

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_126545
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluating Tillage Management and Chickpea Varieties for Enhanced Nutrient Uptake in Mungbean-Chickpea Sequence
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	his manuscript is valuable for the scientific community as it explores how tillage management and chickpea variety selection impact nutrient uptake, an essential factor in sustainable agriculture. The study's findings contribute to optimizing legume-based cropping systems, which are critical for enhancing soil health and nutrient cycling. By offering insights into effective tillage practices, this work aids in guiding soil management strategies to improve crop productivity and environmental resilience.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is good but can be revised a little bit Impact of Tillage Practices and Chickpea Varieties on Nutrient Uptake in a Mungbean-Chickpea Cropping System." This revised title provides a concise overview of the study's main variables and context.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive enough for the manuscript	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript should end with your references, the tables and figures should be in the body of the manuscript	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is scientifically sound, using a well-defined strip plot design with replicated treatments to evaluate tillage and variety impacts on nutrient uptake. The inclusion of key nutrient parameters and rigorous statistical analysis strengthens the reliability of its findings.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	If possible add more references	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The Quality of English language is suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jephta Mensah Kwakye
Department, University & Country	Texas A&M University, USA