

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_126892
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review of Biological Interactions and Management Strategies for the Cotton Bollworm, <i>Helicoverpa armigera</i> (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae)
Type of the Article	Review

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it addresses the pressing agricultural challenge posed by <i>Helicoverpa armigera</i>, a pest with a wide host range and notable adaptability, making it a persistent threat to various crop yields. The review provides a valuable resource for researchers, policymakers, and practitioners in agriculture, offering an integrated perspective on pest control that balances efficacy with environmental sustainability. The emphasis on Integrated Pest Management and innovative tools, reflecting the progressive shift in pest management towards precision and sustainability. Overall, this manuscript is both timely and impactful, as it encourages multidisciplinary collaboration and community involvement, essential for addressing complex agricultural issues in a sustainable manner.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>Given its comprehensive review of <i>Helicoverpa armigera</i>, a widely recognized pest, it draws on established scientific concepts, such as Integrated Pest Management, and integrates recent advancements, like genetically modified Bt cotton, biopesticides, and precision agriculture technologies. The inclusion of community engagement, policy support, and education further underscores the holistic and practical approach required for effective pest management.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>Minor revision is required</p> <p>PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gurpreet Singh Preet
Department, University & Country	Guru Angad Dev Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, India