

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">European Journal of Medicinal Plants</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_126266
Title of the Manuscript:	Medicinal plants and phytotherapy
Type of the Article	Research Paper

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is nice. Very well composed. If these few points may be addressed, the manuscript may be considered for publication. The sample size i.e., number of people in each age group who participated in the survey is not mentioned. Total 50 individuals is written. The gender of the participants needs also is to be mentioned. The title of the manuscript is totally inappropriate and needs to be made more suitable according to the content of the manuscript....the place needs to be mentioned in the title.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<b>No!</b> The title needs to mention the place (locality & country) from where the plants have been collected and the type of work represented in the manuscript.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, its fine.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	More or less	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The sample size i.e., number of people in each age group who participated in the survey is not mentioned. Total 50 individuals is written. The gender of the participants needs also is to be mentioned.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Few more references if added will be better.	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	<p>The manuscript is nice. Very well composed. If these few points may be addressed, the manuscript may be considered for publication.</p> <p>The sample size i.e., number of people in each age group who participated in the survey is not mentioned. Total 50 individuals is written. The gender of the participants needs also is to be mentioned.</p> <p>The title of the manuscript is totally inappropriate and needs to be made more suitable according to the content of the manuscript....the place needs to be mentioned in the title.</p> <p>The plant samples collected need to be preserved in herbarium and recognised by concerned authority for scientific validation.If this is just a review of the available plants of the locality, then such validation is not needed. In this case, authors need to mention the exact fact i.e, they have collected the plant specimens or they have surveyed local people about the kind of plants grown in the ocality.</p>	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Debosree Ghosh
Department, University & Country	Government General Degree College, India