

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_126819
Title of the Manuscript:	Instruments for Assessing the Impact of Periodontal Disease on Oral Health-Related Quality of Life: A Narrative Review
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =		

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It is usually recommended to introduce the latest technologies in order to improve any therapy plan. This article's strength is this. 2. The ADA standard number and detailed names of the necessary instruments would have been included in this page, which would have aided future study. 3. It would have been much more beneficial to place greater emphasis on treatment protocol. 4. To enhance the quality of treatment before and after, past treatment history would have been included. 5. In the case of standardized treatment, such as OHIP-49, OHIP-14, GOHAI, or OHQoL UK, generic instruments are always used to evaluate the quality of oral health; however, the "modified OHIP," as it is called in this review, will always be helpful for evaluating the impact of periodontal disease on patients' quality of life. 6. Numerous references had identical information, and some of them might be minimized. 	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>K.M. Parveen Reddy</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Navodaya Dental College and Hospital, India</p>