

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics</a>
Manuscript Number:	_SAJSSE_126767
Title of the Manuscript:	LIVELIHOOD OPTIONS AND THE INTENSITY OF USE AMONG FARMERS IN NORTH CENTRAL NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### PART 1:

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript contributes significantly to scientific community in understanding about livelihood diversification, climate change adaptation and study area relevancy. The manuscript follows a logical structure with extensive review of literature. The methodology Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) used to analyse the intensity of use of livelihood options offers a novel approach to understanding farmers' decision-making processes.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Clear and concise	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The aim of the research study, methodology and conclusions are all briefly outlined in the abstract. However, Clarity and flow might be improved. Specify the type of farmers. Briefly outline the importance of livelihood diversification and climate change adaptation in the abstract.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	No. Break the long sections: introduction and research methodology into different subsections.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript demonstrates scientific robustness and technical soundness through its rigorous methodology and comprehensive literature review. The use of primary data from 483 farm households enhances the reliability and generalizability of the findings. The manuscript's clear research objectives, appropriate sampling technique and thoughtful analysis further contribute to its scientific correctness.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Yes. But recent articles and different international, united nations livelihood strategies studies searched to get a better understand about different conceptual framework and standards used in selecting appropriate tools. DOI can be included to check the article accuracy.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	To be improved and spell check must be used.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<b>SEE ATTACHMENT</b> Follow the guidelines and article procedures.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>R. Anbuselvi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Holy Cross College, India</b>