

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_127017
Title of the Manuscript:	Simultaneous Extraction, Purification, and HPLC gradient quantification of Polyphenols, Caffeine, and Theophylline from the leaves of BB35 Green tea variety of Kenya cultivar
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Impressive technology as the yield better to use for commercial purpose. But at the same time there no comparison is available with datas	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes. Need to provide data for other HPLC method which shows the lower yield with proper reference as compare to the current work	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, scientifically, technically more appropriate and it is brief and precise, except the comparison with data.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Need to provide comparison data and references	
Minor REVISION comments	Yes. Need to provide comparison data and references	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	The current work is well appreciated only if the author provide proper data and reference for the comparison method.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	G Sivakumar
Department, University & Country	KMCH College of Pharmacy, The Tamilnadu Dr. MGR Medical University, India