

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_124415
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTIBIOGRAM OF BACTERIA ISOLATED IN RAW MILK SOLD IN MAKURDI METROPOLIS, BENUE STATE, NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The current manuscript is important for the hygienic point of view of the raw milk sold in markets in Nigeria. It would help to provide valuable information on the mostly prevalent microbes in unpasteurized milk sold here in Nigerian markets. I liked this manuscript because the contents of this manuscript gives an idea about raw milk contamination levels and also provides antimicrobial sensitivity patterns of the bacterial isolates.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article is perfect in context of the conducted research works.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive. I do not have any suggestion in this section.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, subsections and structures of the article are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically correct enough. The content of this article is also robust and sound technically.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient but not very recent. Please add some recent references in the manuscript.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the English language quality of the current article is suitable for scholarly communication.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Fakhruzzaman</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Hajee Mohammad Danesh Science and Technology University, Bangladesh</b>