

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_126971
Title of the Manuscript:	ULTRA-PROCESSED FOODS ARE ASSOCIATED WITH OVERWEIGHT AND POOR EATING PRACTICES IN NUTRITION STUDENTS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study has evaluated the degree of dietary changes in nutrition students post the COVID-19 pandemic and its impact on overweight. This is important as the world recovers from the pandemic, the changes and effects on diet, eating patterns etc. need to be closely monitored. These changes are still evolving and studies like these help to document the changes and track their progression.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No the title is not suitable. It should reflect that COVID-19 was an important factor in the study. Alternate title suggestion - "Association of Ultra-Processed Foods Consumption with Health Outcomes in Nutrition Students: A Post-COVID-19 Analysis"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is very abruptly written. I strongly recommend revision of language and use of more freely flowing, cohesive sentence formation.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study has utilised multiple methods to accurately gauge the health outcomes, both real and perceived of the participants. The calculation of study sample, use of tools and the analysis of results are appropriate for the desired outcomes of the study.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No, the language requires some refining for better readability and comfort.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aditi Goyal
Department, University & Country	SNDT Women's University, India