

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_125776
Title of the Manuscript:	Constraints faced by the coir workers and the coir co-operative societies in the production of coir yarn in Alappuzha and Kollam districts of Kerala
Type of the Article	Research article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is well written showing the problems faced by the by the coir workers and the coir co-operative societies in the production of coir yarn in particular district of Kerala. The suggestion provided by the researcher will be helpful to overcome the problems of workers and cooperative societies.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Mention study year in abstract	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript is scientifically robust and will be helpful for overcoming the problems of coir production. As the study is survey based and random sampling was used. The results of the study will be applicable for the state.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are very less. Some more references can be added.	
Minor REVISION comments	Understandable language	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Mention inside the text	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vikash
Department, University & Country	National Institute of Food Technology Entrepreneurship and Management, Kundli (An Institute of National Importance), India