

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_126660
Title of the Manuscript:	A Survey of Fused Deposition Modeling (FDM) Technology in 3D Printing
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I consider the manuscript useful considering that it provides a useful synthesis of the most popular 3D printing technology. Even though the limitations of this manufacturing process are detailed, a brief comparison with other 3D printing process variants would be necessary. Being a survey, the bibliography is vast. An adapted diagram of the operating principle of FDM should be included.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes. But the structure of the abstract is not respected: aims, study design, methodology, results and conclusion.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It would be a useful option to insert at least a figure or a table to better visualize the data. The paper template is not respected. Spaces between paragraphs are absolutely necessary so that the paper can be read more fluently. The title of a new chapter cannot be the last line of a page, and its content cannot begin on the next page.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vlad Gheorghita
Department, University & Country	National University of Science and Technology "Politehnica" of Bucharest, Romania