

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_127041
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of botanicals with carbendazim against anthracnose ( <i>Colletotrichum lindemuthianum</i> ) of black gram ( <i>Vigna mungo</i> L.)
Type of the Article	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is important for the scientific community in that it points out that India is the largest producer and consumer of urd beans which is good for food security. It brings out the importance of neem oil as an antifungal and the combination of systemic fungicides and botanical oils representing an effective strategy for reducing disease intensity and increasing yield in crops.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes. The title of the article is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive BUT I suggest the author adds an introduction or background sentence(s).	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The author has explored the different methodological methods relative to scientific research studies thus it is scientifically robust and technically sound for publication. The results of the study are also of public health and environmental health importance as it spells out the use of indigenous sources for plant disease management other than the normal chemical disease management of plants.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English language quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Abbreviations not defined. They should be written in full when first used e.g. PDA???</li> <li>bold from citations</li> </ul>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Che Jane Nchangwi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Buea, Cameroon</b>