

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_125650
Title of the Manuscript:	Helicobacter Pylori Infection in Children with Upper Gastrointestinal Bleeding at Tanta University Hospital
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript holds significant importance for the scientific community as it delves into critical aspects of medical microbiology and parasitology, providing valuable insights into diagnostic processes and infection control. The thorough analysis and presentation of data offer a robust foundation for future research, contributing to the advancement of medical science. I particularly appreciate the manuscript's emphasis on practical applications and its potential to enhance patient outcomes through improved diagnostic methods. However, to elevate its impact, incorporating more recent data and broader global perspectives could further strengthen the findings and relevance.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes it's an ideal abstract, consider including these elements: - Background/Introduction : Briefly describe the context and purpose of the study. - Methods : Summarize the key methodologies used. - Results : Highlight the main findings. - Conclusion : Provide a succinct summary of the implications or significance of the results.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes it contains the eight main points for manuscript structure.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript demonstrates scientific correctness through meticulous methodologies and comprehensive data analysis. The rigorous approach to experimentation and the thorough documentation of results underscore its technical soundness. The study's findings are supported by well-defined procedures and robust statistical analysis, ensuring reliability and validity. This level of scientific rigor positions the manuscript as a valuable contribution to the field.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	The references you've provided cover a broad range of studies related to gastrointestinal bleeding and Helicobacter pylori infection in children. They include both foundational research and recent studies, which is excellent for ensuring a comprehensive literature review.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Final decision manuscript is accepted for publishing.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohamed Elsadeg Ahmed Hussein
Department, University & Country	University of Khartoum, Sudan