

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Research Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJGH_126720
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Complex Interplay of Cardiac, Hepatic, and Renal dysfunction in a patient with Extra-Hepatic Portal Vein Obstruction and Rheumatic Mitral Stenosis: A Case Report</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case report</b>

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This case report provides valuable insights into the complex interactions among cardiac, hepatic, and renal systems in patients with multi-organ dysfunction. Highlighting the case of extra-hepatic portal vein obstruction alongside rheumatic mitral stenosis underlines the clinical challenges in managing interdependent organ failures. The information is useful for both clinical and research communities, emphasizing an integrative treatment approach in similar cases.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The current title, "Complex Interplay of Cardiac, Hepatic, and Renal dysfunction in a patient with Extra-Hepatic Portal Vein Obstruction and Rheumatic Mitral Stenosis: A Case Report," accurately reflects the content. It is comprehensive and suitable for the subject matter.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract effectively summarizes the key points of the case, including the patient's condition, significant findings, and the management approach. It could be enhanced by briefly mentioning the main treatment outcomes.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	The manuscript is well-structured, following an appropriate format for a case report, with clear divisions into abstract, introduction, case presentation, discussion, and conclusion. Each section logically presents the case details and analysis.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript appears scientifically sound, drawing from established knowledge and relevant references to support the pathophysiological explanations. The discussion connects the clinical presentation with the therapeutic decisions effectively.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The references are adequate and recent, covering relevant studies and guidelines. Adding references specific to the interdisciplinary management of cardio-hepato-renal syndrome may further enrich the discussion.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<b>Language Quality:</b> The language is generally clear and suitable for scholarly communication. Minor grammatical checks may further enhance readability.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	NA	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Bhaskar Pandey</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Breach Candy Hospital Trust, India</b>