relationship Between Capital Structure, Profitability, Company Size, Dividend Policy to Firm Value, Investment Decision as Moderator
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The concept can be used by researchers to prove signaling theory. I like this manuscript because it relates to dividends decision to prove the existence of asymmetric information with signaling theory This manuscript is related to my research	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	the title of the article is unsuitable. The title does not match the objectives in the abstract and research framework (Figure 1). Suggestion: The influence of capital structure, profitability, company size and dividend decision on firm value with investment decision as a moderator	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	the abstract of the article is not comprehensive. n the abstract, the implications of the research need to be added and adjusted to the research results	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	subsections and structure of the manuscript are not appropriate, need some revisions	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It can be explained that the data was taken during the Covid era, and the real estate business was in very bad conditions. This shows that signaling theory works with dividend decisions as asymmetric information	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references are sufficient and recent	
Minor REVISION comments	Yes, the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Indahwati
Department, University & Country	Universitas Wijaya Kusuma Surabaya, Indonesia