

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_125776
Title of the Manuscript:	Constraints faced by the coir workers and the coir co-operative societies in the production of coir yarn in Alappuzha and Kollam districts of Kerala
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Nothing in new contribution	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Completely Revision	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Review of literature, research Gap Significance of the study, importance of study , research questions ,objective of the study not clear, Suggestions and scope of further research not mentioned .	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Completely revision in the Review of literature, research Gap Significance of the study, importance of study , research questions ,objective of the study not clear, Suggestions and scope of further research not mentioned .	
Minor REVISION comments	NO	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Completely revision in the Review of literature, research Gap Significance of the study, importance of study , research questions ,objective of the study not clear, Suggestions and scope of further research not mentioned .	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	P.Govindan
Department, University & Country	K.S.Rangasamy College of Arts and Science (Autonomous), India