

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_125962
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Organic Nutrient Sources on Soil Nutrients Level in Pea ( <i>Pisum sativum</i> L.)
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is important that application/use of organic nutrient sources to the soil: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Increase soil fertility</li> <li>- Enhance crop production</li> <li>- Decrease synthetic fertilizer</li> </ul>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I suggest to include in the article part <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Treatment Design</li> <li>- Yield data result</li> </ul>	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	It is appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- There is no clear objectives</li> <li>- Data analysis model is important to be included</li> <li>- The activity title can be used for wide range activity work</li> <li>- The manuscript focused on soil result,</li> <li>- There is no visualized data</li> </ul>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	- 85% sufficient and recent	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	It is better	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	There are no competing interest issues There are no ethical issues raised in this manuscript	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Asrat Mekonnen</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research, Ethiopia</b>