

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAVS_126270
Title of the Manuscript:	Cheetah reintroduction in India: Eco restoration policy gaps
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Not comprehensive, ✓ Has no objective, methods and analysis ✓ The authors justified recommendation not conclusion part, the needed is conclusion 	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Not appropriate. the paper needs restructured (it has to follow research principles/steps or follow the structure) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Abstract, ✓ Introduction ✓ Methods <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site description • Data collection methods ✓ Data analysis ✓ Result and discussion ✓ Conclusion ✓ References 	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ It has no methodology described, there is no sample size used to ensure statistical power. so, it is not scientifically robust or structured. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	yes	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	The paper is not looks like research article but it is a review paper made by using more references. So, if the title could be amended is better like this: "Review of eco-restoration policy gaps in Cheetah re-introduction, India". The paper looks a collection of references in general not follow scientific methods of writing. It looks like further report type of writing.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Taye Lemma Geleta
Department, University & Country	Ethiopia