

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_123117
Title of the Manuscript:	Basics of Cyclical sequences theory
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article has an important advance in the development of cyclic sequence algorithms. Despite this, I believe that the writing is too concise without the necessary introduction to understand the reason why these algorithms are developed. I miss the use of scientific bibliography that has been used as a basis for this work. It seems that nothing was developed previously.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it is.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive and correct.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, they are.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Mathematically, the article is correct, although it is necessary to introduce the proofs of the theorems and coloraries. Furthermore, I reiterate that it must be specified where the definitions not created by the author have been obtained.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	There is only one reference, so I think it is a major deficiency of the article.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is correct. In page 8, the author writes "in the example 3" and the author should write "In the example 3".	
Optional/General comments		
	It is necessary to introduce the proofs of the proposed theorems and lemmas as well as increase the bibliography used and reference it in the text.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Valentina Cueva Lopez
Department, University & Country	University of Jaen, Spain