

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_127203
Title of the Manuscript:	Study of antibiotic sensitivity of <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> isolated from women with urinary tract infection
Type of the Article	Research

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Work presented in this article is of prime concern in the field of medical microbiology. I appreciate the researcher's approach towards the highlighting the UTI associated with <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> and antibiotic resistance. Worth of this study could be enhanced with involving more numbers of participants with variety of specimen collection resources.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title seems suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	A brief detail on demographic data of women ages may be given. Comments on commonly resistant antibiotics can be made.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Over all mistakes in punctuations like use of capital letters, incomplete sentence structure and poor English is observed. Authors may rephrase or reconstruct the sentences on the optional basis.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Scientific naming of the name of microorganisms should be considered in overall manuscript. (Optional) if the results and discussion are described separately. Material and Methods section might be more elaborative with more references.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Some references may be added in material method and discussion section.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language quality needs to be a little improved. Punctuation should be proper. (Changes are optional on the preference of the author)	
Optional/General comments	Overall minor lingual and technical changes can reflect a better understanding for the readers of manuscripts.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Faryal Anjum
Department, University & Country	University of Karachi, Pakistan