

Cross-Cultural Human Resource Management: Challenges, Adaptation, and Emerging Trends in Diverse Workforces

ABSTRACT

This paper explores the transformative impact of cross-cultural HR practices within Indian companies, recognizing the profound influence of culture on both individual and organizational development. Using a literature review methodology, it examines the impact of cultural diversity on organizational performance, factors influencing cross-cultural adaptation by employees, and emerging trends in HRM. The study, rooted in the Indian context, addresses challenges unique to the country's diverse culture and institutional voids. Findings reveal the influence of HR practices on diversity climate, the significance of cross-cultural HR practices in India, and a growing interest in diverse work teams. The conclusion synthesizes positive and negative aspects of cross-cultural HR practices, advocating for a multichannel approach in recruitment. This research contributes valuable insights for HR professionals navigating the complexities of cross-cultural dynamics and underscores the strategic importance of embracing diversity for sustainable organizational success.

Keywords: Cross Cultural HR Practices, HRM, Diversified Organizations, Employee Performance, Cultural Diversity.

INTRODUCTION

In today's globalized world, managing culturally diverse workforces has become crucial for both domestic and international organizations. Cross-cultural human resource management (HRM) is now a strategic necessity for fostering organizational growth, innovation, and long-term sustainability. It helps create inclusive workplaces by leveraging diversity, but also introduces challenges like communication barriers, differing work ethics, and leadership expectations. In India, with its vast cultural diversity, these challenges are particularly complex. Companies must develop tailored HR strategies to address cultural differences and enhance cross-cultural adaptation. Effective cross-cultural relations demand comprehensive training programs to navigate these complexities. Moreover, HRM has evolved to encompass roles like communication, training, and strategic focus. Multinational corporations in India face the additional hurdle of adapting to institutional frameworks and operational costs, making regional analyses essential for successful integration.

This paper explores the impact of cross-cultural HR practices on organizational performance, highlighting key factors influencing employee adaptation. It examines emerging trends and challenges

in cross-cultural HRM, offering insights into how organizations can harness diversity for sustainable growth. The objective of this paper to examine the impact of cultural diversity on organizational performance, to know the factors which influence the adaption of cross culture by the employees in the organisation and to know the new trends & issues in human resource management.

METHODOLOGY

This paper uses a literature review methodology and the research design is based on a comprehensive review of existing studies and uses of secondary data from e-journals, newspapers, government publications, books, journals, articles and websites or electronic resources.

“Findings from the literature demonstrate that HRM practices across various countries are profoundly shaped by the forces of globalization and cultural diversity”. Budhwar and Sparrow (1997) evaluated the strategic integration and devolvement of HRM in India, noting that international business dynamics and globalization led to significant debates around international HRM. Their research focused on cross-national HRM practices, identifying differences influenced by “culture-bound” and “culture-free” factors. They explored contingent elements impacting HR policies, including firm size, technology dependence, organization age, the presence of an HRM department, form of proprietorship, and environmental factors, highlighting how these shaped HRM practices globally and influenced organizational strategies in response to international market demands. Aycan et al. (2000) conducted a cross-cultural study involving 1,954 respondents across 10 countries, including Canada, the USA, Turkey, China, Pakistan, India, Germany, Romania, Israel, and Russia, to examine how socio-cultural environments affect internal work culture and HRM practices. They analyzed dimensions such as paternalism, fatalism, power distance, and community loyalty, revealing cultural variations, particularly in paternalism between Western and non-Western cultures, with fatalism correlating with historical contexts in India and Russia. The study found that managerial assumptions positively impacted HRM practices, though North America exhibited weaker connections, and called for further research into under-explored cultural dimensions using a multicultural approach. Budhwar and Khatri (2001) compared HR practices in Britain and India, observing that HRM had expanded from a support function to a strategic management role, adapting to globalization by addressing country-specific factors like union and labour policies, legal and administrative requirements, and compensation. They emphasized HRM's role in overseeing employment relationships, integrating management and non-management personnel, and adapting to diverse regulatory frameworks. Lastly, Kundu (2001) provided a comparative analysis of cross-cultural diversity, with a focus on the Indian context, underscoring the importance of cross-cultural training and, using Hofstede's dimensions, compared India with other nations. The study explained the need for organizations to value and leverage cultural diversity as a strategy for organizational success. Tripathy (2008) emphasized the strategic value of leveraging human resources for competitive advantage, identifying Competencies, Commitment, and Culture as key elements in Human Resource Development (HRD). He argued that a progressive workplace environment is essential for HRD, as effective HR practices positively impact financial and performance indicators within an organization. Kundu and Malhan (2009) highlighted the critical role of HR in securing a competitive advantage, particularly in the

service sector, where improvements in performance appraisal, training, financial benefits, and HR planning are necessary for both multinational and Indian companies. The study suggested that prioritizing HR management practices is vital for fostering employee growth opportunities and enhancing job satisfaction. Gupta (2010) focused on challenges faced by HR managers in the evolving economic landscape, particularly regarding the scarcity of skilled manpower. She underscored the HR department's role in crafting sustainable career paths to retain talent, emphasizing the need for HR managers to confront these challenges proactively, with support from other stakeholders essential for organizational survival. Hofstede et al. (2010) conceptualized culture as a blend of civilization, upbringing, and mentality, shaping but not dictating social behaviours, and distinguishing groups through cultural differences. The study noted that in a globalized context, the material and cultural aspects of life create unique experiences, challenging individuals to navigate significant cultural variations, with regional, ethnic, and religious dimensions often transcending political boundaries. Saini (2010) conducted a case study on HRD in UCO Bank's Chandigarh Region, finding that qualifications were the main criterion for recruitment of managers and employees. The study highlighted effective problem-solving strategies in the organization, with negotiation and union involvement as prevalent methods. However, it also revealed a limited awareness among managers regarding HRD systems, pointing to the need for a comprehensive action plan to improve awareness, motivation, and commitment. The findings indicated that UCO Bank's HRD policies and practices require enhancement to ensure organizational success.

Sharwaila (2012) discussed the contrasting concepts of individualism and collectivism in international HR, highlighting that individualism, common in countries like the US and Australia, emphasizes self-reliance and personal achievement, with individuals being responsible for themselves and avoiding emotional dependence on organizations or groups. In contrast, collectivism, as seen in India, values group loyalty and interdependence, favouring group decision-making and protecting members in exchange for loyalty, with individual identity closely tied to group membership. Bagali (2013) analyzed a shift from welfare to empowerment in HR management at Polyhydron Private Limited (PPL) through a structured questionnaire given to 60 employees, employing a three-stage methodology—pre-pilot observations, a pilot study, and final data collection. The study found that accountability, open communication, and ethical standards were crucial for employee empowerment, with 98% of participants feeling valued and trusted, confirming that empowerment strategies significantly enhanced employee satisfaction and organizational performance, enabling PPL to meet global standards. Shetty et al. (2013) explored globalization and cross-cultural challenges in the Indian IT sector, focusing on HRM practices at Infosys, which grew from a small company in 1981 to a global enterprise with 150,000 employees in 32 countries. Infosys managed a diverse workforce through strategic HR initiatives, including inclusivity programs, cross-cultural training, and communication systems, along with employee well-being policies on work-life balance and health. These practices helped Infosys navigate cross-cultural issues, fostering inclusivity and securing long-term success in a globalized economy. Gupta and Bhaskar (2015) examined success factors for multinational corporations (MNC's) in India, emphasizing cross-cultural challenges and human capital management based on interviews with 22 senior professionals from Delhi and Singapore. Their study highlighted

the importance of understanding India's cultural diversity, fostering relationships with local stakeholders, and involving Indian managers in decision-making. High attrition rates and expatriate struggles without family support underscored the need for MNC's to adapt HR practices, invest in training, and establish a strong Employee Value Proposition (EVP). Lastly, Pereira and Malik (2015) investigated cultural complexities within Indian organizations through nine empirical studies, examining historical, global, and institutional influences on organizational culture. The studies revealed the impact of religion on HRM practices, generational shifts in youth cultural values, and the challenges Indian expatriates face, including the cultural similarity paradox. Findings emphasized the importance of cultural sensitivity in talent acquisition and knowledge management within India's IT sector, advocating for cultural literacy in training programs to boost diversity and performance in a globalized setting, thus setting a foundation for future research in multicultural management.

Ugoani (2016) examined the significance of cultural considerations for long-term success in globalized corporate operations, arguing that transnational organizations must prioritize culture in their HRM procedures to promote harmony within and outside the company. This study, using a survey research design with 385 participants in Nigeria, highlighted the impact of cultural factors on organizational activities and found through a Chi-Square test a positive, significant correlation between cultural characteristics and global HRM. Hutnek (2016) observed that the profitability and corporate social responsibility (CSR) success of companies like Shell and GE underline the connection between corporate responsibility and financial performance. She noted that Fortune Global 500 companies such as Walmart and Apple attract consumer support through CSR initiatives, with HR playing a crucial role in addressing cultural challenges and building a socially responsible image that enhances profitability. Shell and GE's experiences demonstrate HR's role in integrating CSR with business strategy, fostering ethical global operations, and managing cultural differences effectively. Srivastava, Anugamini, and Dhar (2016) explored the mediating role of organizational commitment (OC) in the link between extra-role performance (EXR) and factors like leader-member exchange (LMX), psychological empowerment (PE), and human resource management practices (HRMP) in an Indian public-sector organization. Through structural equation modeling (SEM) and confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) of data from 262 manager-subordinate pairs, the study revealed that LMX, PE, and HRMP positively influenced OC, which subsequently affected EXR. However, the study also found weak links in leader relationships, HR practices, and empowerment, indicating a need for enhanced HR policies to boost commitment and improve interpersonal relationships, ultimately fostering better EXR.

Ruzagiriza (2017) examined the influence of Cross-Cultural Human Resource Management (CCHRM) on employee perceptions within multinational corporations headquartered in Rwanda, utilizing a stratified random sampling method with 300 employees. The study found a strong positive correlation between CCHRM and organizational performance, with employee outcomes mediating this relationship, highlighting both theoretical and practical implications. Hamid (2017) provided an overview of international cross-cultural management, emphasizing the effective use of cross-cultural teams and introducing cross-cultural concepts through Geert Hofstede's research. Through case studies of select companies, the paper demonstrated that effectively managing cross-cultural

differences is crucial for organizational success. Jyoti and Kour (2017) investigated how social intelligence (SQ) and emotional intelligence (EQ) impact cultural intelligence (CQ), focusing on the mediating role of cross-cultural adjustment (CCA) in job performance. In a study of 342 managers from nationalized banks in Jammu and Kashmir, the authors found that EQ and SQ positively influenced CQ, enabling better cross-cultural adaptation. CCA mediated the CQ-job performance relationship, suggesting that culturally intelligent managers performed better in diverse environments. Experience and perceived social support were also found to moderate the CQ-CCA relationship, underscoring the importance of EQ, SQ, and external support for effective cross-cultural adjustment. Nadeem et al. (2017) explored the convergence and divergence of management practices under globalization, focusing on High-Performance Work Practices (HPWPs) and cross-cultural HR literature. The study found that societal culture shaped preferences for HPWPs and recommended exploring the moderating effect of culture on the effectiveness of HPWPs. The authors developed models and tables to summarize existing literature, identified gaps in cross-cultural HPWPs research, and stressed the need for more macro-level and meso-level cross-national studies to enhance understanding of HPWPs design and implementation across cultures, noting a lack of reviews on outcome effectiveness and cultural differences. Babjohn et al. (2018) examined the strategic significance of Human Resources (HR) for organizational development in the global and information age, noting that adaptation to knowledge changes is essential for achieving a competitive edge. HRM functions now go beyond traditional budgetary roles, involving strategic tasks such as roles, relationships, and a focus on learning and flexibility. This paper emphasizes the necessary skills for HR management to address emerging trends, with HR executives required to adapt to organizational changes by prioritizing flexibility, responsiveness, strength, and a customer-centric approach. HR professionals should manage human resources effectively through planning, organizing, leading, and controlling, staying updated on evolving trends in training and employee development. Ranjhan and Mallick (2018) analyzed the impact of organizational citizenship behaviour (OCB) on competitive advantage in Indian healthcare organizations, with a focus on HR practices' moderating role. The study revealed a positive link between OCB and competitive advantage; however, HR practices unexpectedly weakened this relationship. Contrary to previous studies, current HR practices were not adequately supportive of OCB dimensions like altruism and conscientiousness, leading to diminished organizational performance. Instead, HR policies were more aligned with personnel management than with fostering employee engagement, thereby weakening the link between OCB and organizational effectiveness.

Kurtisi and Ullah (2019) examined how modern companies, particularly warehouses aiming to align with global trends, prioritize diversity to gain a competitive edge. Using a qualitative approach with semi-structured interviews across five warehouses in Jönköping, the study employed an interpretive research philosophy and inductive approach to explore cultural diversity management within warehouses. Managers in Jönköping's warehouses identified benefits of culturally diverse teams, such as increased creativity and innovation, although they also acknowledged challenges like the need for responsiveness and adaptability to meet diverse employee needs. Jain and Pareek (2019) highlighted globalization's impact on business operations, noting that the expansion of companies

internationally led to more multicultural organizations, which often face unique challenges like political, currency, and cross-cultural risks. They emphasized HR's critical role in mitigating these risks and fostering inclusive workplaces, advocating for leadership initiatives and employee collaboration to create non-racist, inclusive work environments. Kundu, Subhash C., et al. (2019) investigated the influence of diversity-focused HR practices—such as recruitment, training, performance appraisal, and compensation—on procedural justice perceptions and overall firm performance. Analyzing survey data from 400 middle managers across 162 companies (both Indian firms and MNCs), the study found that procedural justice partially mediated the relationship between diversity-focused HR practices and firm performance, with MNCs and service-oriented firms excelling in diversity initiatives. Limitations included common method variance and a cross-sectional study design, with suggestions for future research to consider additional mediators and longitudinal approaches across varied cultural contexts. Solanki (2019) focused on cross-cultural impacts in four IT companies—Accenture, IBM, TCS, and Tech Mahindra—located in Delhi/NCR, gathering data through structured questionnaires to examine HR activities such as training, performance, expatriate adjustment, and compensation. Chi-square tests revealed that middle and upper management experienced more cultural diversity than lower levels, with expatriates encountering considerable cross-cultural challenges. The study highlighted that cross-cultural management is crucial for strengthening corporate culture, productivity, and successful global expansion within India's IT sector. Sukalova and Ceniga (2019) investigated diversity management practices in Slovakia, assessing middle managers' perspectives through a literature review, secondary data, and a primary survey with 329 respondents. Findings indicated that demographic shifts, such as workforce aging and shortages, led organizations to integrate underrepresented groups, including women with young children, older employees, and foreign workers. Companies with international involvement demonstrated stronger diversity practices, although challenges remained, especially in achieving gender equality and managing multicultural teams. The authors recommended embedding diversity into HR policies, fostering global awareness, and improving cultural competence among managers to support sustainable diversity management practices.

Cooke, Lee Fang, et al. (2020) conducted an extensive analysis of human resource management (HRM) developments across Asia over the past twenty-five years, focusing on themes such as psychological contracts, work-life balance, corporate social responsibility (CSR), and global talent management, highlighting the distinctive challenges faced by multinational corporations (MNCs) in adapting to regional dynamics. The research outlined the significant transition from state socialism to post-state socialism in HRM practices, illustrating how this shift has altered employee relations and organizational structures. Key emerging topics identified included employee voice, diversity, resilience, and green HRM, reflecting a broader awareness of sustainable practices in HRM strategies. Importantly, the study emphasized the profound effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on HRM, underscoring a heightened need for adaptability and flexibility in responding to crisis conditions and managing remote workforces. The authors also speculated that trends associated with globalization could face reversal, potentially impacting global labour mobility and necessitating localized HRM practices in the future. Tiwari (2020) explored the influence of cross-cultural HR

practices in Indian companies, acknowledging the considerable impact that culture has on both individual and organizational growth. In the backdrop of rapid organizational expansion and globalization, diverse cultures converge within workplaces, requiring HR managers to implement standards that facilitate cross-cultural employment and workplace harmony. Acknowledging India's inherent cultural diversity, the study noted that cross-cultural HRM is particularly relevant as individuals from varied regional backgrounds collaborate within the same organizational settings. With internationalization introducing distinct cultural groups, their values and norms increasingly shape organizational processes, often creating challenges, such as communication barriers, differing perspectives, and occasional role ambiguity, which can result in employee demotivation and dissatisfaction if not managed effectively. Kour and Jyoti (2021) examined the mediating influence of cultural intelligence (CQ) in the relationship between cross-cultural training (CCT) and cross-cultural adjustment (CCA) among 530 expatriate managers within the banking sector, finding that specific types of CCT, including pre-departure and language training, positively impacted all four CQ dimensions—meta-cognitive, cognitive, motivational, and behavioural—thereby facilitating expatriates' overall adjustment in new cultural settings. Enhanced CQ improved various facets of adjustment, encompassing general, interaction, and work-related areas, with CCT moderating the CQ-CCA link. Notably, self-initiated expatriates (SIEs) demonstrated a more robust relationship between CQ and CCA, primarily driven by their intrinsic motivation to adapt successfully to foreign environments. The study underscored the importance of tailored CCT in enhancing CQ and CCA, suggesting that the type of training and expatriate category, such as SIEs, significantly influence the extent of cross-cultural adjustment, with findings supporting a moderated mediation effect based on the nature of training and the specific profiles of expatriates.

Potnuru, Rama Krishna Gupta et al. (2021) developed an integrated research model connecting Human Resource Development (HRD) practices, employee competency (EC), organizational effectiveness (OE), and organizational learning climate (OLC), proposing that a supportive learning environment can amplify HRD's impact on organizational success. Data were collected from 506 employees across four medium-sized cement manufacturing companies, and the model's validity was tested using structural equation modeling (SEM). The findings demonstrated that HRD practices, particularly training and career development, substantially enhance employee competency, which in turn contributes to perceived organizational effectiveness. Moreover, OLC was found to positively moderate the relationship between HRD practices and EC, indicating that a conducive learning climate significantly strengthens the development of employee competencies. The results underscore the vital role that a supportive learning atmosphere plays in fostering a skilled workforce, thus driving both individual performance and broader organizational outcomes. Meanwhile, Huang Minghua (2022) examined the complexities multinational corporations face in managing diverse workforces, emphasizing the need for HR departments to balance employee satisfaction with safety in a globalized setting. Based on survey data from 316 HR personnel in multinational companies in China, this study investigated the impact of HR practices on diversity climate, focusing on job satisfaction as a mediating variable. SEM analysis revealed that while training and development efforts alone do not directly affect diversity climate, performance appraisal emerges as a critical driver with a significant

positive effect. Job satisfaction further mediates the relationship between training, development, performance appraisal, and diversity climate, suggesting that employee satisfaction enhances the effectiveness of HR practices in promoting an inclusive and secure work environment. This study provides strategic insights for HR managers in multinational companies, demonstrating that performance appraisal and employee satisfaction are essential components in creating a positive diversity climate, thereby supporting effective workforce management in culturally diverse organizational contexts.

To examine the impact of cultural diversity on organizational performance

In her study *“Cross-Cultural HR Practices Impact in the Indian Context”*, Tiwari (2018) examined the benefits and drawbacks of cultural diversity on organizational performance. The findings revealed that diverse recruitment and selection processes improve workforce capabilities, resulting in enhanced growth and productivity. Conversely, cultural misunderstandings can lead to conflicts, dissatisfaction, and stress, which may increase turnover rates in cross-cultural HR environments. Despite these challenges, cross-cultural HR practices can drive growth for both individuals and organizations by effectively leveraging diversity. Likewise, Ruzagiriza (2017), in *“Does Cross-Cultural Human Resource Management Affect Performance of International Organizations? Evidence from Rwanda”*, identified a strong relationship between cross-cultural HRM and organizational performance in Rwanda, suggesting that international organizations should implement cross-cultural strategies to achieve better outcomes. For multinational organizations with diverse teams, effective HR practices are essential. While performance appraisals have a significant impact on the diversity climate, training and development were found to have a minimal direct influence. Minghua (2022) noted that training can indirectly affect the diversity climate by enhancing job satisfaction.

To know the factors which influence the adaption of cross culture by the employees of the organisation?

An analysis of the literature by Gyebi (2018) in *“The Impact of Multicultural Working Environment on Employees at Workplaces”* revealed that diversity can have both positive and negative effects. The study highlighted that workshops, meetings, and events can enhance communication and collaboration among colleagues. Organizations are encouraged to assess their diversity management through surveys related to employee treatment, compensation, and the effectiveness of incentives. Additionally, employers should bolster training by incorporating interviews, surveys, and setting clear objectives for effective diversity management. In the research conducted by Kurtisi & Ullah (2019) titled *“How Does Cultural Diversity Affect the Management of Warehouse Staff?”* a shift in industry preference from Swedish speakers to a more diverse workforce was noted. The authors pointed out a lack of established theories or management tools to assist leaders in navigating this evolving dynamic. One warehouse manager remarked, **“We can learn a lot from each other when everybody comes from such diverse backgrounds”**. Although there are guidelines and training programs available for leaders, their effectiveness in enhancing leadership remains limited. Farnsworth *et al.* (2019), in *“Diversity in the Workplace: Benefits, Challenges, and the Required Managerial Tools”*, emphasized that diverse teams can bring substantial value to organizations. Acknowledging and respecting differences can create a competitive edge and boost productivity.

Effective management of diversity fosters a fair and safe workplace, ensuring equal access and opportunities while utilizing management tools to inform employees about diversity laws and regulations.

To know the new trends & issues in human resource management

In her work *"Human Resource Management for Managing Cultural Diversity"*, Baporikar (2020) emphasizes the importance of organizational strategies, policies, and HR practices in effectively managing cultural diversity. Organizations should focus on developing strategies that empower HR functions and enable managers to lead diverse teams effectively. Successful HR interventions depend on strong support from management and advocacy from HR practitioners, highlighting the need for well-formulated strategies and policies to ensure organizational well-being.

Similarly, Babjohn *et al.* (2018), in their research titled *"A Study on Issues and Trends in Human Resource Management & Development"*, discuss key challenges facing HRM, including international recruitment, training initiatives, compensation structures, and compliance with labour and tax laws. They also explore emerging HRM trends such as promoting work/life balance, enhancing employee retention, optimizing performance, managing global human resources, addressing multigenerational diversity, and boosting employee engagement. While many organizations prefer to utilize social media, job portals, and campus recruitment to attract diverse candidates, employing a range of recruitment channels can enrich talent acquisition efforts and contribute to organizational success by fostering adaptability and a customer-focused approach.

CONCLUSION

Cross-cultural HR practices can enhance personal growth and productivity, but can also lead to conflicts and stress. Organizations can boost workforce diversity and productivity by promoting diverse candidate recruitment. However, challenges like disputes and higher turnover may arise. Utilizing social media, job portals, and campus recruitment is crucial for cost-effective hiring across diverse skill sets. A multichannel approach is essential, as no single network can reach all potential candidates. Recruiters must understand the percentage of applicants and candidates missed, prompting strategic hiring adjustments.

References

1. Aruna (2011). Emerging Challenges in Human Resource Management. *International Referred Research Journal*, April, 83-84.
2. Aycan, Zeynep, Hanungo, Rabindra N., Mendonca, Manuel, Yu, Haicheng, Deller, Jürgen, Stahl, Günter & Hurshid, Anwar (2000). Impact of Culture on Human Resource Management Practices: A 10-Country Comparison. *Applied Psychology: An International Review*, 49(1), 192-221.
3. Babjohn, D., Ramanjaneyulu, R., Yasmin, S. & Vineesha, P. (2018). A Study on Issues and Trends in Human Resource Management & Development. *International Journal of Advance Research in Science and Engineering (IJARSE)*, 7(3), 664-673.
4. Bagali, M. M. (2013). Organizational Culture and Psychological Engagement Driving Towards Human Side of Management: Implications from Human Resources Management and

- Employee Empowerment Research. *ABBS Management Business and Entrepreneurship Review*, 4(2), 20-34. ISSN: 0976-3341.
5. Budhwar, P. S. & Sparrow, P. R. (1997). Evaluating Levels of Strategic Integration and Development of Human Resource Management in India. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, forthcoming, 8(4), 476-494.
 6. Budhwar, P. S. & Khatri, N. (2001). A Comparative Study of HR Practices in Britain and India. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 12(5), 800-826.
 7. Budhwar, P. S. & Sparrow, P. R. (2002). An Integrative Framework for Understanding Cross-National Human Resource Management Practices. *Human Resource Management Review*, 12, 377-403.
 8. Cooke, Fang Lee, Schuler, Randall & Varma, Arup (2020). Human Resource Management Research and Practice in Asia: Past, Present and Future. *Human Resource Management Review*, Elsevier Inc., 30. DOI: 10.1016/j.hrmr.2020.100778.
 9. Gupta, Anupama (2007). Retailing Human Resource Challenges Ahead. *Synthesis*, 5(2), 102-107.
 10. Gupta, Seeta & Bhaskar, A. Uday (2016). Doing Business in India: Cross-Cultural Issues in Managing Human Resources, *Cross Cultural & Strategic Management*, 23(1), 184-204.
 11. Hamid, M. D. (2017). An Overview of International Cross-Cultural Management. FHM, Berlin, Germany.
 12. Hofstede et al. (2010). Culture is Civilization, Training, and Mind. They are not Rules that Regulate Social Behaviours but they Help People Distinguish One Group from another.
 13. Hutnek, Sarah Michelle (2016). The Impact of Cultural Differences on Human Resources Policies of Multinational Companies. *University of South Carolina - Columbia, Spring 5-5*, 1-32. https://scholarcommons.sc.edu/senior_theses/60.
 14. Jain, Tanuja & Pareek, Charu (2019). Managing Cross-Cultural Diversity: Issues and Challenges. *Global Management Review*, 13(2). DOI: 10.34155/GMR.19.1302.03.
 15. Jyoti, Jeevan & Kour, Sumeet (2017). Factors Affecting Cultural Intelligence and Its Impact on Job Performance: Role of Cross-Cultural Adjustment, Experience and Perceived Social Support. *Personnel Review*, 46(4), 767-791. DOI: 10.1108/PR-12-2015-0313.
 16. Kour, Sumeet & Jyoti, Jeevan (2021). Cross-Cultural Training and Adjustment through the Lens of Cultural Intelligence and Type of Expatriates. *Employee Relations, International Journal Emerald Publishing Limited* 43(4). DOI: 10.1108/ER-07-2020-0355.
 17. Kundu, Subhash C. (2001). Managing Cross-Cultural Diversity: A Challenge for Present and Future Organizations. *Delhi Business Review*, 2.
 18. Kundu, Subhash C. & Malhan, Divya (2009). HRM Practices in Insurance Companies: A Study of Indian and Multinational Companies. *Managing Global Transitions*, 7, 5-10.
 19. Kundu, Subhash C., Mor, Archana, Bansal, Jahanvi & Kumar, Sandeep (2019). Diversity Focused HR Practices and Perceived Firm Performance: Mediating Role of Procedural Justice. *Journal of Asia Business Studies*, 1-40. DOI: 10.1108/JABS-02-2018-0032.

20. Kurtisi, Haris & Ullah, Asad (2019). How Does Cultural Diversity Affect the Management of Warehouse Staff? Specifically, What Processes are Available to Balance Diversity and Integrate Human Resources. *Jönköping International Business School, sweden*, 1-55.
21. Minghua, Huang (2022). Role of Efficient Human Resource Management in Managing Diversified Organizations. *Organizational Psychology. Original Research, Journal Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, Article 864043. DOI: 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.864043.
22. Nadeem, Sadia, Raza, Mishal, Kayani, Neelab, Aziz, Amna & Nayab, Dure (2017). Examining Cross-Cultural Compatibility of High-Performance Work Practices. *International Business Review*, Elsevier, 1-21. DOI: 10.1016/j.ibusrev.2017.10.006.
23. Pereira, V. & Malik, A. (2015). Culture in Indian Organizations: Evidence-Based Research and Practice, Investigating Cultural Aspects in Indian Organizations. *India Studies in Business and Economics*, 1-10. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-16098-6_1.
24. Potnuru, Rama Krishna Gupta, Sahoo, Chandan Kumar & Parle, Kalyan Chakravarthy (2021). HRD Practices, Employee Competencies and Organizational Effectiveness: Role of Organizational Learning Culture. *Journal of Asia Business Studies*. ISSN 1558-7894. DOI: 10.1108/JABS-06-2020-0237.
25. Ranjhan, Sunil & Mallick (Basu), Eeman (2018). Organizational Citizenship Behaviour Creating Competitive Advantage in Indian Health Care Industry: The Moderating Role of HR Practices. *Global Business Review*, SAGE Publications, 19(5), 1275–1289. DOI: 10.1177/0972150918788629.
26. Rao, V. S. P. (2007). Influencing Factors, Human Resource Management. *Human Resource Management, School of Distance Education, Bharathiar University Coimbatore*, 261.
27. Ruzagiriza, Angel Umubyeyi (2017). Does Cross-Culture Human Resource Management Affect Performance of International Organizations? Evidence from Rwanda. *International Journal of Innovation and Economic Development*, 2(6), 14-28. DOI: 10.18775/ijied.1849-7551-7020.2015.25.2002.
28. Saini, R. R. (2010). Human Resource Development in UCO Bank: A Case Study of Chandigarh Region. *RMS Journal of Management and IT*, 3, 58-64.
29. Sharwaila, S. R. (2012). International HR. PHI, 201-202.
30. Shetty, Bharathraj, Murthy, Anantha N.K. & Soundararajan (2013). Globalization and Cross Culture: Issues and Challenges in IT Sector: A Case Study on Infosys Technologies. *ABBS Management Business and Entrepreneurship Review*, 4(2), 100-108. ISSN: 0976-3341.
31. Srivastava, Anugamini Priya & Dhar, Rajib Lochan (2016). Impact of Leader-Member Exchange, Human Resource Management Practices and Psychological Empowerment on Extra Role Performances: The Mediating Role of Organizational Commitment. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 65(3), 351-377. DOI: 10.1108/IJPPM-01-2014-0009.
32. Solanki, Shalu (2019). A Study of Cultural Diversity in IT Companies in India. *Thesis*, 1-249.
33. Sun, Hongning & Liu, Liu (2012). Cross-Cultural Communication with a Focus on Swedish and Chinese Employees. *Master's Thesis 30 ECTS,, Kanstad Business School*, 5-66. URN: [urn:nbn:se:kau:diva-14683](http://nbn:se:kau:diva-14683)

34. Sukalova, Viera, Ceniga, Pave (2019). Diversity Management in Sustainable Human Resources Management. *Globalization and its Socio-Economic Consequences*, pp 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.1051/shsconf/20207401033>
35. Tripathy, P. Kumar (2008). HRD Climate in Indian Organizations: A Study in Few Selected Units of West Bengal. *HRM Review Magazine*, November, 1-5.
36. Tiwari, Gauri (2020). Cross Cultural HR Practices Impact in Indian Context. *ECA*, 1-13. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3526858>. <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3526858>.
37. Taras, Vasyl, Baack, Dan, Caprar, Dan, Jiménez, Alfredo, Froese, Fabian & Magnusson, Peter (2021). Research: How Cultural Differences Can Impact Global Teams. *United States and Douglas Dow at the University of Melbourne, Australia contributed to this research, Harvard Business Review*. <https://hbr.org/2021/06/research-how-cultural-differences-can-impact-global-teams>.
38. Ugoani, John N. N. (2016). Cultural Dimensions in Global Human Resource Management: Implications for Nigeria. *Independent Journal of Management & Production (IJM&P)*, 7(3), 807-832. DOI: 10.14807/ijmp.v7i3.429.