

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_126619
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of different microbial consortia for decomposing different wastes in in vitro and in vivo
Type of the Article	Research article

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The MS is important for scientific community. It would be better if the methodology was explained in detail having mentioned the treatment details in particular. This MS can have good citations if the author had written the results by comparing <i>in-vitro</i> and <i>in-vivo</i> . More discussion should be added with good number of references.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is appropriate.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It would be better if it was specific to the obtained results.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Its good	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The MS is scientific. The author should mention the need of the study or lacuna w.r.t experiment conducted. Aims/objectives were clearly cited in the text. The discussion must be more comprehensive.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Try to mention recent references. Few of them are missing.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Please take care of tense during the flow of the text.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nikhil Reddy K S
Department, University & Country	Keladi Shivappa Nayaka University of Agricultural and Horticultural Sciences, India