

Review Form 3

JournalName:	JournalofEducation,SocietyandBehaviouralScience
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_JESBS_127291
TitleoftheManuscript:	ResearchontheConstructionand ApplicationofInnovativePracticePlatformforCollaborativeEducationofIndustryandUniversity:TakeTheBig DataDriven Digital Economy Platform as an Example
TypeoftheArticle	CaseStudy–ResearchArticle

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is valuable for highlighting how industry-university collaboration addresses skill gaps in emerging fields like big data. Its focus on interdisciplinary research and innovative teaching methods aligns with industry needs. While the case study is insightful, broader applicability and long-term impact evaluations would enhance its contribution.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title is informative and relevant, but it could be more concise and engaging. A possible alternative title could be: "Developing a Big Data-Driven Collaborative Platform for Industry-University Education: A Case Study"</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract is comprehensive but could be more concise. Key outcomes, like improved talent training and interdisciplinary research, should be emphasized earlier. Details on policies and timelines can be reduced, and a mention of scalability or broader applications would add value.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The manuscript's structure is clear and appropriate, but some sections, like the background, could be concise. Refining titles and adding a section on future work would improve readability and completeness.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript is scientifically robust as it aligns with established policies and demonstrates a clear understanding of the challenges in industry-academia collaboration. The use of a case study provides practical insights, and the outcomes are supported by relevant data, such as employment statistics and platform results. Its integration of theoretical and practical frameworks, like the "three teachers" model and interdisciplinary applications, ensures technical soundness. Overall, it offers a credible approach to addressing the skill gap in big data education.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>The references are relevant but could include more recent studies to reflect current trends in big data education and industry collaboration. Adding global case studies or research on AI in education would enhance the manuscript's relevance.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Simplify the abstract, focusing on key outcomes and removing policy details. Streamline the introduction and use more recent employment data. Shorten subsection titles for clarity. Expand on the challenges in industry-university collaboration. Refine language for better flow, particularly in the "three teachers" model section.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The article "Research on the Construction and Application of Innovative Practice Platform for Collaborative Education of Industry and University" effectively addresses the gap between graduate employability and industry needs through a case study on the big data economic practice platform. It highlights innovative approaches, such as the "three teachers" model (university teachers, AI, enterprise teachers), interdisciplinary research, and real-world applications, supported by relevant policies and statistics.</p> <p>Areas for Improvement</p>	

Review Form 3

	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Generalization of Results: While the study is specific to a single collaboration, it lacks broader insights into how this model can be scaled or adapted across different universities, regions, or industries. 2. Evaluation of Long-term Impact: The article focuses more on immediate outcomes (platform construction, teaching methods) and less on long-term metrics such as employment rates, student satisfaction, or industry feedback. 3. Addressing Challenges in Greater Depth: While challenges like coordinating interests and resource sharing are mentioned, they are not explored in detail. Practical solutions or successful strategies from this collaboration could provide valuable insights. 4. Global Perspective: The article focuses primarily on China's educational context. Drawing comparisons with international models could enrich the discussion and highlight global best practices. 5. Language and Clarity: The text occasionally includes grammatical errors and dense language, which could detract from readability. Simplifying technical jargon and improving linguistic accuracy would enhance accessibility. 	
--	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R. Pugalendhi
Department, University & Country	Sathyabama University, India