

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_126619
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of different microbial consortia for decomposing different wastes in in vitro and in vivo
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Reduce the burden of rural and urban waste. 2. may be Solving the problem of burning parali. 3. in vitro and in vivo studies accelerate the mechanism of composting in future. 4. it may help to compost the Kitchen waste at the house. so reduce the burden of municipal corporations. 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	A minor correction is required. Evaluation of different microbial consortia for decomposing different wastes in in-vitro and in-vivo	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is correct. Some grammatical errors were there. I have corrected it.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Missing subsection: Acknowledgement, Funding Sources, Competing interest	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Many grammatical errors like article, preposition etc. 2. Only eleven references are written. 3. Scientists may use that finding in further research. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Add at least ten references from the last five years.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Grammatical correction will be needed. I have corrected some which are highlighted in red. The rest need to be corrected.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Asit Kumar
Department, University & Country	Rajdhani College, University of Delhi, India