

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_127203
Title of the Manuscript:	Study of antibiotic sensitivity of Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolated from women with urinary tract infection
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The studied subject is interesting and fits the objectives and scope of the SAJRM Journal. The manuscript addresses an important issue, as antibiotic resistance is a critical global health challenge. By focusing on <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> isolated from patients with UTI, a pathogen known for its antibiotic resistance, the study tackles a relevant and pressing problem, particularly in low- and middle-income countries.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Study of antibiotic sensitivity of <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> isolated from women with urinary tract infections</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The summary is comprehensive, but be mindful of grammatical errors, the scientific name of the bacterial species must be written in <i>italics</i> On the other hand, <i>P. aeruginosa</i> was completely sensitive (100%) toward Tobramycin</p> <p>Please include relevant findings on the resistance rates observed for <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> isolates in your study.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes it is suitable (Introduction, Methods, Results, Discussion, and Conclusion)</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript demonstrates scientific robustness and technical soundness through its clear focus on the evaluation of multi-antibiotic resistance of <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> isolated from patients with UTI, a serious public health pathogen.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Please focus your discussion on <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> rather than <i>E. coli</i> when comparing your results with other studies. -Please pay attention to the correct naming of antibiotics, ensuring that the names are written accurately and consistently 	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> <p>=</p>	<p>I suggest that the authors add the following references</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Benyagoub, E.; Benchaib, S.M.; Zaalán, A. and Bendada, F. (2018). Prevalence and isolation of pathogenic strains responsible for some infections in Bechar's community (Southwest of Algeria): About 1458 cases. Bangladesh Journal of Medical Science. 19(3): 404–413. -Benyagoub et al (2013). Identification and study of the emergence of antibiotic resistance of microorganisms responsible for urinary tract infections in Bechar (Algeria). Retrieved from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/312210857 Identification and study of the emergence of antibiotic resistance of microorganisms responsible for urinary tract infections in Bechar Algeria 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The manuscript needs language improvement</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Elhassan Benyagoub
Department, University & Country	Mohammed Tahri University of Bechar, Algeria