

**Correlation and Path Coefficient Studies of Agronomic Traits for Bread wheat  
(*Triticum aestivum* L.) genotypes at Korem, North Ethiopia**

**ABSTRACT**

*For crop improvement, the association between inherited plant characters and their direct and indirect effects on yield are crucial. To create such evidences, a field study was done at Korem, Northern Ethiopia in 2014. 26 bread wheat genotypes were sown using RCB design with 3 replications. A genetic analysis was done for the traits studied. The correlation of yield with number of tillers per plant, number of kernels per spike and biomass were positive. Biomass had strong and positive direct effect on yield. However, the direct effect of number of tillers per plant and number of kernels per spike were low. Positive and strong genotypic and phenotypic indirect effect on yield via biomass suggested that selection on biomass would lead to improving of yield directly and selection on number of tillers per plant and number of kernels per spike indirectly via biomass. This result is based at one location with no repetition. Therefore, replication of this trial is crucial for conformation.*

**Keywords:** Bread wheat, Correlation, Path Coefficient, Agronomic characters, Ethiopia.

## INTRODUCTION

In Ethiopia during 2013, wheat stands 4<sup>th</sup> in both area cultivation and total production. Total area of cultivation and national average productivity during 2013 cropping season were 1627647 ha and 2.10 tons ha<sup>-1</sup> respectively (1). Wheat import in Ethiopia is rising at an average of 9% annually while production is increasing at an average of 7% in the last decade (1). Thus, there is a need to balance the gap between the import and production by improving the productivity of the crop and this can be achieved through varietal development. Studying genetic variability for the traits of interest is the primary precondition for improvement of varieties (2).

Yield is polygenic inherited trait which is highly influenced by environment. Because of this, direct selection for yield resulted little progress. Indirect selection through yield components is the best and effective way of selection. Selection for yield based on highly correlated characters becomes easy if the contribution of different characters to yield is quantified using path coefficient analysis (3). Therefore, this study was initiated to estimate correlation of characters, direct and indirect contribution of characters to yield.

## 2. METHODOLOGY

### Study Site

A field trial was done at Awliegara research station of Korem district, Northern Ethiopia. Which is located at 39°33'E and 12 °31'N and is 160km South of Mekele and 620km North of Addis Ababa at an altitude of 2490masl. The average annual rainfall ranges from 450 to 1200mm at main cropping season and from 180 to 250mm at Belg (winter season).

### Genotypes used for Experiment

Twenty three bread wheat genotypes which were under preliminary variety trial and three released variety (Hidase, Danda'a and Digalu) were included in the experiment.

### Design of the experiment

The RCB design with 3 replications was used. Seeds were drilled in 5 rows with row spacing of 20cm and plot length of 2.5m. A seed rate of 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was used. The source of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and N

were di ammonium Phosphate and Urea respectively with application rate of 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> for both.

### **Data Collection and Analysis**

Data collection was made from plot basis and plant basis. Analysis of variance was computed using the procedures outlined by Gomez (4). Variance components were derived by described by Cochran (5).

The procedure of Dabholkar (6) was used to estimate genotypic and Phenotypic coefficient of variation. Sharma (7) and Robertson (8) formulas were used to test significance of correlation values at phenotypic and genotypic level respectively. The path coefficient analysis and the residual factor (P<sup>2</sup>R) were computed using the formula of Dewey (3) and with statistical package described by Doshi (9).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Analysis of Variance

There was significant ( $P < 0.01$ ) differences among genotypes for ten of twelve traits studied (table 1). It is indicated from the result that the genotypes tested had significance variations for traits. This showed presence of sufficient scope of selection for traits studied for the enhancement of the crop and the need to undertake further genetic analysis on the genotypes.

**Table 1.** Mean squares of parameters of bread wheat genotypes tested at Awliegara in 2014

Parameters	Replication (2)	Genotype (25)	Error (50)	CV (%)
Degree of freedom	2	25	50	
Days to heading	7.540**	38.270**	1.450	2.100
Days to maturity	26.320 <sup>ns</sup>	135.020**	8.611	2.570
Grain filling period (days)	5.861**	52.450**	7.051	4.65 1
Tillers per plant	0.321 <sup>ns</sup>	0.550**	0.482	31.681
Plant height (cm)	34.441 <sup>ns</sup>	53.681 <sup>ns</sup>	47.830	7.972
Spike length (cm)	0.201 <sup>ns</sup>	1.081**	0.283	7.222
Number of spikelet per spike	6.610**	3.821 <sup>ns</sup>	0.950	7.170
Number of seeds per spike	17.801 <sup>ns</sup>	54.680**	17.980	10.600
Thousand seed weight (g)	57.640 <sup>ns</sup>	223.941**	67.701	10.250
Biomass(t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	1.991 <sup>ns</sup>	17.470**	7.721	27.061
Yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	0.972 <sup>ns</sup>	2.231*	1.080	24.440
Harvest index (%)	14 <sup>ns</sup>	36.27**	9.67	7.381

\*= significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) and \*\*=highly significant ( $P < 0.01$ ). ns = non-significant at  $P < 0.05$ .

## **Correlation Coefficients at genotypic and phenotypic levels**

### **Correlation coefficient of yield with other Parameters**

Genotypic correlation of grain yield with biomass is positive and highly significant ( $r_g=0.90$ ). Hence, improvements of biomass will result in a substantial increase in grain yield. Some previous studies also reported same result (10; 11). Besides, grain yield has no significant genotypic correlation with grain filling period, number of tillers per plant, spike length, number of kernels per spike and thousand kernel weight (table 2). These results suggest that selection based on these traits will not improve grain yield as suggested earlier by Ahmadi (11).

Phenotypic association of grain yield with number of tillers per plant ( $r = 0.46$ ) and with biomass ( $r = 0.91$ ) is positive and highly significant ( $P<0.01$ ) (table 2). Therefore, these traits can be considered when selection is made for grain yield. Previous studies also reported same result (12; 13; 14).

Yield and days to heading showed negative and significant genotypic and phenotypic correlation. The negative association of the traits may be due to late season rainfall. Hence, selection for more number of days to heading might reduce yield. Same outcome was reported by Ahmadi in moisture deficit condition (11). However, these results contradicted with some previous studies (15). This might be attributed to the area of the study site, where late heading genotypes might have been favored for yield.

### **Correlation coefficient of biomass with other traits**

Biomass and harvest index had negative and high significant genotypic correlation ( $r_g=-0.52$ ) (Table 2). The other traits had not significant genotypic correlation with biomass. Same result was reported (16).

Biomass phenotypic correlation coefficients with number of fertile tillers per plant ( $r=0.47$ ) and spike length ( $r=0.31$ ) were positive and highly significant. These correlation coefficient results

**Table 2.** Estimate of Genotypic (above diagonal) and phenotypic (below diagonal) correlation coefficients estimation for yield contributing traits of bread wheat genotypes grown at Korem in 2014

Traits	DH	DM	GFP	NT	SL	NKS	TSW	BY	HI	GY
DH	1	0.842**	0.493*	-0.130	0.072	0.022	0.013	-0.131	-0.330	-0.330
DM	0.801**	1	0.892**	0.170	-0.051	0.081	0.052	0.021	-0.181	-0.112
GFP	0.432**	0.881**	1	0.380	-0.130	0.106	0.071	0.140	0.000	0.112
NT	-0.040	0.131	0.241*	1	-0.070	-0.24	-0.270	0.384	-0.121	0.340
SL	0.050	0.010	-0.032	0.203	1	0.260	0.292	0.123	-0.10	0.101
NKS	-0.042	0.020	0.061	0.033	0.23	1	0.993**	0.162	0.030	0.192
TSW	-0.043	0.000	0.030	0.011	0.091	0.983**	1	0.121	0.022	0.140
BY	-0.120	0.062	0.180	0.470**	0.034	0.221	0.184	1	-0.523**	0.903**
HI	-0.260*	-0.161	-0.040	-0.071	0.470	-0.014	0.000	-0.452**	1	-0.112
GY	-0.260*	-0.041	0.152	0.471**	0.016	0.250*	0.213	0.910**	-0.073	1

\*= significant (P<0.05) and \*\*=highly significant (P<0.001), DH=Number of days to heading, DM= Number of days to maturity, GFP= Number of days for grain filling, NT=Number of tillers per plant, SL=Spike length(cm), NKS= number of kernels per spike, TKW=Thousand kernel weight(g), BY=biomass (tone per hectare), GY=yield (tone per hectare), HI=Harvest index.

emphasizes the importance of these traits to biomass. Ahmadi (11) and Bagrei (10) reported same findings.

## **Path-coefficient Analysis**

### **Phenotypic path coefficient analysis of yield with other traits**

The direct effect of biomass on yield is the highest and positive whereas, the indirect effects were negligible (Table 3). Phenotypic correlation between biomass and yield is high significant. This indicated that the correlation with yield was largely due to the direct effect. Therefore, direct selection through this trait will improve yield. Similar findings were reported by Bargei (10), Dawit (17) and Abderrahmane (18).

The phenotypic correlation between days to heading and yield is significant and negative. The direct effect of days to heading is negative and the indirect effect is also negative through biomass and harvest index. Therefore, both the direct effect and the indirect effect through biomass and harvest index contributed for phenotypic correlation of this trait with yield. Hence, when selection is made for improving yield, days to heading accompanied by biomass and harvest index should be considered. Mohammad (19) also reported same result.

Number of tillers per plant and number of kernels per spike had positive and high indirect effect on yield through biomass but negligible phenotypic direct effect. Therefore, phenotypic correlations of these traits with yield were due to the indirect effects through biomass. These traits may improve yield of bread wheat through the increased biomass. Therefore, selection for high number of tillers per plant and number of kernels per spike cannot guarantee for high yield. Similarly Bagrei (10) reported same result.

Direct effect of days to maturity and harvest index on yield is positive and high and their indirect effects through grain filling period and biomass were also negative and high. So their direct effects were counter balanced by the indirect effects. Therefore, correlation of these traits remained non-significant. So that late mature genotypes may not give raise yield.

Table 3. Direct (underlined diagonal) and indirect (off diagonal) effects of traits on yield at phenotypic level in 26 bread wheat genotypes tested at Korem (2014)

Traits	DH	DM	GFP	NT	SL	NKS	TSW	BY	HI	$r_p$
DH	<u><b>-0.130</b></u>	0.201	-0.091	0.000	0.000	-0.000	0.000	-0.131	-0.111	-0.261*
DM	-0.101	<u><b>0.252</b></u>	-0.171	-0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.051	-0.071	-0.041
GFP	-0.052	0.221	<u><b>-0.201</b></u>	-0.011	-0.000	0.011	-0.001	0.200	-0.020	0.151
NT	0.011	0.031	-0.050	<u><b>-0.042</b></u>	0.000	0.001	-0.002	0.512	-0.030	0.47**
SL	-0.012	0.000	0.011	-0.011	<u><b>0.021</b></u>	0.020	-0.021	0.342	-0.071	0.011
NKS	0.013	0.010	-0.011	-0.000	0.011	<u><b>0.081</b></u>	-0.070	0.241	-0.000	0.251*
TSW	0.013	0.000	-0.010	0.000	0.012	0.080	<u><b>-0.071</b></u>	0.202	0.000	0.210
BY	0.022	0.010	-0.041	-0.021	0.012	0.020	-0.010	<u><b>1.091</b></u>	-0.201	0.910**
HI	0.031	-0.041	0.011	0.000	-0.001	-0.000	0.001	-0.490	<u><b>0.441</b></u>	-0.071

Residual = 0.056,  $r_p$ =phenotypic coefficient of correlation.

From phenotypic path analysis residual effects was 0.056 (Table 3), this suggested that traits used for the study explained high percentage of variation in yield (94.4%).

### Genotypic path coefficient analysis of yield with other traits

Biomass exerted maximum positive genotypic direct effect on yield (1.17) followed by harvest index (0.54), days to maturity (0.13). Traits with highly negative direct effects on yield were grain filling period (-0.16), days to heading (-0.05) and number of tillers per plant (-0.04). Other traits' direct effects were insignificant.

Genotypic correlation coefficient of biomass with grain yield was positive and highly significant. In addition its direct effect on yield was the highest. It had also negative indirect effect via harvest index. Therefore, grain yield can be improved by selecting genotypes with high biomass. Besides, the negative indirect effect of harvest index needs to be handled wisely. Abderrahmane (18) also reported similar findings on durum wheat.

From genotypic path analysis residual effects was 0.116 (Table 4), this suggested that traits used for the study explained high percentage of variation in yield (88.6%).

Table 4. Direct (underlined and diagonal) and indirect (off diagonal) effects of traits on yield at genotypic level in 26 bread wheat genotypes tested at Korem (2014)

Traits	DH	DM	GFP	NT	SL	NKS	TSW	BY	HI	$r_g$
DH	<u><b>-0.051</b></u>	0.112	-0.081	0.012	0.001	0.002	0.001	-0.150	-0.181	-0.333
DM	-0.041	<u><b>0.130</b></u>	-0.140	-0.013	-0.001	-0.003	-0.002	0.020	-0.102	-0.111
GFP	-0.023	0.123	<u><b>-0.160</b></u>	-0.024	-0.002	-0.001	-0.001	0.161	0.001	0.111
NT	0.012	0.022	-0.060	<u><b>-0.041</b></u>	-0.002	0.002	0.010	0.451	-0.061	0.340
SL	-0.001	-0.011	0.012	0.003	<u><b>0.023</b></u>	-0.001	-0.010	0.142	-0.051	0.101
NKS	-0.002	0.013	-0.021	0.012	0.012	<u><b>-0.012</b></u>	-0.030	0.191	0.022	0.190
TSW	0.001	0.011	-0.013	0.012	0.013	-0.011	<u><b>-0.030</b></u>	0.140	0.011	0.142
BY	0.013	0.002	-0.024	-0.020	0.001	-0.002	-0.001	<u><b>1.170</b></u>	-0.282	0.901**
HI	0.021	-0.021	0.004	0.010	-0.002	0.001	-0.000	-0.611	<u><b>0.542</b></u>	-0.111

Residual = 0.116,  $r_g$ =genotypic coefficient of correlation.

## CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

In main season of 2014, 26 bread wheat genotypes were tested in RCBD at Awliegara research station of Korem district, South Tigray, Ethiopia. Genotypic correlation of grain yield with biomass is positive and highly significant ( $r_g=0.90$ ). Hence, improvements of biomass will result in a substantial increase in grain yield. Yield and days to heading showed negative and significant genotypic and phenotypic correlation. The negative association of the traits may be due to late season rainfall. Hence, selection for more number of days to heading might reduce yield.

The direct effect of biomass on yield is the highest and positive whereas, the indirect effects were negligible. Phenotypic correlation between biomass and yield is high significant. This indicated that the correlation with yield was largely due to the direct effect. Therefore, direct selection through this trait will improve yield.

Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)

Option 1:

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

Option 2:

Author(s) hereby declare that generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models, etc. have been used during the writing or editing of manuscripts. This explanation will include the name, version, model, and source of the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology

Details of the AI usage are given below:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

## REFERENCES

1. Central Statistical Agency (CSA), 2013. Agricultural sample survey 2013. Report on crop and livestock product utilization. Statistical bulletin. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
2. Scossiroli, R. E., Ferrari, A. and Haussmann, G. 1963. Genetic variability for quantitative characters in Alfalfa. pp.597-608.
3. Dewey, D. R. and Lu, K.H. 1959. A correlation and path coefficient analysis of components of crested wheat grass seed production. *Agronomy Journal*, 51: 515-518.
4. Gomez, K.A. and Gomez, 1984. Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. John Wiley & Sons. New York, p680.
5. Cochran, W. G. and Cox, M. 1957. *Experimental designs*. John Wiley and Sons, Inc, New York. p611.
6. Dabholkar, A.R., 1992. *Elements of biometrical genetics*. Concept Publishing Company, New Delhi, India, p431.
7. Sharma, J. R. 1998. *Statistical and biometrical techniques in plant breeding*. New Age International (P) limited, publishers. New Delhi. P432.
8. Robertson, G.E., 1959. The sampling variance of the genetic correlation coefficient. *Biometrics*. 15: 469-485.
9. Doshi, S.P. 1991. Statistical Package for Agricultural Research SPAR. IASRI, New Delhi.
10. Bagrei, B., and Bybordi, B. 2015. Yield and yield components in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under non-stress and drought stress conditions. *International Journal of Biosciences*, 6(3):338-348.
11. Ahmadi, M., Farshadfar, E. and Veisi, S. 2012. Evaluation of Genetic Diversity in Land races of Bread Wheat under Irrigated and Rain fed Conditions. *International Journal of Agriculture and Crop Sciences*, 4(21):1627-1636.
12. Kalimullah, Khan,S., Irfaq, M. and Rahman, H. U. 2012. Genetic variability, correlation and diversity studies in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) germplasm. *The Journal of Animal & Plant Sciences*, 22(2): 330-333.
13. Mohsin, T., Khan, N. and Nasir, N. F. 2009. Heritability, phenotypic correlation and path coefficient studies for some agronomic characters in synthetic elite lines of wheat. *Journal of Food, Agriculture & Environment*.7 (3):278-282.

14. Iftikhar, R., Khaliq, I., Ijaz, M., and Abdul, M. R. R. 2012. *American-Eurasian Journal of Agriculture and environmental Science*, 12 (3): 389-392.
15. Subuhan, G.M., and Chewdrhy, M.A. 2000. Correlation and path coefficient analysis in bread wheat under drought stress and normal condition. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Science*, 3(1): 72-77
16. Kumar, B., Singh, C. M., Jaiswal, K. K. 2013. Genetic variability, association and diversity Studies in Bread Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *The bios can*, 8(1): 143-147
17. Dawit Tsegaye, Tadesse Dessalegn, Yigzaw Dessalegn and Getnet Share. 2012. Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in durum wheat germplasm (*Triticum durum* Desf). *Wudpecker Research Journals*, 1(4): 107 – 112
18. Abderrahmane, H., Zine, F. E., Hamenna, B. and Ammar, B. 2013. Correlation, Path Analysis and Stepwise Regression in Durum wheat (*Triticum durum* Desf.) under Rainfed Conditions. *Journal of Agriculture and Sustainability*, 3(2): 122-131.
19. Mohammadi, M., Sharif, P., Karimizadehi, R., Shefazadeh, K. 2012. Relationships between Yield and Yield Components in Bread Wheat under Different Water Availability. *Horticulture Agro-botany*, 40(1):195-200