

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_126266
Title of the Manuscript:	Medicinal plants and phytotherapy
Type of the Article	Original research paper

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is a very good empirical study. The author has done a commendable work to record and document in the Konjuh mountain which is a tough region. Besides, he has taken extra work to identify the chemical composition which is a very laborious scientific process.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The Use of Medicinal Plants and Phytotherapy in the Konjuh Mountain of Bosnia and Herzegovina	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No, its okay	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Okay	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	He has presented in a table form. The table 2 need to incorporate such category for the knowledge of scientific community - 1. Just that the serial numbers should continue as it is from 1-109, despite the classification of plant families. 2. Need to add certain category such as complete scientific names of the identifier, local names, preparation methods, whether the plants are grass, herbs, shrubs, plants. And also classifying of edible and non-edible, which are extremely endangered and most widely prevalent plants. 3.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Good enough ... but if he could add some more similar research papers from different countries' finding would be very good. Or he could in the next paper make a comparative analysis of similar plant extracts in the method of usages with different countries or regions.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The title of the research paper need restructuring as suggested above.2. The Table 2 Overview of the Medicinal herbs.... Shows incomplete in the presentation or classifications – i) kindly add the species identifier names like eg... <i>Sambucus ebulus</i>.Linn3. Add local names of the plants/herbs in order to identify easily by the locals and preserved their language.4. Preparation method is missing in the table- Kindly add how the people prepare and used it – concoction, decoction, paste, ointment..5. Need to bring out the classification of edible and non-edible herbs/plants..6. Bring out extremely endangered/rare, widely available plants of the region. If such category can be added here too, the paper will have high value. Or else the author should add such category in the future for the scientific community. <p>Best wishes</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yuhlung Cheithou Charles
Department, University & Country	Manipur International University, India