

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Microbiology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_124881
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of selected plant extracts and carbendazim against stem rot of mustard ( <i>Brassica juncea</i> L.) caused by <i>Sclerotinia sclerotiorum</i> (Lib.) de Bary
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Plant extracts or botanical pesticides are essential parts of an integrated pest control program because of their low persistence, biodegradability, and minimal toxicity to mammals, making them ecologically beneficial. Therefore, study on the use of botanicals to treat plant diseases is necessary since they are widely available, environmentally friendly, reasonably priced, and safe for both human and animal health.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Suitable <b>SEE ATTACHMENT</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Yes but need some corrections	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Yes	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The review paper is good, it shows a clear statement of purpose in the abstract, defines the study in detail, provides results, discusses correlations, makes no gross over-generalizations, and has a conclusion that is reasonable and logical in the context of findings.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	No	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Some modifications were made in manuscript.</li><li>• Some references in introduction and results chapter not found in chapter of reference .</li><li>• The author must review the numbering of the references from the introduction to the chapter of results and discussion and write them in the journal format.</li></ul>	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Khaled Hassan Mohammed Mohammed Abdel-Rheim</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Plant Protection Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Egypt</b>