

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_127252
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Effectiveness of Extension and Advisory Service (EAS) Providers in a Pluralistic Extension System of West Bengal
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>The comparative effectiveness of Extension and Advisory Service (EAS) providers is crucial in a pluralistic extension system like that of West Bengal, where multiple agencies—governmental, non-governmental, private sector, and community-based organizations—offer services to farmers. This pluralism can strengthen agricultural development, but it also brings challenges in coordination, service quality, accessibility, and relevance to farmers' diverse needs. Here's why the comparative effectiveness of these providers is essential:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Maximizing Impact and Outreach 2. Tailoring Approaches to Diverse Needs 3. Promoting Accountability and Quality 4. Encouraging Innovation and Collaboration 5. Improving Equity and Access 6. Enabling Evidence-Based Policymaking 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	YES	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	YES	

Review Form 3

<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The comparative effectiveness of Extension and Advisory Service (EAS) providers is crucial in a pluralistic extension system like that of West Bengal, where multiple agencies—governmental, non-governmental, private sector, and community-based organizations—offer services to farmers. This pluralism can strengthen agricultural development, but it also brings challenges in coordination, service quality, accessibility, and relevance to farmers' diverse needs. Here's why the comparative effectiveness of these providers is essential:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Maximizing Impact and Outreach 2. Tailoring Approaches to Diverse Needs 3. Promoting Accountability and Quality 4. Encouraging Innovation and Collaboration 5. Improving Equity and Access 6. Enabling Evidence-Based Policymaking 	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>YES</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>NO</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>NO</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Abdal Ahmed</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Tula's Institute of Management & Engineering, India</p>