

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_124780
Title of the Manuscript:	The Evolutionary Theory along the Phylogenetic Tree of Eukaryotes after the Acquirement of Mitochondria
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is interesting thematic about evolution of Phylogenetic Tree mitochondrial	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is necessary to refresh the abstract separating introduction, objective, methodology, result, discussion and conclusion. The text only has an introduction	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	It is necessary to separate discussion and conclusion, as it is confusing.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The article is interesting, but it needs to be better explored by comparing it with other articles and improving the presentation of the figures. What sequences are used in the figure? Are they from GENBANK? This was not commented on. The objectives were not commented on, only the third objective.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Raquel Soares Casaes Nunes
Department, University & Country	UFRJ, Brazil