

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_124881
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of selected plant extracts and carbendazim against stem rot of mustard (<i>Brassica juncea</i> L.) caused by <i>Sclerotinia sclerotiorum</i> (Lib.) de Bary
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

[Review Form 3](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>SEE ATTACHMENT Evaluation of selected plant extracts and carbendazim against stem rot of mustard (<i>Brassica juncea</i> L.) caused by <i>Sclerotinia sclerotiorum</i> (Lib.) de Bary</p> <p>Comments</p> <p>1. The manuscript "Evaluation of selected plant extracts and carbendazim against stem rot of mustard (<i>Brassica juncea</i> L.) caused by <i>Sclerotinia sclerotiorum</i> (Lib.) de Bary" is well prepared based on a single year field experiment.</p> <p>2. Effective botanicals for the management stem rot of mustard have been identified, and treatments with the highest yield and B : C ratio identified.</p> <p>3. The corrections /suggestions in the manuscript have been provided in the comments and track changes. The Authors may correct/update the manuscript accordingly.</p> <p>5. I have suggested to include the seasonal weather parameters of experimental site in graphical form.</p> <p>6. Since the conclusion/recomendations are based on a single season/year data, I think the article is good as Research Note only.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>		
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>		
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>		
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>		
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>		

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ram Krishna Neupane
Department, University & Country	Nepal